arguments which would warrant reconsideration of this issue. Accordingly we continue to reject petitioner's position for the same reasons stated in the above-cited 1992–93 Lead Bar Final Results. Because this is not a program-wide change, the issue will be dealt with in the administrative review of the period in which the acquisition occurred.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with § 355.22(c)(4)(ii) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, we determine the net subsidy for United Engineering Steels to be 1.69 percent *ad valorem*.

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess countervailing duties as indicted above. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the percentages detailed above of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from reviewed companies entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in § 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See § 355.22(a) of the Interim Regulations. Pursuant to 19 CFR § 355.22(g), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See Federal-Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and Floral Trade Council v. United States, 822 F Supp. 766 (CIT 1993) (Interpreting 19 CFR § 353.22(e), the antidumping regulation on automatic assessment, which is identical to 19 CFR) 355.22(g)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this

review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for nonreviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order are those established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding. See Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products from the United Kingdom: Final Results of Administrative Review, 60 FR 54841 (October 26, 1995). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with § 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: November 4, 1996. Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–29089 Filed 11–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-M

[C-122-404]

Live Swine From Canada; Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register the final results of three administrative reviews of the countervailing duty order on live swine from Canada (61 FR 52408). Based on corrections of ministerial errors, we are now amending the final results of the three reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 14, 1996. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Moore, Cameron Cardozo or Norma Curtis, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 1996, the Department published the final results of three administrative reviews of the countervailing duty order on live swine from Canada (61 FR 52408). The periods covered by these administrative reviews are April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992, April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994. These reviews were conducted on an aggregate basis and involved 43 programs.

On October 10, 1996, we received a timely allegation from the Canadian Pork Council (CPC), respondents, that the Department had made ministerial errors in calculating the final results in these reviews.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Reviews

On August 29, 1996, the Final Results of Changed Circumstances Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Revocation were published (61 FR 45402), in which we revoked the order, in part, effective April 1, 1991, with respect to slaughter sows and boars and weanlings from Canada, because this portion of the order was no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. As a result, the merchandise now covered by the order and by these administrative reviews is live swine except U.S. Department of Agriculture certified purebred breeding swine, slaughter sows and boars and weanlings (weanlings are swine weighing up to 27 kilograms or 59.5 pounds.) The merchandise subject to the order is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 0103.91.00 and 0103.92.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for

convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Ministerial Errors in Final Results of Reviews

A. 1991–92, 1992–93, and 1993–94 Administrative Reviews

The respondents allege that in the final results of these reviews, the Department incorrectly calculated the benefit for the Feed Freight Assistance Program (FFA). At the October 3, 1996 disclosure conference, the Department reiterated, as stated in the preliminary results of these reviews, that to determine the FFA benefit in each review, we used the same methodology applied in the sixth administrative review of this order. The respondents argue that in these reviews the Department added a step to its calculation methodology not present in the sixth administrative review. To calculate the FFA benefit in each review, the Department first calculated the share of the province's swine production that was eligible for this benefit and then used this number, rather than total production, to calculate the benefit per kilo. The respondents argue that the first step should be removed from the calculations and that the benefit should be calculated based on the total swine production.

We have reviewed the calculations for this program and we agree that we added a calculation step that we did not intend to add, and as a result we did not use the same methodology as in the sixth review. Accordingly, to be consistent with the calculation methodology used by the Department in the sixth administrative review, we have corrected the ministerial errors where appropriate. The net subsidies for this program are now Can\$0.0002 per kilogram for the 1991-92 review period, Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1992-93 review period, and Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1993-94 review period.

B. 1992-93 Administrative Review

The respondents allege that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the benefit for the Alberta Crow Benefit Offset Program. The respondents claim that the Department inaccurately calculated the total swine consumption of grain when multiplying the number of live swine produced during the period of review by the per swine consumption of grain. As a result, the total benefit for this program was calculated by the Department inaccurately. We have reviewed the calculations for this program and found that the calculations are accurate. Any

apparent discrepancy is due to the computation of rounded-off figures, which takes into account underlying decimals that do not appear on the spreadsheet. Accordingly, we have not changed the calculation of the benefit for this program.

C. 1993-94 Administrative Review

The respondents allege that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the benefit for the British Columbia Farm Income Insurance Program. The respondents claim that the Department inaccurately divided the total benefit by the production of live swine in kilos due to a typographical error. We have reviewed the calculations for this program and we agree that there was a data input error resulting in an incorrect division of numbers. Accordingly, we have corrected the ministerial error. The net subsidy for this program is now less than Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1993–94 period of review.

Amended Final Results of Reviews

As a result of correcting the final results for these ministerial errors, we determine the total net subsidies on live swine from Canada to be Can\$0.597 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992, Can\$0.0611 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and Can\$0.0100 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties of Can\$0.0597 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1991 and on or before March 31, 1992, Can\$0.0611 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1992 and on or before March 31, 1993, and Can\$0.0100 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1993 an on or before March 31, 1994.

The Department will also instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of Can\$0.0100 per kilogram on shipments of all live swine from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the amended final results of administrative reviews. These cash deposit requirements will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amendment of final results of reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(f)) and 19 CFR 355.28(c).

Dated: November 6, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–29092 Filed 11–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 110596G]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting of the Ad Hoc Red Snapper Advisory Panel (AP).

DATES: This meeting will be held on December 12, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Doubletree Guest Suites Hotel, 4400 West Cypress Street, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone: 813–873–8675.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 331, Tampa, FL 33609.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne E. Swingle, Executive Director; telephone: 813–228–2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the meeting will be to review the the options paper for development of an amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico regarding a red snapper license limitation system, and will make recommendations for preferred alternatives to the Council.

The AP is comprised of individuals who would be affected by a limited entry system in the commercial red snapper industry.