

| Countervailing duty proceedings  | Period to be reviewed |
|--|-----------------------|
| Richard Stroebel<br>Arnold Ypma<br>Jim Whitehouse<br>Matt Marui<br>Brian Vandenbroek<br>Jack & Theo Verburg<br>Jim F. Hunter<br>Wayne Brubacher<br>William Kuyvenhoven<br>Tim & Rosa Small<br>Joe Kolkman<br>Ian & Marlene Archibald<br>Larry J. Dawson<br>Brian Simpson<br>Adrian VanHaren<br>Ronald Davis<br>Rein Minnema<br>Carl & Charlotte Mueller<br>Henry E.M. Martin<br>Arkell Swine Research Station<br>Jim Long<br>Wood Lynn Farms International Inc.<br>John McDonnell<br>Jim McDonnell<br>Timmerman Farms Ltd.<br>Tom & John Archibald<br>Quality Swine Corporative or Ontario<br>Jim Bloxsidge<br>Astoria Swine<br>Fairholme Colony<br>Stonyhill 93 (Willow Creek Colony)<br>Wapoka Creek 95 Ltd.<br>Elite Swine Inc.<br>Reiter Frams Ltd.<br>Shamrock Breeders Group<br>Members of the Canadian Pork Council |                       |
| CANADA (C-122-815): Alloy Magnesium .....<br>Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. (NHCI)   | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |
| CANADA (C-122-815): Pure Magnesium .....<br>Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. (NHCI)  | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |
| ISRAEL (C-508-605): Industrial Phosphoric Acid .....<br>Rotem Amfert Negev Ltd.<br>Haifa Chemicals Ltd.  | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |
| MALAYSIA (C-557-806): Extruded Rubber Thread .....<br>Heveafil Sdn. Bhd.<br>Filmax Sdn. Bhd.<br>Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd.<br>Filati Lastex Elastofibre Sdn. Bhd.<br>Rubfil Sdn. Bhd.  | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |
| MEXICO (C-201-810): Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate .....<br>Altos Hornos de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.   | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |
| SWEDEN (C-401-804): Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate .....<br>SSAB Svenskt Stal AB   | 1/1/95-12/31/95       |

If requested within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, the Department will determine, where appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to any of these reviews if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer which is affiliated with such exporter or producer.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b) and 355.34(b).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: September 13, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 96-23920 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-122-085]

**Sugar and Syrups From Canada; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances administrative review of the antidumping order on sugar and syrups from Canada. Based on this information, we preliminarily determine that Rogers Sugar Ltd. (Rogers) is the successor-in-interest to The British Columbia Sugar Refining Company, Limited (BC Sugar) for purposes of determining antidumping liability.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 17, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** J. David Dirstine or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On April 9, 1980, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (45 FR 24126) an antidumping duty order on sugar and syrups from Canada. On August 30, 1996, Rogers submitted a letter stating that Rogers is the successor-in-interest to BC Sugar, and that Rogers Sugar Ltd. should receive the same antidumping duty treatment as is accorded BC Sugar.

**Scope of the Review**

Imports covered by the review are shipments of Canadian sugar and syrups produced from sugar cane and sugar beets. The sugar is refined into granulated or powdered sugar, icing, or liquid sugar. Sugar and syrups are currently classifiable under item numbers 1701.11.0025, 1701.11.0045, and 1702.90.3000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

**Initiation and Preliminary Results of Review**

In a letter dated August 30, 1996, Rogers advised the Department that on June 1, 1995, the former BC Sugar effected a legal name change to Rogers Sugar Ltd. Rogers stated that the former Executive Vice President of BC Sugar is now the President and Chief Operating Officer of Rogers and, further, that the company's management structure is otherwise unchanged. Rogers also stated that the company's three production facilities are unaffected by this change, as are supplier relationships and the company's customer base. Rogers

submitted a copy of the document dated June 5, 1995, which evidences this legal name change and which was filed with the Canadian Government to record the name change under the Canada Business Corporations Act.

Thus, in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act, as amended (the Act), the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether Rogers is the successor-in-interest to BC Sugar for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. In making such a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See, e.g., *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) (*Canadian Brass*). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is similar to that of its predecessor. See, e.g., *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994) and *Canadian Brass*. Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the former company, the Department will assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.

We preliminarily determine that Rogers Sugar Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to BC Sugar. BC Sugar has changed its name to Rogers Sugar Ltd. and the former Executive Vice President of BC Sugar is now the President and Chief Operating Officer of Rogers Sugar Ltd. The company's management structure is otherwise unchanged. Similarly, the company's three production facilities are unaffected by these changes as are supplier relationships and the company's customer base. Thus, Rogers Sugar Ltd. should receive the same antidumping duty treatment as the former BC Sugar, i.e., a zero percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Any written comments may be submitted no later than September 24, 1996. While, pursuant to 19 CFR § 353.38(c)(ii), the comment period for such administrative reviews is normally 30 days, the circumstances surrounding this changed circumstances review

compel the Department to abbreviate the comment period in this case. This changed circumstances review is being conducted to address the legally and factually straightforward issue of a corporate name change. It is critical that the Department make the requested successor-in-interest determination by September 30, 1996. The subject merchandise is subject to a quota program. This determination is crucial for the U.S. Customs Service both in determining quota and whether entries of the subject merchandise by Rogers Sugar Ltd. are subject to the zero antidumping duty rate of BC Sugar. Finally, because interested parties have not requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sugar and syrups from Canada since 1987 and have been aware of the corporate name change since at least October 1995, when Rogers Sugar Ltd. notified interested parties of the name change, the abbreviated comment period will not unduly burden interested parties in this matter.

This initiation of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 CFR 353.22(f)(4).

Dated: September 13, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-23921 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance of an Export Trade Certificate of Review, Application No.96-00004.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has issued an Export Trade Certificate of Review to The Foreign Market Search for U.S. Products and Services, Inc., doing business as FMS Exports-Imports, Inc. ("FMS"). This notice summarizes the conduct for which certification has been granted.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, 202-482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR Part 325 (1995).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of