TA–W	Subject Firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of Petition	Product(s)
31,762	Rose Art Lamps Shade (Wkrs)	Bronx, NY	12/13/95	Lamps & Lamp Shades.
31,763	U.S. Enertek (Wkrs)	Farmington, NM	12/16/95	Oilfield Equipment.
31,764	Elf Atochem North Ámerica (Comp)	Rosiclare, IL	12/30/95	Calcium Fluroride.
31,765	EIS Brake Parts (Wkrs)	Rural Retreat, VA	12/07/95	Brake Shoes.
31,766	Rockwell Int'l (Wkrs)	El Paso, TX	12/21/95	Semiconductors.
31,767	PMI Food Equipment (Wkrs)	Troy, OH	12/18/95	Commercial Dishwashers.
31,768	Newell Window Furnishings (UPIU)	Ogdensburg, NY	12/04/95	Window Shades.
31,769	James River Corporation (AWPPW)	Portland, OR	12/20/95	Poly Coated Paper—Frozen Food Pack-
				aging.
31,770	Allied Signal Safety (Wkrs)	Maryville, TN	11/14/95	Automobile Airbags.
31,771	Buster Brown Apparel (Wkrs)	Lafayette, GA	12/29/95	Childrens Apparel.
31,772	Buster Brown Apparel (Co.)	Ider, AL	12/18/95	Childrens Apparel.
31,773	Buster Brown Apparel (Co.)	Marion, VA	12/18/95	Children's Apparel.
31,774	Delta Apparel (Co.)	Tellico Plains, TN	12/12/95	Knit T-Shirts.
31,775	Delta Apparel (Co.)	Sparta, GA	12/12/95	Knit T-Shirts.
31,776	Communications and Power (Co.)	San Carlos, CA	12/12/95	Power Grid Vacuum Tubes.
31,777	Communications and Power (Co.)	Salt Lake City, UT	12/12/95	Power Grid Vacuum Tubes.
31,778	F.G. Montabert (UTWA)	Midland Park, NJ	12/07/95	Woven Garment Labels.
31,779	Dayton Racquet Co., Inc (Wkrs)	Arcanum, OH	12/01/95	Steel Racquets.
31,780	Cray Research, Inc (Comp)	Eagan, MN	12/06/95	Super Computer Systems.
31,781	CRI Customer Service (Wkrs)	Chippewa Falls, WI	12/06/95	Administrative Off. & Support Personnel.
31,782	Synergy Services, Inc (Wkrs)	Greenville, SC	12/29/95	Denim Garments.
31,783	Farris Fashion (Comp)	Brinkley, AR	12/19/95	Men's Knit Shirts.
31,784	Farris Fashion (Comp)	Marianna, AR	12/19/95	Men's Flannel Shirts.
31,785	Farris Fashion (Comp)	Hazen, AR	12/19/95	Men's Flannel Shirts.
31,786	Lauderdale Mills (Comp)	Lauderdale, MS	12/12/95	Ladies' & Children's T-Shirts.
31,787	Lee Apparel Co. (UFCW)	Fayetteville, TN	12/01/95	Denim Jeans.
31,788	Martin Blouse, Inc. (UNITE)	Shenandoah, PA	12/20/95	Men's Shirts & Women's Clothing.
31,789	Karl J. Marx (Wkrs)	New York, NY	12/11/95	Men's, Ladies' & Children's Clothing.
31,790	H.H. Cutler Co (Comp)	Grand Rapids, MI	12/14/95	Adult T-Shirts.

PETITIONS INSTITUTED ON 01/22/96—Continued

[FR Doc. 96-3248 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration

Annual Reporting and Disclosure **Requirements: Correction**

AGENCY: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In notice document 96-2140 beginning on page 3735 in the issue of Thursday, February 1, 1996, make the following correction:

On page 3736 in the second column, persons interested in commenting on the collection of information were directed to send their comments to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Room 10235, NEOB, Washington, D.C. 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for PWBA. This should be changed to read Gerald B. Lindrew, U.S. Department of Labor, PWBA/OPLA, Room N-5647, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. Furthermore, correcting the original notice, copies of the comments are not required to be filed with Mrs. Theresa O'Malley or with any other party.

As stated in the original notice, the comment period remains open for sixty days from the date of publication, February 1, 1996.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of February, 1996.

Alan D. Lebowitz

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Program **Operations, Pension and Welfare Benefits** Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. [FR Doc. 96-3249 Filed 2-13-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370]

Duke Power Company, McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2; **Environmental Assessment and** Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-9 and NPF-17, issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the McGuire Nuclear Station, located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the current combined Technical Specifications for Unit 1 and Unit 2 by separating them into individual volumes for each unit.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendments dated July 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated October 9, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would facilitate Technical Specification changes when it was required to be done separately for each unit. This would especially be important when, for a period of time, Unit 1 will have different steam generators than Unit 2.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the changes proposed by the licensee are administrative in nature and have no nonradiological or radiological environmental significance.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be

released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 4, 1996, the staff consulted with the North Carolina official, Mr. J. James of the Division of Radiation Protection, North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of no Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated July 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated October 9, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Eugene V. Imbro,

Acting Director, Project Directorate II–2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 96–3256 Filed 2–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Biweekly Notice Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations; Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

I. Background

Pursuant to Public Law 97-415, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC staff) is publishing this regular biweekly notice. Public Law 97-415 revised section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), to require the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, under a new provision of section 189 of the Act. This provision grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This biweekly notice includes all notices of amendments issued, or proposed to be issued from January 22, 1996, through February 2, 1996. The last biweekly notice was published on January 31, 1996 (61 FR 3497).

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments To Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The basis for this proposed determination for each amendment request is shown below.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received before action is taken. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. The filing of requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 15, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714