System number	System name
DOT/CG 529 DOT/CG 635	Civilian Payroll System. Coast Guard Mutual Assist- ance.
DOT/CG 691	Master Chief Petty Officer of the Coast Guard Indi- vidual Grievance and Correspondence File.

Dated: October 18, 1996. Crystal M. Bush, *Privacy Act Coordinator.* [FR Doc. 96–27497 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-96-51]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition. DATE: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before November 14, 1996. ADDRESS: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-_, 800 200), Petition Docket No. Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591. Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@faa.dot.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Haynes (202) 267–3939 or Marisa Mullen (202) 267–9681 Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 22, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistance Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 27001. Petitioner: Jetstream Aircraft Ltd. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.562(c)(5) and 25.785(a).

Description of Relief Sought: To extend Exemption No. 5587C granting relief from compliance with the Head Injury Criteria for front row passengers of the Jetstream Model 4101 airplane.

Docket No.: 28672. Petitioner: Alaska Airlines, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3, 43.5, 43.7, and 121.709.

Description of Relief Sought: To permit certificated Alaska Airlines flight crewmembers to sign the airworthiness release or log entry for the installation and/or removal of medivac stretchers in lieu of an authorized certificated mechanic or repairman.

Docket No.: 28685.

Petitioner: Raytheon Aircraft Services. *Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.398(b).

Description of Relief Sought: To allow the petitioner to operate its commuter category Beechcraft 2000 Starship and King Air 350 without collecting obstruction data or computing obstacle clearance performance data.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 011SW. Petitioner: Agusta S.p.A. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 27.1(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the increase of the maximum gross weight of the A109 series helicopters from 6,000 pounds to 7,000 pounds while maintaining the original normal category rotorcraft certification. GRANT, October 9, 1996, Exemption No. 6518.

Docket No.: 28689.

Petitioner: Air Medic.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.561, 25.562, and 25.785(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit certification of medical stretchers for transport of persons whose medical condition dictates such accommodations for use on the Boeing Model 777 airplanes and Airbus Model A330 and A340 airplanes. *Grant, October 3, 1996, Exemption No.* 6515.

[FR Doc. 96–27491 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport, Burbank, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 25, 1996. ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed in triplicate to the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, P.O. Box 92007, WWPC, Los Angeles, CA 90009, or delivered in triplicate to the following street address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, AWP–600, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Hawthorne, CA 90261.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Thomas Greer, Executive Director, Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, 2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA 91505.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Milligan, Supervisor, Standards Section, AWP–621, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Hawthorne, CA 90261, Tel (310) 725–3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Burbank-

Glendale-Pasadena Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On October 4, 1996 the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 17, 1997.

The following is a brief overview of the use application number 96–02–U–00–BUR:

Level of PFC: \$3.00.

Actual charge effective date: September 1, 1994.

Estimated charge expiration date: January 1, 2000.

Total estimated net PFC revenue to be used: \$27,441,000.00.

Brief description of proposed project(s): AF–04 Construct ARFF Station; LA–02 Acquire land—Plant B– 6.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/ Commercial Operators (ATCO) filing Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport.

Issued in Hawthorne, California on October 10, 1996.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 96–27493 Filed 10–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Federal Transit Administration

Environmental Impact Statement on the Third Street Light Rail Project in San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the San Francisco Municipal Railway (MUNI) hereby give notice that they intend to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), on the proposed construction of a light rail transit service along the Third Street corridor in San Francisco. The Third Street Light Rail Project would extend from a southern terminus connecting with the Bayshore CalTrain Station, travel along Bayshore Boulevard, cross Highway 101 to operate in a dedicated median right-ofway on Third Street through the Bayview commercial core, then past the Central Waterfront and Potrero Hill to King Street. North of Third and King

Streets, surface and subway options would extend the light rail line through South of Market, the downtown area, crossing Market Street to the downtown area, with a northern terminus in Chinatown near Sacramento or Washington Street. Other options would operate directly into the Market Street MUNI Metro subway. As part of the project, a new light rail maintenance and storage facility is proposed for a site just east of I–280, between 16th and Mariposa Streets.

The local lead agency-the City and County of San Francisco, Planning Department, Office of Environmental Review (OER)—will ensure that the environmental document also satisfies the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). In addition to the Third Street Light Rail Project, the EIS/EIR will evaluate a No Build Alternative, as well as any feasible alternatives generated through the scoping process. Scoping will be accomplished through correspondence with interested persons, organizations and federal, state and local agencies, and through two public scoping meetings. In addition, a Technical Advisory Committee and a Community Advisory Group will be established to provide input to the project. Numerous local community workshops and public informational forums are also planned to take place throughout the project corridor.

MEETING DATES: Public scoping meetings will be held at the following times and locations:

Day	Date	Time	Location
Wednesday Thursday			ANA Hotel, 50 Third Street. Southeast Community Center, 1600 Oakdale Avenue.

The meetings will have an Open House format from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., with a presentation and public comments on the EIS/EIR scope planned from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

ADDRESS FOR WRITTEN COMMENTS: Written comments on the scope of alternatives and impacts to be considered in the EIS/EIR should be sent to the local lead agency by December 6, 1996. Written comments should be sent to Mr. Paul Deutsch, Planning Department, Office of Environmental Review, 1660 Mission Street, Fifth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103.

FOR FURTHER PROJECT INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Olive, Project Manager, Service Planning Department, San Francisco Municipal Railway, 949 Presidio Ave., San Francisco, CA. 94115. Phone (415) 923–6100; or Donna Turchie, Office of Planning and Program Development, Federal Transit Administration, 201 Mission St., Rm. 2210, San Francisco, CA 94105. Phone (415) 744–3115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scoping

FTA and the local lead agency invite interested individuals, organizations, and federal, state and local agencies to participate in defining the alternatives to be evaluated in the EIS/EIR and identifying any significant social, economic, or environmental issues related to the alternatives. An

information packet describing the purpose of the proposed federal action, the proposed alternatives, the impact topics to be evaluated, the community involvement program, and the preliminary project schedule will be available at the Public Scoping Meetings. Persons may request the scoping materials by contacting Paul Deutsch at the address above, or by calling him at (415) 558–6383. Scoping comments may be made verbally at either of the public scoping meetings or in writing. See the DATES and ADDRESSES sections above for locations and times. During scoping, comments should focus on identifying specific social, economic or environmental impacts to be evaluated and suggesting design options