Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385 2010

D10. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—The application is ready for environmental analysis at this time, and the Commission is requesting comments, reply comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions.

The Commission directs, pursuant to section 4.34(b) of the regulations (see Order No. 533 issued May 8, 1991, 56 FR 23108, May 20, 1991) that all comments, recommendations, terms and conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice (December 20, 1996 for Project No. 11546-000 and December 5, 1996 for Project No. 11072-001). All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice (February 3, 1997 for Project No. 11546-000 and January 21, 1997 for Project No. 11072–

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "REPLY COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS

AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b), and 385.2010.

E1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—The application is not ready for environmental analysis at this time; therefore, the Commission is not now requesting comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions.

When the application is ready for environmental analysis, the Commission will issue a public notice requesting comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST" or "MOTION TO INTERVENE;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

Dated: October 30, 1996, Washington, D.C. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–28466 Filed 11–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket Nos. RP93–151–000, RP94–39, RP94–127, RP94–197, RP94–309, RP94–425, RP95–89, RP95–216, RP95–368, RP95–451, RP96–85, RP96–195, RP96–297, RP97–7, RP93–148, RP95–62, RP96–73, RP94–222, RP94–202, RP94–309, and RP95–112]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

November 1, 1996.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference will be convened in this proceeding on Thursday, November 7, 1996, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC, 20426, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above-referenced dockets.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 285.102(c), or any participant, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact Donald Williams at (202) 208–0743 or Dennis H. Melvin at (202) 208–0042. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–28512 Filed 11–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP97-55-000, et al.]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

October 30, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. NorAm Gas Transmission Company [Docket No. CP97–55–000]

Take notice that on October 21, 1996, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 525 Milam Street, P.O. Box 21734, Shreveport, Louisiana 71151, filed in Docket No. CP97-55-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to continue operating a 2inch tap and 2-inch U-Shape meter station, located in Faulkner County, Arkansas, to provide transportation services to ARKLA, a distribution division of NorAm Energy Corporation (ARKLA) under NGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT proposes to continue operating a 2-inch tap and a 2-inch U-Shape meter station on their Line B to provide transportation services under Subpart G of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations. NGT states this tap was originally installed solely to provide services authorized under Section 311 of the Commission's Regulations, to ARKLA, and is located in Faulkner County, Arkansas.

NGŤ advises that the estimated volumes to be delivered through these facilities are approximately 10,000 MMBtu annually and 30 MMBtu on a peak day on a firm basis to ARKLA's new Rural Extension No. 1391, consisting of 4,066 feet of 4-inch plastic pipe installed along state highway right-

of-way. NGT states the facilities were constructed in October, 1996, at an estimated cost of \$3,316 and ARKLA will reimburse NGT \$2,661 of the total construction cost.

Comment date: December 16, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Equitrans, Inc.

[Docket No. CP97-57-000]

Take notice that on October 21, 1996, Equitrans, Inc. (Equitrans), 3500 Park Lane, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275, filed in Docket No. CP97-57-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install one delivery tap under Equitrans's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-508-000 and CP86-676-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Equitrans proposes to install the proposed delivery tap on Equitrans field gathering pipeline No. F-986 in Upshur County, West Virginia. The tap will be instituted to provide transportation deliveries to Equitable Gas for ultimate distribution to one residential customer. Equitrans will charge Equitable the applicable transportation rate contained in Equitrans FERC Gas Tariff on file with and approved by the Commission. Equitrans projects that the 1 Mcf per day of peak service requested within the entitlements of Equitable Gas, and will not impact Equitrans peak day and annual deliveries. Equitrans has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries described herein without detriment to its other customers.

Equitrans states that the new delivery tap is not prohibited by its existing tariff and the total volumes delivered to Equitable Gas will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to the request.

Comment date: December 16, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. NorAm Gas Transmission Company [Docket No. CP97-62-000]

Take notice that on October 23, 1996, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in the above docket a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Regulations (18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.211) under its blanket certificate in Docket Nos. CP82–384–000 and CP82–384–001 to construct and operate certain facilities in Arkansas and Louisiana to deliver gas

to ARKLA, a distribution division of NorAm Energy Corp., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, NGT proposes to: (a) operate an existing 2-inch tap on NGT's Line AC in Pike County, Arkansas for delivery of natural gas to ARKLA's customers to other than the right-of-way grantor for whom the tap was originally installed; (b) construct and operate a new 2-inch delivery tap and 2-inch U-Shape meter station on NGT's Line F in Bossier Parish, Louisiana, to provide an additional town border station for ARKLA to serve its Princeton system and, (c) construct and operate a new 2inch delivery tap and 4-inch meter run on NGT's Line LIT-1 in Caddo Parish. Louisiana, to provide service to ARKLA.

NGT states that the estimated volumes to be delivered through these facilities are 76,083 MMBtu annually and 346 MMBtu on a peak day. The facilities will be constructed at an estimated cost of \$64,444, and ARKLA will reimburse NGT for all costs.

NGT states that it will transport gas to ARKLA and provide service under its tariff, that the volumes delivered are within ARKLA's certificated entitlement and NGT's tariff does not prohibit the addition of new delivery points. NGT states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: December 16, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Florida Gas Transmission Company [Docket No. CP97-68-000]

Take notice that on October 25, 1996, Florida Gas Transmission Company (Applicant), 1400 Smith Street, Suite 3963, Houston, Texas 77251, filed under Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, for authority to abandon, a certificated firm transportation service for Southern Natural Gas Company (SNG). The service is Applicant's Rate Schedule X–22 in its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 3. Applicant's proposal is more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that FGT and SNG have agreed to end the gas transportation service agreement dated February 10, 1981 designated in FGT's Tariff as Rate Schedule X–22. FGT states that the proposed abandonment will not result in the abandonment of facilities or service to other customers.

Comment date: November 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Northern Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP97–69–000]

Take notice that on October 25, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124–1000, filed in Docket No. CP97-69-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon a segment of pipeline in Saline County, Nebraska, under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern proposes to abandon and remove approximately 1.2 miles of 2inch pipeline (the Wilber, Nebraska, branchline) and appurtenant facilities. It is stated that the line was installed to serve the town of Wilber, Nebraska, but is no longer needed to serve current customer needs. It is explained that the customers are served by another line, a 3-inch loop line serving the Wilber Town Border Station, and that this line has adequate capacity to serve the customers formerly served by the 2-inch line. It is asserted that the proposed abandonment will not result in the abandonment of service to any of Northern's existing customers. It is further asserted that the customers served by the 2-inch line have agreed to the abandonment.

Comment date: December 16, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission by** Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–28465 Filed 11–5–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP97-27-000, et al.]

Puget Sound Energy, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

October 29, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Puget Sound Energy

[Docket No. CP97-27-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 1996 Puget Sound Energy (PSE), 411 108th Avenue, N.E., Bellevue, Washington 98004–5515, as Successor In Interest to Washington Natural Gas Company, in its capacity as Project Operator of the Jackson Prairie Storage Project (Storage Project), filed an abbreviated application

for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, to succeed to the role as Project Operator of the Storage Project effective upon the merger of the current certificate holder, Washington Natural Gas Company (Washington Natural), with, and into, PSE. Specifically, PSE requests the Commission to reissue to PSE certain case-specific Section 7(c) certificates and a Part 284 blanket certificate previously issued to Washington Natural, as Project Operator of the Storage Project, all as more fully described in the application that is on file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and open to public inspection.

PSE states that no change in operations, no new construction, nor increase in storage activity is proposed. Rather, PSE states that this application is submitted in its status as "Successor In Interest" to Washington Natural the current Project Operator of the Storage Project which is located in Chehalis, Lewis County, Washington adjacent to Northwest Pipeline Corporation's (Northwest) main transmission lines. The Storage Project is currently owned in equal one-third undivided interests by Washington Natural, Northwest Pipeline, and Washington Water Power Company. PSE states that the operations of the Storage Project are conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Gas Storage Agreement (Project Agreement) to which each of the owners is a signatory. According to PSE, the Project Agreement provides that the overall supervision of the Project is conducted by a Management Committee on which each of the three owners is represented.

PSE says the Project Agreement is on file with the Commission as Washington Natural's Rate Schedule No. S-1 in its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. Concurrent with the effective date of the merger, PSE states that it will file the Project Agreement as its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 and will cancel Washington Natural's tariff. PSE states that it is filing this application at this time, pending a review of the merger by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC), to ensure that the Commission will have all of the necessary information to grant authorizations requested effective with the approval of the merger, thereby avoiding any lapse in the authorization to conduct storage operations, especially during the upcoming winter heating season.

Comment date: November 19, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Equitrans, L.P.

[Docket No. CP97-42-000]

Take notice that on October 18, 1996, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans), 3500 Park Lane, Pittsburgh, PA 15275, filed in the above docket a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.212) to install one delivery tap pursuant to its blanket certificate in Docket No. CP83–508–000 and transferred to Equitrans in Docket No. CP86–676–000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Equitrans states that the proposed delivery tap is to be installed on Equitrans' field gathering pipeline No. F-114 in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The tap will be instituted to provide transportation deliveries to Equitable Gas for retail service to one residential customer. Equitrans indicates that it will charge Equitable the applicable transportation rate contained in Equitrans' FERC Gas Tariff on file with and approved by the Commission. Equitrans projects that the quantity of gas to be delivered through the proposed delivery tap will be approximately 1 Mcf on a peak day.

Equitrans states that it will offer the proposed service within the existing certificated transportation entitlements of Equitable Gas under Equitrans' Rate Schedule FTS. Equitrans indicates that its tariff does not prohibit this type of service. Further, Equitrans states that the total volumes to be delivered to Equitable Gas after this request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request.

Comment date: December 13, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Questar Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP97-49-000]

Take notice that on October 18, 1996, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 79 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed in Docket No. CP97-49-000 a petition for declaratory order requesting that the Commission (1) remove the at-risk conditions attached to Commission orders associated with the Muddy Creek interconnect (57 FERC ¶ 61,094 and 65 FERC ¶ 61,033) and the Fidlar Compressor Station expansion and Main Line 68 replacement facilities (71 FERC ¶ 61,210) and (2) find that the costs and revenues attributable to these facilities will be included in Questar's cost of service and revenues on a rolledin basis in any future rate proceeding.