

reimburse Columbia 100% of the total actual cost of the proposed construction.

Columbia says it will comply with all of the environmental requirements of Section 157.206(d) of the Commission's regulations prior to the construction of any facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26728 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP85-221-068]

Frontier Gas Storage Company; Notice of Sale Pursuant to Settlement Agreement

October 11, 1996.

Take notice that on October 8, 1996, Frontier Gas Storage Company (Frontier), c/o Reid & Priest, Market Square, 701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004, in compliance with provisions of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order in Docket No. CP82-487-000, *et al.*, submitted an executed Service Agreement under Rate Schedule LVS-1 providing for the possible sale of up to a daily quantity of 20,000 MMBtu, not to exceed 3 Bcf of Frontier's gas storage inventory on an "as metered" basis to Western Gas Resources, Inc., for term ending March 31, 1997.

Under Subpart (b) of Ordering Paragraph (F) of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order, Frontier is "authorized to commence the sale of its inventory under such an executed service agreement fourteen days after filing the agreement with the Commission, and may continue making such sale unless the Commission issues an order either requiring Frontier to stop selling and setting the matter for hearing or permitting the sale to continue and

establishing other procedures for resolving the matter."

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to said filing should, within 10 days of the publication of such notice in the Federal Register, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (888 1st Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426) a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, 18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26725 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-317-000]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Technical Conference

October 11, 1996.

Pursuant to the Commission's order, issued on September 12, 1996, a technical conference will be held to resolve the issues raised in the above-captioned proceeding.

The conference will be held on Wednesday, October 23, 1996 at 10:00 a.m. in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All interested persons and Staff are permitted to attend.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26734 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM97-1-46-001]

Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 11, 1996.

Take notice that on October 8, 1996, Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company, L.L.C. (Kentucky West), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheet to be effective October 1, 1996:

Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 4

Kentucky West is making this filing in compliance with the Commission's

Order issued on September 27, 1996 to correct a transposition error. With the correction, the total minimum rate for its interruptible transportation rate schedule ITS drops from \$0.0359 to \$0.0357.

Kentucky West states that a copy of its filing has been served upon its customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26735 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP97-15-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

October 11, 1996.

Take notice that on October 8, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch), 600 Travis Street, Houston, Texas 77251-1478 filed in Docket No. CP97-15-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for approval and permission to seek certificate authority for facilities constructed in San Augustine County, Texas under Section 311 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch states that it proposes to place into jurisdictional service a two-inch tap and meter station. Koch further states that this delivery point is located on its pipeline designated as Index 63 in San Augustine County, Texas. It is indicated that the estimated peak day and average day requirements for this delivery point are 100 MMBtu and 20 MMBtu, respectively. Koch asserts that

certification of the delivery point will not have an impact on Koch's annual deliveries or peak day operations because no change in the existing service levels are proposed.

Koch states that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed service without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers. Koch further states that its tariff does not prohibit the proposed change in jurisdictional status of the delivery point.

Any person or Commission Staff may, within 45 days of the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214), a motion to intervene and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activities shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26724 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP97-19-000]

Lomex Oil & Gas Co., Mr. Jerry Lutz, Mr. & Mrs. Earl Coon, and Mr. & Mrs. Carl Meyers, Complainants, v. ANR Pipeline Company, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

October 11, 1996.

Take notice that on October 8, 1996, Lomex Oil & Gas Co., Mr. Jerry Lutz, Mr. & Mrs. Earl Coon, and Mr. & Mrs. Carl Meyers (collectively, Lomex), filed a complaint in Docket No. CP97-19-000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and to the order amending certificate issued on September 13, 1996, in Docket No. CP96-337, in which the Commission authorized a revised boundary for ANR's Loreed Storage Field. In that order, the Commission denied Lomex's protest and motions stating that "whether ANR has violated its certificate authorizations or open-access requirements would be more appropriately considered in the context of a complaint proceeding." Lomex charges that ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) has exceeded its certificated maximum reservoir pressure in the

operation of its Loreed Storage Field, and that ANR unfairly refuses to transport gas produced from Lomex's Coon 1-36 well, all as more fully set forth in the complaint which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Lomex requests that the Commission give this matter expedited review and issue a show cause order without delay.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to this complaint should on or before October 28, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Answers to the complaint shall be due on or before October 28, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26729 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-178-002]

Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C.; Notice of Amendment

October 11, 1996.

Take notice that on September 23, 1996, Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Maritimes & Northeast), c/o M&N Management Company, 1284 Soldiers Field Road, Boston, Massachusetts, 02135, filed for authority under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain a 30-inch diameter natural gas pipeline as an alternative to its currently proposed Phase I, 24-inch diameter pipeline from Dracut, Massachusetts to Wells, Maine (Docket No. CP96-178-000). The proposed facilities were included in an application to construct certain other facilities for Phase II of its project (Docket Nos. CP96-809-000).¹ We will sever those parts of the text and exhibits in Docket No. CP96-809-000 pertaining to the 30-inch pipeline from Dracut to Wells and treat them as an amendment to Phase I of Maritimes &

Northeast's project (Docket No. CP96-178-002). Thus future filings concerning the 30-inch single pipeline alternative from Dracut to Wells should be filed under Docket No. CP96-178-002. Filings concerning the 30-inch pipeline alternative from Wells to Cumberland/Portland, Maine will be considered in Docket No. CP96-809-000. The details of Maritimes & Northeast's proposal are more fully set forth in its September 23rd filing, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Maritimes & Northeast is a limited liability company, organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware. Maritimes & Northeast's members are M&N Management Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PanEnergy Corp.; Westcoast Energy (U.S.) Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Westcoast Energy, Inc. and Mobil Midstream Natural Gas Investment, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mobil Oil Corporation.

Maritimes & Northeast's Phase I project is from Dracut, Massachusetts to Wells, Maine. The Commission issued Preliminary Determination (PD) for this project on July 31, 1996, in Docket No. CP96-178-000. The project is currently under environmental review. A final certificate for Phase I has not yet been considered by the Commission.

The PD encouraged Maritimes & Northeast and the Portland Natural Gas Transmission System (PNGTS)² to consider a single pipeline alternative or a joint right-of-way between Haverhill, Massachusetts and Portland, Maine. As part of its September 23, 1996, filing for Phase II of its project,³ Maritimes & Northeast submitted an engineering design for a 30-inch single pipeline alternative from Dracut to Cumberland/Portland. At this time the Commission Staff believes that the 30-inch alternative has enough capacity for it and PNGTS to share.

Maritimes & Northeast filed certain exhibits describing a 30-inch single-pipe alternative that it seeks to construct from Dracut to a proposed interconnection with Granite State Gas Transmission Company near Wells. Maritimes & Northeast says that its 30-inch single-pipe alternative is designed to accommodate service to PNGTS (or other arrangements such as a joint pipeline or common right-of-way) and

² See Order issued July 31, 1996, in Docket Nos. CP96-248-000 and CP96-249-000.

³ Phase II is a two-stage extension of Maritimes & Northeast's proposed project, first from Wells, Maine to Portland, Maine for 1998 interim service (south to north flow), and then from Portland to the Canadian border for 1999 service (provide access to Sable Island supply, north to south flow).

¹ A separate public notice of the application in Docket No. CP96-809-000 is being issued concurrently with Docket No. CP96-178-002.