

Transmission Service Delivery Point Agreement dated November 1, 1995 reflecting a revision to the delivery point voltage level for Central Alabama Electric Cooperative's Redland delivery point. The delivery point has been and will be served under the terms and conditions of the Agreement for Transmission Service to Distribution Cooperative Member of Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc., dated August 28, 1980 (designed FERC Rate Schedule No. 147).

*Comment date:* January 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 12. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-579-000]

Take notice that on December 11, 1995, New England Power Company, tendered for filing Amendments to FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 6.

*Comment date:* January 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 13. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-580-000]

Take notice that on December 12, 1995, Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Savannah Electric and Power Company (collectively referred to as Southern Companies) filed three (3) service agreements between SCS, as agent of the Southern Companies, and i) Louisville Gas & Electric Company, ii) Florida Power Corporation, and iii) Delhi Energy Services, Inc. for non-firm transmission service under the Point-to-Point Transmission Service Tariff of Southern Companies.

*Comment date:* January 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 14. Portland General Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-581-000]

Take notice that on December 12, 1995, Portland General Electric Company (PGE), tendered for filing under FERC Electric Tariff, 1st Revised Volume No. 2, executed Service Agreements between PGE and the Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County and Catex Vitol Electric.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11 and the Commission's order issued July 30, 1993 (Docket No. PL93-2-002), PGE respectfully requests the Commission grant a waiver of the notice requirements of 18 CFR 35.3 to allow the executed Service Agreements to become effective January 1, 1996.

Copies of this filing were served upon the entities listed in the body of the filing letter.

*Comment date:* January 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 15. Saquaro Power Company, a Limited Partnership

[Docket No. QF90-203-001]

On December 14, 1995, Saquaro Power Company, a Limited Partnership (Applicant), 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700, Irvine, California 92715-1007, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to Applicant, the topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Clark County, near the City of Henderson, Nevada. The Commission previously certified the facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility in *Saquaro Power Company, a Limited Partnership*, 53 FERC ¶ 62,209 (1990). The instant request for recertification is due to a partnership interest financing arrangement.

*Comment date:* February 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31534 Filed 12-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. EG96-24-000, et al.]

#### Hainan Meinan Power Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

December 26, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Hainan Meinan Power Company

[Docket No. EG96-24-000]

On December 14, 1995, Hainan Meinan Power Company ("HMPC"), with its principal office at Room 807, Haikou International Commercial Center, 38 Da Tong Road, Haikou, Hainan, People's Republic of China ("PRC"), filed with the Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

HMPC states that it is a joint venture organized under the laws of the PRC. HMPC will be engaged directly and exclusively in owning an approximately 150 MW liquified petroleum gas and distillate fuel oil-fired electric generating facility located in Wenchang County, Hainan Province, PRC. Electric energy produced by the facility will be sold at wholesale to Hainan Electric Power Corporation. In no event will any electricity be sold to consumers in the United States.

*Comment date:* January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

##### 2. Kingston Cogen Limited Partnership

[Docket No. EG96-25-000]

Take notice that on December 18, 1995, Kingston Cogen Limited Partnership (Kingston) (c/o Michael J. Zimmer, Esq., Reid & Priest LLP, 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application on December 18, 1995, for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Kingston is an Ontario, Canada limited partnership formed to own an electric generating facility located in Ernestown Township, Ontario, Canada.

*Comment date:* January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

## 3. Williams Energy Services Company

[Docket No. ER95-305-004]

Take notice that on December 4, 1995, Williams Energy Service Company tendered for filing a Notice of Succession in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 4. Rig Gas Inc., Texas-Ohio Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-480-003, Docket No. ER94-1676-005 (Not Consolidated)]

Take notice that the following information filings have been made with the Commission and are on file and available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room:

On December 11, 1995, Rig Gas Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's March 16, 1995, order in Docket No. ER95-480-000.

On December 12, 1995, Texas-Ohio filed certain information as required by the Commission's October 31, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1676-000.

## 5. PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1096-000]

Take notice that on December 14, 1995, PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 6. Northwest Regional Transmission Association

[Docket No. ER96-384-000]

Take notice that on November 14, 1995, Northwest Regional Transmission Association tendered for filing a Notice of Withdrawal in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 7. Utility Management and Consulting Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-525-000]

Take notice that on December 18, 1995, Utility Management and Consulting Inc. supplemented its earlier filing in this docket.

*Comment date:* January 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 8. City of College Station, Texas

[Docket No. TX96-2-000]

Take notice that on December 15, 1995, the City of College Station, filed with the Commission an application

requesting that the Commission order the City of Bryan, Texas (Bryan) and the Texas Municipal Power Agency (TMPA) to provide transmission services pursuant to Sections 211 and 212 of the Federal Power Act, as amended.

The name of the affected parties are as follows:

Affected State Regulatory Authority: Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Affected Federal power marketing agency: None.

Affected Electric Utilities:

City of Bryan, Texas  
Texas Municipal Power Agency  
Texas Utilities Electric Company  
Texas Municipal Power Pool  
Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
Public Utilities Board of the City of Brownsville, Texas  
Lower Colorado River Authority  
Medina Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
Texas-New Mexico Power Company  
South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.  
West Texas Utilities Company  
Central Power & Light Company  
City of Austin, Texas  
City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Texas  
Houston Lighting & Power Company

College Station currently receives wholesale electric service at points of delivery (PODs) on the transmission systems of Bryan and TMPA, all located within the load control area of the Texas Municipal Power Pool (TMPP). College Station seeks transmission services from Bryan and TMPA for the delivery of power and energy from the bulk power facilities of Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric) to the PODs located at the transmission substations of College Station. In order to implement such service, College Station's load must be transferred from the TMPP control area and added to TU electric's control area by means of remote control telemetry equipment.

The proposed date for initiating the requested transmission service is January 1, 1996. Termination of service will be coincident with the term of College Station's Power Supply Agreement with TU electric (up to 10 years).

The transmission service being requested by College Station is firm transmission service over the Bryan and TMPA transmission systems at a level and quantity sufficient for College Station to meet its loads at the PODs, estimated to be approximately 128 MW during 1996.

*Comment date:* January 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a

motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31548 Filed 12-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket Nos. CP95-668-000 and CP95-668-001]

**CNG Transmission Corporation and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed South Oakford Project**

December 26, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas facilities proposed by CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) in the above-referenced dockets.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the South Oakford Project. The proposed facilities include:

- 10,000 hp of electric motor-driven compression and related facilities at the South Oakford Compressor Station in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania;
- A pig receiver and barrel drip at the Earhart Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 30-inch-diameter storage suction pipeline (Line JP-296) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 16-inch-diameter storage discharge pipeline (Line JP-297) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate;