

Implementation, Mount Pinos Ranger District, Ventura County, CA, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: Mark Bethke (805) 245-3731.

Published FR—11-15-96—Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 960529, FINAL EIS, FRC, WA, Cushman Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 460), Relicensing, North Fork Skokomish River, Mason County, WA, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: John Blair (202) 219-2845.

Published FR—11-15-96 Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 960531, FINAL EIS, DOE, TN, GA, TX, SC, MO, Programmatic EIS—Stockpile Stewardship and Management Project, Reduced Nuclear Weapons Stockpile in the Absence of Underground Testing, Eight Sites: Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), Savannah River Site (SRS), Kansas City Plant (KCP) Pantex Plant, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab., Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab., Sandia Nat'l and Nevada Test, Due: December 16, 1996, Contact: Alfred W. Feldt (202) 586-5449.

Published FR—11-15-96—Due Date Correction.

Dated: November 19, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-29917 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5475-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 28, 1996 Through November 1, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-G65065-AR Rating LO, Renewal of the Shortleaf Pine/Bluestem Grass Ecosystem and Recovery of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker, Amendment No. 22 to the Ouachita National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Scott and Polk Counties, AR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-E30038-FL Rating EC2, Coast of Florida Erosion and Storm Effects Study Region III, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Shore Protection Project, Palm Beach, Broward and Dade Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed some environmental concerns regarding the long-term consequences of how these actions will affect the ecology of the Florida shoreline.

ERP No. D-COE-K36117-CA Rating EC2, Kaweah River Basin Investigation Feasibility Study, Flood Protection of Terminus Dam, Increase Storage Space in Lake Kaweah for Irrigation of Water Supply, Construction, Modification and Operation, San Joaquin Valley, Tulare and King Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern with proposed mitigation and recommended selection of the Locally Preferred Plan (LPP) alternative which would address these adverse impacts while meeting project purposes. EPA also expressed concern with the potential adverse impact to riparian and oak woodland/savannah habitat.

ERP No. D-COE-K39044-CA Rating EC2, Norco Bluffs Bank Stabilization Measures, Implementation, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, National Economic Development, Santa Ana River, City of Norco, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts associated with herbicides, consistency with applicable water quality protection requirements.

ERP No. D-DOE-C06012-NY Rating EO2, West Valley Demonstration Project for Completion and Western New York Nuclear Service Center Closure or Long-Term Management, Appalachian Plateau, City of Buffalo, NY.

Summary: EPA had environmental objections to this project because of the limited information concerning site contamination, clean-up levels, waste disposal, ground and surface water radiation risk assessment, and institutional controls. Additional information is requested in the final EIS to address these items. A follow up meeting has also been requested.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-J65198-WY, Green River Resource Area Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Rock Springs District, Sweetwater, Fremont, Uinta, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed no comments or concerns.

ERP No. F-COE-E01002-NC, Texasgulf Open Pit Mine Continuation, Construction and Operation, Permit Approval, Pamlico River, Aurora, Beaufort County, NC.

Summary: EPA supported mining configuration Alternatives D, E-1, and E-2 because these minimize impacts on wetlands of special concern. The up-front mitigation being provided is satisfactory pending resolution of some technical wetland issues. EPA would object to Alternatives a, B {the applicant's choice}, and C, as these would destroy most of the wetlands of special concern.

ERP No. F-COE-E32193-00, Savannah Harbor Navigation Project, Operation and Maintenance, Long Term Management Strategy Study, Chatham County, GA and Jasper County, SC.

Summary: Because operational changes to the Savannah Harbor are so comprehensive. EPA continued to have some concerns regarding the long-term consequences of how all of the proposed elements of the management plan will be coordinated and subsequently function.

ERP No. F-COE-G36146-LA, Amite River and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Implementation, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed, Florida Parishes, LA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-FRC-L05213-WA, Rocky Reach Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2145) Operating License Amendment Issuance to Increase Lake Entiat Reservoir, Chelan and Douglas Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA concurs with the Final EIS's conclusion that amending the license for the Rocky Reach project under the applicant's proposal and the FERC staff's two alternatives would not adequately protect Mid-Columbia River salmon stocks. EPA supports FERC's selection of the no-action alternative as the preferred alternative.

ERP No. FB-COE-E36013-MS, Mississippi River and Tributaries Flood Control Plan, Big Sunflower River Maintenance Project, Yazoo Basin, Sunflower, Washington, Humphreys, Sharkey and Yazoo Counties, MS.

Summary: EPA expressed concern over the environmental consequences associated with channelizing over one hundred miles of streams in the Big Sunflower watershed. EPA also suggested that a non-structural approach may provide needed flood protection with minimal environmental impacts.

Dated: November 19, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-29918 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5654-5]

Community-Based Environmental Protection Committee of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, EPA gives notice of a two-day meeting of the Community-Based Environmental Protection Committee of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT). NACEPT provides advice and recommendations to the Administrator of EPA on a broad range of environmental policy issues, and the Community-Based Environmental Protection Committee was formed to identify opportunities for harmonizing environmental policy, economic activity, and ecosystem management.

The meeting is being held to discuss recommendations the Committee plans to submit to EPA. Scheduling constraints preclude oral comments from the public during the meeting. Written comments can be submitted by mail, and will be transmitted to Committee members for consideration.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Tuesday, December 17, 1996, and Wednesday, December 18, 1996, at the Dupont Plaza Hotel, 1500 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. On Tuesday, December 17, the Committee will meet from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and on Wednesday, December 18, the Committee will meet from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Deborah Ross, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, U.S. EPA (1601F), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Ross, Designated Federal Officer, Direct line (202) 260-9752, Secretary's line (202) 260-9744.

Dated: November 7, 1996.

Deborah Ross,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-29927 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5654-2]

Science Advisory Board Notification of Public Advisory Open Committee Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Ecological Risk Subcommittee of the Science Advisory Board's (SAB) Integrated Risk Project will meet on December 10-12, 1996, at the Bourbon Orleans Hotel, 717 Orleans Street, New Orleans, LA, 70116, telephone (504) 523-2222. The meeting is open to the public and will begin at 8:30 a.m. on December 10 and at 8:00 a.m. on December 11 and 12. Due to limited space, seating at the meeting will be on a first-come basis.

The main purpose of the meeting is to: (1) complete discussion of a methodology for identifying and ranking ecological risks as part of the SAB's Integrated Risk Project; and (2) meet with representatives of the IRP Human Exposure and Health Subcommittee to discuss integration of methodologies for ranking human health and ecological risks.

Background on the Integrated Risk Project: In a letter dated October 25, 1995, to Dr. Matanoski, Chair of the SAB Executive Committee, Deputy Administrator Fred Hansen charged the SAB to: (1) develop an updated ranking of the relative risk of different environmental problems based upon explicit scientific criteria; (2) provide an assessment of techniques and criteria that could be used to discriminate among emerging environmental risks and identify those that merit serious, near-term Agency attention; (3) assess the potential for risk reduction and propose alternative technical risk reduction strategies for the environmental problems identified; and (4) identify the uncertainties and data quality issues associated with the relative rankings. Since that time, five SAB panels, working at the direction of an ad hoc Steering Committee established by the Executive Committee, have been discussing methods for: (1) Assessing relative risks; (2) selecting suites of risk reduction options; and (3) conducting economic analysis of various risk management options. A final report is expected in early summer of 1997.

Single copies of *Reducing Risk* can be obtained by contacting the SAB's Committee Evaluation and Support Staff (1400), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260-8414, or fax (202) 260-1889. Members of the public desiring additional information

about the meeting, including an agenda, should contact Ms. Constance Valentine, Staff Secretary, Science Advisory Board (1400F), US EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460, by telephone at (202) 260-8414, fax at (202) 260-7118, or via The INTERNET at: Valentine.Connie@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV.

Providing Oral or Written Comments: Anyone wishing to make an oral presentation at the meeting should contact Stephanie Sanzone, Designated Federal Official for the Subcommittee, no later than 4:00 p.m., December 2, 1996, at (202) 260-6557 or via the Internet at Sanzone.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov. The request should identify the name of the individual who will make the presentation and an outline of the issues to be addressed. At least 35 copies of any written comments to the Committee are to be given to Ms. Sanzone no later than the time of the presentation for distribution to the Committee and the interested public. The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. Each individual or group making an oral presentation will be limited to a total time of five minutes.

Dated: November 14, 1996.

Donald G. Barnes,

Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.

[FR Doc. 96-29871 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[PF-674; FRL-5574-2]

Pesticide Tolerance Petition; Notice of Filing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: This notice is a summary of a pesticide petition proposing the establishment of a regulation for residues of spinosad in or on cotton.

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket number [PF-674], must be received on or before December 23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring comments to: Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending