State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified

Maps are available for inspection at 1413 20th Street, Orange, Texas.

Send comments to The Honorable Dan Cochran, Mayor, City of Orange, P.O. Box 520, Orange, Texas 77630.

Texas	Orange County (Un- incorporated Areas).	Sabine River	Approximately 30,000 feet downstream of Interstate 10.	*9	*8
	,		Approximately 5,000 feet upstream of Southern Pacific Railroad.	*15	*11
			Approximately 150,820 feet above mouth	*20	*16
		Little Cyrpress Bayou	At confluence with Sabine River	*11	*8
			Approximately 4,500 feet downstream of Little Cypress Road.	*13	*10
			Approximately 3,000 feet upstream of Little Cypress Road.	*14	*14

Maps are available for inspection at the Precinct 1 Community Center, North Highway 87, Orange, Texas.

Send comments to The Honorable Carl K. Thibodeaux, Orange County Judge, Orange County Courthouse, 801 Division, Orange, Texas 77630.

Texas	Rowlett (City) Dallas and Rockwall Counties and Dallas (City) Dallas, Denton, Collin, Rockwall, and Kaufman Coun-	Rowlett Creek	Just upstream of Rowlett Road	*437	*437
	ties.		Just upstream of State Highway 66 Approximately 3,800 feet upstream of State Highway 66.	*452 *454	*455 *457

Maps are available for inspection at the City of Rowlett, 3901 Main Street, Rowlett, Texas.

Send comments to The Honorable Mark Enoch, Mayor, City of Rowlett, P.O. Box 99, Rowlett, Texas 75030.

Maps are available for inspection at the City of Dallas, 320 Jefferson, Room 321, Dallas, Texas.

Send comments to The Honorable Steve Bartlett, Mayor at Large, City of Dallas, City Hall, 1500 Marilla, Dallas, Texas 75201.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Richard W. Krimm.

Executive Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate.

[FR Doc. 96–26910 Filed 10–18–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6718–04–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 1 and 73
[MM Docket No. 96–16, DA 96–1594]

## **Revision of Broadcast EEO Policies**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of reply comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In *Streamlining Broadcast EEO Rules and Policies*, DA 96–1594, released September 20, 1996, the Commission accepts late-filed comments and, on its own motion, extends the date for filing reply comments concerning the Commission's

Order and Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 96–16. A group of organizations (Petitioners) requests the acceptance of their late-filed comments, citing various reasons for the delay, including loss of staff and the failure of three hard drives. Because of these circumstances and in the interest of compiling a full record in this rule making, the Commission will accept these comments. In addition, due to the lateness of Petitioners' comments and their voluminous nature, the Commission believes that the public interest favors an extension of time for filing reply comments.

DATES: Reply comments due October 25, 1996

**ADDRESSES:** Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hope G. Cooper, Mass Media Bureau, Enforcement Division. (202) 418–1450. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. On February 8, 1996, the Commission adopted an *Order and Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, 11 FCC Rcd

5154 (1996), 61 FR 9964 (March 12, 1996), which vacated the Commission's *EEO Forfeiture Policy Statement* and requested comment on proposals for amending the Commission's EEO Rule and policies. Comment and Reply Comment dates were established for April 30, 1996, and May 30, 1996, respectively.

2. On April 12, 1996, twenty organizations, including the Minority Media and Telecommunications Council (hereinafter "Petitioners"), filed a Motion for Extension of Time to file comments in response to the abovecaptioned proceeding. On April 26, 1996, the Commission granted the Petitioners' request for extension of time. The date for filing comments was extended to July 1, 1996, and the date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Minority Media and Telecommunications Council et al., Motion For Extension of Time, MM Docket No. 96–16, filed April 12, 1996. For the names of the twenty organizations, see National Council of Churches et al., Petition For Reconsideration and Clarification, MM Docket No. 96–16, filed April 11, 1996, at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FCC 96–198 (released: April 26, 1996), 61 FR 25183 (May 20, 1996).

for filing reply comments was extended to July 31, 1996.

- 3. Petitioners filed two additional extensions of time 3 which the Commission granted.4 In response to the last request, the Commission extended the date for filing comments to August 26, 1996, and the date for filing reply comments to September 25, 1996.
- 4. On August 26, 1996, Petitioners filed Volume III of their comments stating that Volumes I and II were still being edited but would be filed shortly.5 They stated that they "experienced additional delay attendant to [their] analysis of the huge volume of data in the two research studies" contained in Volume III. On September 17, 1996, Petitioners filed Volumes I and II of their comments. Petitioners cite various difficulties that delayed the completion of their comments including loss of staff and the failure of three hard drives. They request acceptance of their latefiled comments.
- 5. In emergency situations, the Commission will consider motions for acceptance of comments filed after the filing date. See Section 1.46(b) of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR Section 1.46(b). Because of the circumstances cited above and in the interest of compiling a full record in this rule making, we will accept Petitioners' latefiled comments. However, due to the lateness of Petitioners' comments and their voluminous nature, we believe that the public interest favors an extension of the time for filing reply comments. Consequently, on our own motion, we will extend the deadline for filing reply comments to October 25, 1996.
- 6. Accordingly, it is ordered that the Commission, on its own motion, extends the time for filing reply comments.
- 7. It is further ordered that reply comments will be accepted through October 25, 1996.

This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 4(i) and 303(r), and Sections 0.204(b), 0.283 and 1.46 of the Commission's

Rules, 47 CFR Sections 0.204(b), 0.283 and 1.46.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Roy J. Stewart,

Chief, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-26902 Filed 10-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Highway Administration** 

49 CFR Parts 361, 362, 363, and 364

[FHWA Docket No. MC-96-18]

RIN 2125-AD64

**Rules of Practice for Motor Carrier** 

Proceedings: Investigations; **Disqualifications and Penalties** 

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM); extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 1996, the FHWA published notice of its proposal to amend its rules of practice for motor carrier administrative proceedings. (61 FR 18866). The FHWA now proposes to supplement that notice of proposed rulemaking to make the rules applicable to proceedings arising under section 103 of the ICC Termination Act of 1995 (ICCTA) as well. Before the ICCTA became effective on January 1, 1996, these proceedings fell under the jurisdiction of the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and were implemented and administered pursuant to ICC regulations. But the ICCTA abolished the ICC and gave the Secretary of Transportation responsibility for carrying out the provisions of section 103. The Secretary has delegated that responsibility to the FHWA. By broadening the scope of the proposed rules of practice to include proceedings arising under the ICCTA, the FHWA proposes to adopt uniform and consistent procedures to govern all investigation and civil forfeiture proceedings which it institutes.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written, signed comments to FHWA Docket No. MC-96-18, FHWA, Office of the Chief Counsel, HCC-10, Room 4232, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a selfaddressed, stamped postcard/envelope.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Rutledge, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366–0834, Federal Highway Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking addresses procedural changes that will facilitate implementation of the ICCTA, Pub.L. No. 104-88, 109 Stat. 803. Effective January 1, 1996, the ICCTA abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) but reenacted various statutory provisions that the ICC previously administered. Among the statutes reenacted are civil and criminal penalty provisions that apply to violations of Part B of Subtitle IV, Title 49, United States Code (49 U.S.C. 13101 et seq.). Those provisions appear in Chapter 149 of Part B.

The ICCTA charges the Secretary of Transportation with responsibility for carrying out Part B, including the civil penalty provisions in Chapter 149. The Secretary has delegated that responsibility to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Thus, the FHWA now oversees compliance with Part B of the ICCTA and is authorized to conduct investigations and implement enforcement proceedings to obtain compliance.

Currently, investigation and enforcement proceedings relating to violations of Part B are governed by procedures in former ICC regulations, which the FHWA adopted as an interim measure. (61 FR 14372, April 1, 1996). Those procedures differ from FHWA's procedures that apply to investigations and enforcement proceedings for violations of the safety regulations. For example, civil forfeiture proceedings arising from violations of the motor carrier safety regulations are governed by 49 CFR Part 386, whereas, similar proceedings for violations of Part B of the ICCTA are governed by 49 CFR Part 1021. Although civil forfeiture claims under Part 386 and Part 1021 are asserted the same way—by letter containing prescribed informationonly Part 386 requires the respondent to reply to the claim letter in a specified time with prescribed information in order to administratively resolve the claim. (49 CFR 386.14). In contrast, Part 1021 does not require a response to the claim letter and does not establish

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Minority Media and Telecommunications Council et al., Motion For Further Extension of Time, MM Docket No. 96-16, filed June 20, 1996. Minority Media and Telecommunications Council et al., Motion For Further Extension of Time, and For Waiver of Filing Deadline, MM Docket No. 96-16, filed August 5, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>11 FCC Rcd 7624 (1996), 61 FR 37241 (July 17, 1996); DA 96–1279 (released: August 9, 1996), 61 FR 46755 (September 5, 1996).

On August 12, 1996, Petitioners filed a letter indicating that the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People had joined Petitioners in their comments.