redundant material for purposes of clarity and readability.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This amendment is effective November 4, 1996.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurence Freiheit, Consultant, Regulations Staff, Compensation and

Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, telephone (202) 273–7252.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 38 U.S.C. 1110 and 1131 authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to compensate veterans for disability resulting from injury or disease incurred or aggravated during active military service provided that the disability is not the result of the person's own willful misconduct. 38 U.S.C. 1521(a) authorizes the Secretary to pay disability pension to certain veterans who are permanently and totally disabled from nonserviceconnected disability not the result of the veteran's willful misconduct. Although the statute does not define the term "willful misconduct," the VA regulation at 38 CFR 3.1(n) defines it as "an act involving conscious wrongdoing or known prohibited action (malum in se or malum prohibitum)."

We are deleting the Latin terms "malum in se or malum prohibitum." Although they are standard legal terms, they serve no purpose here because the definition in § 3.1(n) is clear without them. *Malum in se* and *malum* prohibitum are legal terms of art which carry with them bodies of case law defining their meaning. Essentially, they differentiate between actions that are inherently evil or immoral and those that are not inherently immoral but which become so because their commission is expressly forbidden by positive law. These terms are apparently included in the regulation to make clear that both types of actions are included within the terms "wrongdoing" and "prohibited action," together, would normally be understood to encompass both types of action, and, therefore, use of the Latin terms, the meaning of which is obscure to most persons, is not necessary.

A note following § 3.1(n)(3) directs users to  $\S 3.1(y)(2)(iii)$  for a definition of the term "willful misconduct" in determining whether certain veterans meet the requirements to be considered former prisoners of war. The correct citation is  $\S 3.1(y)(4)$ ; however, the definition at  $\S 3.1(y)(4)$  merely duplicates the first sentence of § 3.1(n) (without the Latin terms) and all of  $\S 3.1(n)(1)$ . It is therefore, redundant, and we are deleting the last two

sentences in  $\S 3.1(y)(4)$  as well as the note following  $\S 3.1(n)(3)$ .

Since these amendments merely remove unnecessary material and are not substantive in nature, the Secretary finds under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) that prior notice and comment are unnecessary and that there is a basis for dispensing with a 30-day delay of the effective date.

Because no notice of proposed rulemaking was required in connection with the adoption of this final rule, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612. Even so, the Secretary hereby certifies that these regulatory amendments will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility. These amendments are not substantive and do not affect any small entities.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers are 64.100, 64.101, 64.104, 64.105, 64.106, 64.109, and 64.110.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: September 12, 1996. Jesse Brown, Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 38 CFR part 3 is amended as follows:

## **PART 3—ADJUDICATION**

# Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A, continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

### § 3.1 [Amended]

- 2. In § 3.1, paragraph (n) introductory text is amended by removing "(malum in se or malum prohibitum)"; and by removing the Note immediately following paragraph (n)(3).
- 3. In § 3.1, paragraph (y)(4) is amended by removing the last two sentences

[FR Doc. 96-28190 Filed 11-1-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 57-8-6368a; FRL-5640-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South **Coast Air Quality Management District** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action on a revision to the California State Implementation Plan. The revision concerns a rule from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). This approval action will incorporate this rule into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving this rule is to regulate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The revised rule controls VOC emissions from solvent degreasing operations. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of this revision into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

**DATES:** This action is effective on January 3, 1997, unless adverse or critical comments are received by December 4, 1996. If the effective date is delayed, a timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for this rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street. Sacramento, CA 92123-1095

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air

and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Applicability

The rule being approved into the California SIP is: SCAQMD's Rule 1122, Solvent Degreasers. This rule was submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on May 13, 1993.

# Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 Act or pre-amended Act), that included the South Coast Air Basin, 43 FR 8964, 40 CFR 81.305. On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the 1977 Act, that the SCAQMD's portion of the California SIP was inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA's SIP-Call). On November 15, 1990, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were enacted. Pub. L. 101-549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q. In amended section 182(a)(2)(A) of the CAA, Congress statutorily adopted the requirement that nonattainment areas fix their deficient reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for ozone and established a deadline of May 15, 1991 for states to submit corrections of those deficiencies.

Section 182(a)(2)(A) applies to areas designated as nonattainment prior to enactment of the amendments and classified as marginal or above as of the date of enactment. It requires such areas to adopt and correct RACT rules pursuant to pre-amended section 172(b) as interpreted in pre-amendment guidance. EPA's SIP-Call used that guidance to indicate the necessary corrections for specific nonattainment areas. The South Coast Air Basin is classified as extreme; <sup>2</sup> therefore, this

area was subject to the RACT fix-up requirement and the May 15, 1991 deadline.

The State of California submitted many revised RACT rules for incorporation into its SIP on May 13, 1993, including the rule being acted on in this document. This document addresses EPA's direct-final action for SCAQMD's Rule 1122, Solvent Degreasers. SCAQMD adopted Rule 1122 on April 5, 1991. This submitted rule was found to be complete on July 19, 1993 pursuant to EPA's completeness criteria that are set forth in 40 CFR part 51, Appendix V <sup>3</sup> and is being finalized for approval into the SIP.

Rule 1122 controls the emissions of VOCs from degreasing (cleaning) operations. VOCs contribute to the production of ground level ozone and smog. This rule was originally adopted as part of SCAQMD's effort to achieve the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone and in response to EPA's SIP–Call and the section 182(a)(2)(A) CAA requirement. The following is EPA's evaluation and final action for this rule.

#### **EPA Evaluation and Action**

In determining the approvability of a VOC rule, EPA must evaluate the rule for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations, as found in section 110 and part D of the CAA and 40 CFR part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans). The EPA interpretation of these requirements, which forms the basis for today's action, appears in the various EPA policy guidance documents listed in footnote 1. Among those provisions is the requirement that a VOC rule must, at a minimum, provide for the implementation of RACT for stationary sources of VOC emissions. This requirement was carried forth from the pre-amended Act.

For the purpose of assisting state and local agencies in developing RACT rules, EPA prepared a series of Control Technique Guideline (CTG) documents. The CTGs are based on the underlying requirements of the Act and specify the presumptive norms for what is RACT for specific source categories. Under the CAA, Congress ratified EPA's use of these documents, as well as other Agency policy, for requiring States to "fix-up" their RACT rules. See section 182(a)(2)(A). The CTG applicable to this rule is entitled, Control of Volatile

Organic Emissions from Solvent Metal Cleaning; EPA–450/2–77–022 dated November 1977. Further interpretations of EPA policy are found in the Blue Book, referred to in footnote 1. In general, these guidance documents have been set forth to ensure that VOC rules are fully enforceable and strengthen or maintain the SIP.

SCAQMD's submitted Rule 1122, Solvent Degreasers includes the following significant changes from the current SIP:

- The definitions section has been expanded to include new terms,
- Requirements are separated for various degreaser types and general requirements are now specified,
- Standards for carbon adsorption systems have been added,
- The freeboard ratio for large degreasers has been raised from 0.75 to 1.0, and
- Compliance test methods and record keeping provisions have been added.

EPA has evaluated the submitted rule and has determined that it is consistent with the CAA, EPA regulations, and EPA policy. Therefore, SCAQMD's Rule 1122, Solvent Degreasers, is being approved under section 110(k)(3) of the CAA as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) and part D.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future implementation plan. Each request for revision to the state implementation plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

EPA is publishing this document without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in a separate document in this Federal Register publication, the EPA is proposing to approve the SIP revision should adverse or critical comments be filed. This action will be effective January 3, 1997 unless, by December 4, 1996, adverse or critical comments are received.

If the EPA receives such comments, this action will be withdrawn before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this action serving as a proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If no such comments are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Among other things, the pre-amendment guidance consists of those portions of the proposed post-1987 ozone and carbon monoxide policy that concern RACT, 52 FR 45044 (November 24, 1987); "Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations, Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 Federal Register Notice" (Blue Book) (notice of availability was published in the Federal Register on May 25, 1988); and the existing control technique guidelines (CTCs)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The South Coast Air Basin retained its designation of nonattainment and was classified by operation of law pursuant to sections 107(d) and 181(a) upon the date of enactment of the CAA. See 56 FR 56694 (November 6, 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>EPA adopted the completeness criteria on February 16, 1990 (55 FR 5830) and, pursuant to section 110(k)(1)(A) of the CAA, revised the criteria on August 26, 1991 (56 FR 42216).

received, the public is advised that this action will be effective January 3, 1997.

#### Regulatory Process

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises and government entities with jurisdiction over population of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under sections 110 and 301(a) and subchapter I, Part D of the CAA do not create any new requirements, but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP-approval does not impose any new requirements, I certify that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-state relationship under the CAA, preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The CAA forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. E.P.A., 427 U.S. 246, 256-66 (S. Ct. 1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410 (a)(2).

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

Under Sections 202, 203, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must undertake various actions in association with proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to the private sector or to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate.

Through submission of this state implementation plan or plan revision, the State and any affected local or tribal governments have elected to adopt the program provided for under Part D of the Clean Air Act. These rules may bind State, local, and tribal governments to perform certain actions and also require the private sector to perform certain duties. The rule being approved by this action will impose no new requirements because affected sources are already subject to these regulations under State law. Therefore, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments or to the private sector result from this action. EPA has also determined that this final action does not include a mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100

million or more to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate or to the private sector.

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's Federal Register. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action for signature by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the Federal Register on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214–2225), as revised by a July 10, 1995 memorandum from Mary Nichols, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Note: Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: October 17, 1996. Felicia Marcus, *Regional Administrator.* 

Subpart F of part 52, chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

### Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c) (193) (i)(A)(3) to read as follows:

#### §52.220 Identification of Plan.

\* \* \* \* \* (c) \* \* \* (193) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \*

(3) Rule 1122, adopted on April 5, 1991.

[FR Doc. 96–28061 Filed 11–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 009-0013a; FRL-5610-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Glenn County and Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control Districts

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rules submitted by the State of California on behalf of the Air Pollution Control Districts of Glenn and Siskiyou Counties (the Counties) for the purpose of meeting requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act) with regard to general preconstruction permitting. This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to control air pollution in accordance with the requirements of the Act. The Counties' rules control emissions from new stationary sources. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these revisions into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on January 3, 1997, unless adverse or critical comments are received by December 4, 1996. If the effective date is delayed, a timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are available for inspection at the following locations:

New Source Section (A–5–1), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 92123– 1095.

Glenn County Air Pollution Control District, PO Box 351, Willows, CA 95988.