

Certification Under Section 620C(d) Of The Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, As Amended

Pursuant to Section 620C(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (the Act), Executive Order 12163 (sec. 1-201(a)(11)) and State Department Delegation of Authority No. 145 (sec. 1(a)), I hereby certify that the furnishing to Turkey of technical and logistics support for the F-16 aircraft to include participation in the Technical Coordinating Group and the International Engine Management Program, spare and repair parts, repair and overhaul of aircraft components and assemblies, maintenance of system software and related services, precision measurement equipment, publications/drawings/technical documentation, aircraft modification kits with installation instructions, special test sets and support equipment, USG and contractor technical and logistics services and other related elements of program support, at an estimated cost of \$125 million, is consistent with the principles contained in Section 620C(b) of the Act.

This certification will be made part of the notification to the Congress under Section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act regarding the proposed sale of the above-named articles and services, and is based on the justification accompanying said notification, of which said justification constitutes a full explanation.

Lynn E. Davis

[FR Doc. 96-23955 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

#### Department of Defense Wage Committee; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Public Law 92-463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Department of Defense Wage Committee will be held on October 1, 1996; October 8, 1996; October 15, 1996; October 22, 1996, and October 29, 1996, at 10:00 a.m. in Room A105, The Nash Building, 1400 Key Boulevard, Rosslyn, Virginia.

Under the provisions of section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, the Department of Defense has determined that the meetings meet the criteria to close meetings to the public because the matters to be considered are related to internal rules and practices of the Department of Defense and the detailed wage data to be considered were obtained from officials of private establishments with a guarantee that the data will be held in confidence.

However, members of the public who may wish to do so are invited to submit material in writing to the chairman concerning matters believed to be deserving of the Committee's attention.

Additional information concerning the meetings may be obtained by writing to the Chairman, Department of Defense Wage Committee, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000.

Dated: September 13, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 96-23952 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

#### Defense Information Systems Agency

##### Membership of the Defense Information Systems Agency Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB)

**AGENCY:** Defense Information Systems Agency, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of membership of the Defense Information Systems Agency Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the appointment of the members of the Performance Review Board of the Defense Information Systems Agency. The publication of membership is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(C)(4). The Performance Review Board provides fair and impartial review of senior Executive Service performance appraisals and makes recommendations regarding performance ratings and performance awards to the Director, DISA.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Carrie K. Bazemore, SES Program Manager, Civilian Personnel Division, Personnel and Manpower Directorate, Defense Information Systems Agency (703) 607-4411.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the following are names and titles of the executives who have been appointed to serve as members of the SES Performance Review Board. They will serve a one-year renewable term, effective 27 August 1996.

David J. Kelley, Major General, USA, Vice Director, DISA.

John W. Meincke, Brig Gen, USAF, Commander, DISA WESTHEM.

Diann McCoy, Deputy Director for C4I Programs Directorate.

Robert Hutten, Deputy Director for Strategic Plans and Policy.

Jack Penkoske,

*Chief, Civilian Personnel Division.*

[FR Doc. 96-23999 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3610-05-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### Morgantown Energy Technology Center; Notice of Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive Patent License

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE), Morgantown Energy Technology Center (METC).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of an intent to grant to United Catalysts Incorporated of Louisville, Kentucky, a partially exclusive license to practice the invention described in U.S. Patent No. 5,494,880, titled "Durable Zinc Oxide-Containing Sorbents for Coal-Gas Desulfurization," and any follow-on patents issuing from continuation applications based on this patent. This license will be limited to the manufacture and sale of pelletized sorbents.

The Department may grant exclusive or partially exclusive licenses in Department-owned inventions, if it determines that the desired practical application of the invention has not been achieved, or is not likely expeditiously to be achieved, under a nonexclusive license.

**DATES:** Written comments or nonexclusive license applications are to be received at the address listed below no later than November 18, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of Institutional Development, U.S. Department of Energy, Morgantown Energy Technology Center, P.O. Box 880, Morgantown, WV 26505.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Lisa A. Jarr, Office of Institutional Development, U.S. Department of Energy, Morgantown Energy Technology Center, P.O. Box 880, Morgantown, WV 26505; Telephone (304) 285-4555.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** United Catalysts Incorporated of Louisville, Kentucky, has applied for a partially exclusive license to practice the invention embodied in U.S. Patent No. 5,494,880, and any follow-on patents issuing from continuation applications based on this patent, and has a plan for commercialization of the invention.

The invention is owned by the United States of America, as represented by the Department of Energy (DOE). The proposed license will be partially

exclusive, i.e., limited to pelletized sorbents, subject to a license and other rights retained by the U.S. Government, and subject to other terms and conditions to be negotiated. DOE intends to grant the license, upon a final determination in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 209(c), unless within 60 days of this notice the Office of Institutional Development, Department of Energy, Morgantown Energy Technology Center receives in writing any of the following, together with the supporting documents:

(i) A statement from any person setting forth reasons why it would not be in the best interest of the United States to grant the proposed license; or

(ii) An application for a nonexclusive license to the invention, in which applicant states that it already has brought the invention to practical application or is likely to bring the invention to practical application expeditiously, for pelletized sorbents.

The Department will review all timely written responses to this notice, and will grant the license if, after expiration of the 60-day notice period, and after consideration of written responses to this notice, a determination is made, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 209(c), that the license grant is in the public interest.

Issued: September 9, 1996.

Thomas F. Bechtel,

Director, METC.

[FR Doc. 96-24023 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP93-100-000; Docket Nos. RP94-208-000, RP94-87-008, RP94-122-006, RP94-169-006, RP95-195-005, RP94-249-004, RP94-260-004, RP94-305-002, and RP94-364-001; Docket Nos. RP94-222-000, RP93-151-015, RP94-39-006, RP94-202-000, and RP94-309-003; Docket Nos. RP94-298-000, and TM94-29-000; and Docket Nos. RP94-347-000, RP94-150-000, RP94-266-000, and RP94-384-000]

## Notice Establishing Format for Oral Argument

September 13, 1996.

In the Matter of: Dakota Gasification Company (successor-in-interest to the Department of Energy), Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, and ANR Pipeline Company

This notice establishes the format for the oral argument which the Commission schedule in an order issued

July 17, 1996.<sup>1</sup> This notice does so based upon notifications from the parties of the number of representatives they wished to make presentations and the manner in which they desired to allocate their allotted time.

In addition to the notifications received from the parties, Senators Kent Conrad and Byron Dorgan, and Congressman Earl Pomeroy, in letters to Chair Moler, indicate that they wish to have an opportunity to speak concerning the Great Plains project.

In its notification filing, the Dakota Ratepayers/State Commission Group pointed out that the Commission's order announcing the oral argument provided the three principal parties opposing the Initial Decision with a total of 1 and 1/2 hours of argument, while providing Ratepayers Group, the one party supporting the Initial Decision, only 30 minutes. The Ratepayers Group also urged that they should not be "bookended", i.e., preceded and succeeded by one or more of their adversaries in this proceeding. To remedy this situation, the Ratepayers Group requests that (1) none of the three parties opposing the Initial Decision should be permitted to relinquish time to the other; (2) the Ratepayers Group should be schedule last for both the presentation of initial arguments and rebuttal; and (3) the Ratepayers Group should be allocated 30 minutes to present its arguments and 15 minutes for rebuttal.

The proposals of the Ratepayers Group have been considered and they are reasonable. In addition, both Senators from North Dakota and Congressman Pomeroy will be provided an opportunity to address the issues that the Commission has set for oral argument in this proceeding. Accordingly, consistent with the notifications concerning the oral argument filed by the parties in this proceeding, the time for the oral argument will be allocated follows:

Hon. Kent Conrad, United States

Senate—10 minutes

Hon. Byron L. Dorgan, United States

Senate—10 minutes

Hon. Earl Pomeroy, United States House of Representatives—10 minutes

Dakota Gasification Represented by

MaryJane Reynolds, Mark D. Foss—20 minutes

The Department of Energy, Represented

by Hon. Robert R. Nordhaus, James K. White, Lot Cooke—20 minutes

The Pipelines, Represented by James F.

Bendernagel, Jr., Daniel F. Collins,

Michael J. Fremuth—20 minutes

The Ratepayers Group, Represented by Bruce Kiely, Robert G. Hardy—30 minutes

## Rebuttal

Dakota Gasification—10 minutes

The Department of Energy—10 minutes

The Pipelines—10 minutes

The Ratepayers Group—15 minutes

The oral argument will be held on Wednesday, September 25, 1996, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 1 at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-24033 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-333-000]

## National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Notice of Technical Conference

September 13, 1996.

In the Commission's order issued on September 5, 1996, in the above-captioned proceeding, the Commission held that the filing raises issues for which a technical conference is to be convened.

The conference to address the issues is being scheduled for Friday, September 27, 1996, at 10:30 a.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All interested persons and Staff are permitted to attend.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-23997 Filed 9-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR96-15-000]

## Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

September 13, 1996.

Take notice that on August 30, 1996, pursuant to sections 9, 13(1), and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act of 1887 (49 U.S.C. §§ 9, 13(1), 15(1)), Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR § 343.1(c)), Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing a complaint against charges collected by SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) for the pipeline transportation of petroleum products. Ultramar complains against the charge collected for SFPP's drain dry system at Watson Station in California (Drain Dry).

<sup>1</sup> 76 FERC ¶ 61,036 (1996).