and Management Program to provide a single, highly integrated technical program for maintaining the continued safety and reliability of the nuclear stockpile. The Stockpile Stewardship and Management PEIS describes and analyzes alternative ways to implement the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Program.

Stockpile stewardship refers to activities associated with research, design, development, and testing of nuclear weapons, and the assessment and certification of their safety and reliability. The stockpile stewardship portion of the PEIS evaluates the potential environmental impacts of three proposed facilities: the National Ignition Facility (NIF), the Contained Firing Facility, and the Atlas Facility. Four sites are potentially affected by the Stockpile Stewardship alternatives: Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico (SNL), and the Nevada Test Site (NTS).

Stockpile management refers to activities associated with the production, maintenance, surveillance, refurbishment, and dismantlement of the nuclear weapons stockpile. The stockpile management portion of the PEIS evaluates the potential environmental impacts of the reasonable alternatives for carrying out the stockpile management functions. Alternative sites are assessed for nuclear weapons assembly/disassembly, and for fabrication of plutonium, uranium, high explosives, and nonnuclear components. Eight sites are potentially affected: Savannah River Site (SRS), Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), Pantex Plant (Pantex), Kansas City Plant (KCP), LANL, LLNL, SNL, and NTS.

The PEIS also evaluates the No Action alternative of relying on existing facilities and continuing the missions at current sites to achieve both stockpile stewardship and stockpile management missions. The No Action alternative assesses the environmental impacts of the on-going Stockpile Stewardship and Management Program and provides a baseline against which alternatives can be evaluated.

The following preferred alternatives have been identified:

Stockpile Stewardship

- —Construct and operate the NIF at LLNL;
- Construct and operate the Contained Firing Facility at LLNL;
- —Construct and operate the Atlas Facility at LANL.

Stockpile Management

- —Secondary and Case Component Fabrication—downsize the Y-12 Plant at ORR;
- —Pit Component Fabrication—reestablish capability and appropriate capacity at LANL;
- —Assembly/Disassembly—downsize at Pantex;
- —High Explosives Fabrication downsize at Pantex;
- Nonnuclear Component Fabrication downsize at KCP.

Based on the analyses performed to support this PEIS, the preferred alternatives for strategic reserve storage are as follows: (1) Highly enriched uranium strategic reserve storage at Y-12; and (2) plutonium pit strategic reserve storage in Zone 12 at Pantex The preferred alternatives for strategic reserve storage could change based upon analyses conducted in support of the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Final PEIS. Decisions on strategic reserve storage are not expected to be made until both the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Final PEIS and the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Final PEIS are completed. The preferred alternative for plutonium-242 oxide at SRS is to transport the material to LANL for storage.

DOE has distributed copies of the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Final PEIS to interested individuals and organizations. Additional copies of the Final PEIS are available to any other interested persons and can be requested as described above. DOE expects to issue a Record of Decision on the Stockpile Stewardship and Management PEIS no sooner than December 16, 1996.

Signed in Washington, DC this 13th day of November 1996, for the United States Department of Energy.

Victor H. Reis,

Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs. [FR Doc. 96–29540 Filed 11–18–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Secretary of Energy Advisory Board

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: Consistent with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the following advisory committee meeting:

Name: Secretary of Energy Advisory Board.

Date and Time: Tuesday, December 3, 1996, 9:00 am–1:00 pm.

Place: National Press Club, 14th and F Streets, NW, Washington, DC 20045. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dick Fredlund, Deputy Director, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585; or by phone (202) 586–7092, fax (202) 586–6279, or e-mail dick.fredlund@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Committee: The Secretary of Energy Advisory Board (Board), comprised of distinguished members of the private sector, provides expert, independent advice, information and recommendations to the Secretary. Issues addressed by the Board include the Department's management reforms, basic and applied research and development activities, and other issues related to the Department's energy, science and technology, environmental quality and national security responsibilities.

Tentative Agenda

Tuesday, December 3, 1996

9:00 am–9:15 am—Opening Remarks 9:15 am–11:00 am—Message from the Secretary: DOE Accomplishments and Priorities

11:00 am–12:30 pm—Subcommittee
Reports and Discussion of the
following: Laboratory Operations
Board, Openness Advisory Panel,
Task Force on the Non-Proliferation
and Arms Control Implications of
Weapons-Usable Fissile Material
Disposition Alternatives, and Task
Force on Electric System Reliability
12:30 pm–1:00 pm—Public Comment
1:00 pm—Adjourn.

A final agenda will be available at the meeting.

Public Participation: The Chairman of the Board is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in the Chairman's judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. During its meeting in Miamisburg, Ohio, the Board welcomes public comment. Members of the public will be heard in the order in which they sign up at the beginning of the meeting. The Board will make every effort to hear the views of all interested parties. Written comments may be submitted to Dick Fredlund, Deputy Director, Secretary of Energy Advisory Board, AB-1, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585, or sent by fax to (202) 586-6279, or by email to dick.fredlund@hq.doe.gov.

Minutes: Minutes and a transcript of the meeting will be available for public review and copying approximately 30 days following the meeting at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E–190 Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, between 9:00 am and 4:00 pm, Monday through Friday except Federal holidays.

Issued at Washington, DC, on November 14, 1996.

Rachel Samuel,

Acting Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–29578 Filed 11–18–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Bonneville Power Administration

Albeni Falls Wildlife Management Plan

AGENCY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), DOE. ACTION: Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Floodplain Statement of Findings.

SUMMARY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund the development and implementation of the Albeni Falls Wildlife Management Plan (Plan). The Plan addresses wildlife mitigation projects in the Lake Pend Oreille, Idaho, vicinity that are approved by the Northwest Power Planning Council (Council). The Plan is a cooperative effort led by an Interagency Work Group that includes the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG); United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); United States Forest Service (USFS); United States Army Corps of Engineers (COE); the Kalispel Tribe; and the Upper Columbia United Tribes (UCUT).

When implemented, the proposed action would meet BPA's obligation to protect, mitigate, and enhance wildlife affected by construction of Albeni Falls Dam and is consistent with the Council's F&W Program and amendments. BPA's proposed action would guide the development of wildlife mitigation projects, increase the quantity and quality of wetland and riparian wildlife habitats in the Lake Pend Oreille study area, and demonstrate the compatibility of habitat restoration and wildlife management with the land use goals and objectives of Bonner and Kootenai Counties, Idaho.

BPA's proposed action would increase opportunities for BPA to take credit for wildlife mitigation under the Council's F&W Program and allow funding of wildlife habitat protection, improvement, O&M, and M&E activities for the life of the mitigation measures. The proposed action would enable the Interagency Work Group to secure both public and private lands to protect a variety of wetland and riparian habitats, restore 28,587 habitat units lost as a

result of the construction of Albeni Falls Dam, and conduct long-term wildlife management activities at individual mitigation projects located within the overall study area. A detailed Site Plan would be developed for each wildlife mitigation project that is consistent with wildlife mitigation goals (See EA Chapter 2, pp. 6-9), and landowner or land management agency objectives. Site Plans will document all sitespecific habitat improvement, O&M, and M&E activities to be performed at each individual mitigation project area. Exhibits will include but are not limited to cultural resource reviews, survey results, and mitigation plans; an erosion control program; State and Federal permit approvals as appropriate; engineering specifications; time schedules; equipment; and personnel needs. To ensure environmental impacts are within the range of those addressed in this EA, all completed Site Plans would be submitted to and approved by BPA prior to funding and implementation decisions.

BPA has prepared an environmental assessment (DOE/EA-1099) evaluating the potential environmental effects of No Action (Alternative A) and the proposed action (Alternative B). Restoring wetland and riparian habitat under Alternative B would not cause significant environmental impact because: (1) There would be only limited, short-term impacts on soils, air quality, water quality, wildlife (including no effect on endangered species), vegetation, and fish; and (2) there would be no significant effects on cultural resources or land use. Based on the analysis in the environmental assessment (EA), BPA has determined that the proposed action is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969. Therefore, the preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required and BPA is issuing this FONSÍ.

A finding is included that there is no practicable alternative to locating wildlife habitat mitigation projects within a 100-year floodplain.

ADDRESSES: For copies of this FONSI, please call BPA's toll-free document request line: 800–622–4520.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Robert L. Shank—ECN, Bonneville Power Administration, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, Oregon, 97208–3621, phone number 503–230–5115, fax number 503–230–5699.

Public Availability: This FONSI will be distributed to all persons and

agencies known to be interested in or affected by the proposed action or alternatives.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under provisions of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (Act), BPA protects, mitigates, and enhances fish and wildlife and their habitats affected by the construction and operation of the Federal hydroelectric system in the Columbia River Basin. This is accomplished through funding of measures that are consistent with the Council's Fish and Wildlife Program (F&W Program) and other purposes of the Act [16 U.S.C. 839b(h)(10)(A)]. The site-specific fish and wildlife mitigation projects that BPA funds are intended to help reach the Council's mitigation goals and are "in addition to, not in lieu of, other expenditures authorized or required from other entities under other agreements or provisions of law.

In 1989, the Council amended its F&W Program to include assessments of wildlife habitat losses resulting from construction of Albeni Falls Dam.
Consistent with Section 1003(7) of the Program's Wildlife Mitigation Rule, the Council reviewed and approved Albeni Falls wildlife mitigation projects in 1990.

Under Alternative B, the proposed action, effects on the physical environment (soils, water quality, and air quality) would be localized and short-term in duration. In the long-term wildlife habitat improvement activities would be beneficial for the soils resource by reducing the amount of soils that are exposed to erosion by Albeni Falls Dam operations and other existing land use practices. In the near-term, construction activities such as the installation of water structures and breakwaters, creation of small islands, re-establishment of native vegetation, and other work activities near water bodies would be timed to minimize adverse soil rutting and compaction that could temporarily increase soil erosion, transport, and stream sedimentation at construction sites. In areas where reestablishing native vegetation would temporarily disturb or expose poorly drained soils, erosion risks would be reduced by planting cover crops, applying ground mulch, or irrigating new plantings as appropriate. As part of Alternative B, a qualified soil scientist would participate in each individual Site Plan process prior to ground disturbing activities to coordinate sitespecific soil surveys that are critical in identifying and avoiding significant soil erosion and sedimentation effects and establishing cost-effective wildlife