

convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Ministerial Errors in Final Results of Reviews

A. 1991-92, 1992-93, and 1993-94 Administrative Reviews

The respondents allege that in the final results of these reviews, the Department incorrectly calculated the benefit for the Feed Freight Assistance Program (FFA). At the October 3, 1996 disclosure conference, the Department reiterated, as stated in the preliminary results of these reviews, that to determine the FFA benefit in each review, we used the same methodology applied in the sixth administrative review of this order. The respondents argue that in these reviews the Department added a step to its calculation methodology not present in the sixth administrative review. To calculate the FFA benefit in each review, the Department first calculated the share of the province's swine production that was eligible for this benefit and then used this number, rather than total production, to calculate the benefit per kilo. The respondents argue that the first step should be removed from the calculations and that the benefit should be calculated based on the total swine production.

We have reviewed the calculations for this program and we agree that we added a calculation step that we did not intend to add, and as a result we did not use the same methodology as in the sixth review. Accordingly, to be consistent with the calculation methodology used by the Department in the sixth administrative review, we have corrected the ministerial errors where appropriate. The net subsidies for this program are now Can\$0.0002 per kilogram for the 1991-92 review period, Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1992-93 review period, and Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1993-94 review period.

B. 1992-93 Administrative Review

The respondents allege that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the benefit for the Alberta Crow Benefit Offset Program. The respondents claim that the Department inaccurately calculated the total swine consumption of grain when multiplying the number of live swine produced during the period of review by the per swine consumption of grain. As a result, the total benefit for this program was calculated by the Department inaccurately. We have reviewed the calculations for this program and found that the calculations are accurate. Any

apparent discrepancy is due to the computation of rounded-off figures, which takes into account underlying decimals that do not appear on the spreadsheet. Accordingly, we have not changed the calculation of the benefit for this program.

C. 1993-94 Administrative Review

The respondents allege that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the benefit for the British Columbia Farm Income Insurance Program. The respondents claim that the Department inaccurately divided the total benefit by the production of live swine in kilos due to a typographical error. We have reviewed the calculations for this program and we agree that there was a data input error resulting in an incorrect division of numbers. Accordingly, we have corrected the ministerial error. The net subsidy for this program is now less than Can\$0.0001 per kilogram for the 1993-94 period of review.

Amended Final Results of Reviews

As a result of correcting the final results for these ministerial errors, we determine the total net subsidies on live swine from Canada to be Can\$0.597 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992, Can\$0.0611 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and Can\$0.0100 per kilogram for the period April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties of Can\$0.0597 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1991 and on or before March 31, 1992, Can\$0.0611 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1992 and on or before March 31, 1993, and Can\$0.0100 per kilogram on shipments of live swine from Canada exported on or after April 1, 1993 and on or before March 31, 1994.

The Department will also instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of Can\$0.0100 per kilogram on shipments of all live swine from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the amended final results of administrative reviews. These cash deposit requirements will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amendment of final results of reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(f)) and 19 CFR 355.28(c).

Dated: November 6, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-29092 Filed 11-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 110596G]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting of the Ad Hoc Red Snapper Advisory Panel (AP).

DATES: This meeting will be held on December 12, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Doubletree Guest Suites Hotel, 4400 West Cypress Street, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone: 813-873-8675.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 331, Tampa, FL 33609.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne E. Swingle, Executive Director; telephone: 813-228-2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the meeting will be to review the the options paper for development of an amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico regarding a red snapper license limitation system, and will make recommendations for preferred alternatives to the Council.

The AP is comprised of individuals who would be affected by a limited entry system in the commercial red snapper industry.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by December 5, 1996.

Dated: November 7, 1996.

Bruce C. Morehead,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 96-29173 Filed 11-13-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

[I.D. 110596C]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting of the Florida/Alabama Habitat Protection Committee.

DATES: This meeting will be held on December 4, 1996, beginning at 9:00 a.m. and will conclude at 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Radisson Bay Harbor Inn, 7700 Courtney Campbell Causeway, Tampa, FL; telephone: 813-281-8900.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 331, Tampa, FL 33609.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Hoogland, Biologist; telephone: 813-228-2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A panel of concerned representatives of Florida and Alabama recreational and commercial fishing groups, conservation organizations, academia and state and Federal resource agencies will gather to review marine fishery habitat issues.

The Florida/Alabama group is part of a 3-unit Habitat Protection Advisory Panel (AP) to the Council. The principal role of the APs is to assist the Council in attempting to maintain optimum conditions within the habitat and ecosystems supporting the marine resources of the Gulf of Mexico. APs serve as a first alert system to call to the Council's attention proposed projects being developed and other activities which may adversely impact the Gulf marine fisheries and their supporting ecosystems. The APs may also provide advice to the Council on its policies and procedures for addressing environmental affairs.

At this meeting, the AP will discuss the Florida/Georgia/Alabama water management plan and its potential impact on Gulf fisheries, introduction of non-indigenous species in shipping ballast water, and mitigation banking for wetland destruction.

A copy of the agenda can be obtained by contacting the Council (see **ADDRESSES**).

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by November 27, 1996.

Dated: November 7, 1996.

Bruce C. Morehead,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 96-29174 Filed 11-13-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Bangladesh**

November 7, 1996.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Arnold, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted, variously, for swing, special shift and carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS

numbers is available in the **CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 60 FR 65299, published on December 19, 1995). Also see 60 FR 65290, published on December 19, 1995.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of their provisions.

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

November 7, 1996.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on December 13, 1995, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Bangladesh and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1996 and extends through December 31, 1996.

Effective on November 13, 1996, you are directed to adjust the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit ¹
237	398,680 dozen.
334	118,283 dozen.
335	137,571 dozen.
336/636	381,703 dozen.
341	1,926,522 dozen.
342/642	376,645 dozen.
352/652	8,924,384 dozen.
634	475,382 dozen.
635	330,990 dozen.
641	659,659 dozen.
647/648	1,416,238 dozen.
847	188,713 dozen.

¹ The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1995.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C.553(a)(1).