

Montana Power Company  
 Niagara Mohawk Power Company  
 New England Power Services  
 Pacific Gas & Electric Company  
 Portland General Electric Company  
 Safe Harbor Power Company  
 Southern California Edison Company  
 Washington Water Power Company  
 TAPOCO

Adirondack Hydro Development Corporation  
 Reply comments were filed by NHA, Hydro Reform Coalition, Georgia Power, and Niagara Mohawk.

[FR Doc. 96-30715 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Customs Service

#### 19 CFR Part 122

#### Addition of Midland International Airport to List of Designated Landing Locations for Private Aircraft

**AGENCY:** Customs Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to amend the Customs Regulations by adding the user-fee airport at Midland, Texas (Midland International Airport) to the list of designated airports at which private aircraft arriving in the Continental U.S. via the U.S./Mexican border, the Pacific Coast, the Gulf of Mexico, or the Atlantic Coast from certain locations in the southern portion of the Western Hemisphere must land for Customs processing. This proposed amendment is made to improve the effectiveness of Customs enforcement efforts to combat the smuggling of drugs by air into the United States. This proposed amendment, if adopted, would also improve service to the community, by relieving congestion at Presidio-Lely International, Del Rio International, and Eagle Pass Municipal Airports, which are also located in Texas.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 3, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments (preferably in triplicate) may be addressed to U.S. Customs Service, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Regulations Branch, Franklin Court, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20229. Comments submitted may be inspected at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, located at Franklin Court, 1099 14th St., NW, Suite 4000, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gay Laxton, Passenger Operations Division,

Office of Field Operations, (202) 927-5709.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

As part of Customs efforts to combat drug-smuggling efforts, Customs air commerce regulations were amended in 1975 to impose special reporting requirements and control procedures on private aircraft arriving in the Continental United States from certain areas south of the United States. T.D. 75-201. Thus, since 1975, commanders of such aircraft have been required to furnish Customs with timely notice of their intended arrival, and certain private aircraft have been required to land at certain airports designated by Customs for processing. In the last twenty years the list of designated airports for private aircraft has changed and the reporting requirements and control procedures—now contained in Subpart C of Part 122 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR Subpart C, Part 122)—have been amended, as necessary.

Specifically, § 122.23 (19 CFR 122.23) provides that subject aircraft arriving in the Continental U.S. must furnish a notice of intended arrival to the designated airport located nearest the point of crossing. Section 122.24(b) provides that, unless exempt, such aircraft must land at the designated airport for Customs processing and delineates the airports designated for private aircraft reporting and processing purposes. There are currently 30 designated airports listed at § 122.24(b).

Community officials from Midland, Texas, have written Customs requesting that the user-fee airport there (Midland International Airport) be added to Customs list of airports designated for private aircraft reporting and processing. The request is based both on considerations of the strategic location of the airport—between the communities of El Paso and Laredo, Texas—and because the airport has become a modern, well-equipped airport that can accommodate corporate aircraft.

Customs has determined that the addition of Midland International Airport to the list of designated landing sites for private aircraft will improve the effectiveness of Customs drug-enforcement programs relative to private aircraft arrivals, as Midland is adjacent to the Southwest Border of the U.S. and is on a regularly traveled flight path. Further, the designation would enhance the efficiency of the Customs Service, as the airport is close to the normal work location for inspectional personnel assigned to the Del Rio-Eagle Pass-El

Paso-Laredo-Presidio Ports-area. In this regard, it is pointed out that the private aircraft processing services Customs provides at the Presidio, Del Rio, and Eagle Pass Airports will continue; designating Midland International Airport is meant to provide an alternative airport to these other airports in order to relieve air traffic congestion at those locations.

Although notice of this proposed designation is not required to be published in the Federal Register, comments are solicited from interested parties concerning whether or not the Midland International Airport should be designated as an airport for the landing of private aircraft.

##### Comments

Before adopting this proposal as a final rule, consideration will be given to any written comments timely submitted to Customs. Comments submitted will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), § 1.4 of the Treasury Department Regulations (31 CFR 1.4), and § 103.11(b) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 103.11(b)), on regular business days between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 1099 14th St., NW, 4th floor, Washington, DC.

##### Inapplicability of the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12291

This proposed amendment seeks to expand the list of designated airports at which private aircraft may land for Customs processing. Although this document is being issued with notice for public comment, because it relates to agency management and organization, it is not subject to the notice and public procedure requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553. Accordingly, this document is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Agency organization matters such as this document are exempt from consideration under E.O. 12866.

##### Drafting Information

The principal author of this document was Gregory R. Vilders, Regulations Branch.

##### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 122

Air carriers, Air transportation, Aircraft, Airports, Customs duties and inspection, Drug traffic control, Organization and functions (Government agencies), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures.

## Proposed Amendment to the Regulations

For the reasons stated above, it is proposed to amend part 122, Customs Regulations (19 CFR part 122), as set forth below:

### PART 122—AIR COMMERCE REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 122 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 58b, 66, 1433, 1436, 1459, 1590, 1594, 1623, 1624, 1644; 49 U.S.C. App. 1509.

#### § 122.24 [Amended]

2. In § 122.24, paragraph (b) is amended by adding, in appropriate alphabetical order, "Midland, TX" in the column headed "Location" and, on the same line, "Midland International Airport." in the column headed "Name".

Samuel H. Banks,

*Acting Commissioner of Customs.*

Approved: November 8, 1996.

John P. Simpson,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 96-30722 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

Counsel, Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Telephone (202) 707-8380, Telefax (202) 707-8366.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 15, 1996 (61 FR 58497), the Copyright Office published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to amend the regulations regarding the deposit of the "best edition" of published motion pictures. The purpose of the proposed rule is to remove the "most widely distributed gauge" as a selection criterion of the "best edition" and add new videotape formats to the prioritized list of material preferences based on current industry practices.

Although the Office meant the comment period to last at least six weeks, the Notice inadvertently set a deadline of December 6, 1996, for comments. Interested parties have asked about an extension of the comment period, and the Office has decided to extend the deadline to January 14, 1997.

Dated: November 26, 1996.

Marilyn J. Kretsinger,  
*Acting General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 96-30590 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-30-P

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Joshua Tapp at (913) 551-7606.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background and Purpose

Title V of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (sections 501-507 of the Clean Air Act ("the Act")), and implementing regulations at 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 70, require that states develop and submit operating permit programs to the EPA by November 15, 1993, and that the EPA act to approve or disapprove each program within one year after receiving the submittal. The EPA's program review occurs pursuant to section 502 of the Act and the Part 70 regulations, which together outline criteria for approval or disapproval. Additionally, section 502(g) of the Act and the Part 70 regulations outline criteria for granting interim approval where a program substantially, but not fully, meets the requirements of the Act and Part 70. The EPA may grant interim approval to such a program for a period of up to two years.

On January 13, 1995, the state of Missouri submitted an operating permits program to the EPA. Supplemental submissions were made by the state on August 14, 1995; September 19, 1995; and October 16, 1995. On April 11, 1996, Region VII determined that Missouri's program contained the minimum elements required for interim approval as specified in 40 CFR 70.4(d). The rationale for the EPA's determination that interim approval is appropriate is contained in the December 15, 1995, Federal Register document (60 FR 64404) which proposed interim approval of the program. In that document, the Region identified the revisions that were required in order for Region VII to be able to grant full approval. The state was required to adopt and submit these revisions to the EPA within 12 months of the effective date of the notice of final interim approval which published on April 11, 1996.

The EPA is also proposing to approve revisions submitted pursuant to section 110 of the Act to update references in rule 10 CSR 10-6.020, and to modify permit provisions in rule 10 CSR 10-6.065 with regard to the Missouri intermediate operating permit program. Specifically, the revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.020 update a reference to the Standard Industrial Classification Manual and revise Table 2 entitled, "List of Named Installations" so that it is consistent with applicable EPA regulations.

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

#### 37 CFR Part 202

[Docket No. 96-6]

#### "Best Edition" of Published Copyrighted Works for the Collections of the Library of Congress

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; Extension of filing period.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office is extending the filing period for comments on proposed amendments to the regulations governing the deposit of the "best edition" of published motion pictures. This extension will provide interested parties with adequate time to comment.

**DATES:** Filings should be received by January 14, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** By mail: Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20023. By hand: Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Copyright Office, James Madison Memorial Building, Room 407, First and Independence Avenue, S.E.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marilyn J. Kretsinger, Acting General

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[MO 013-1013; FRL-5658-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and State Operating Permit Programs; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed full approval.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the state of Missouri to update references and modify the Missouri intermediate operating permit program. The EPA is also proposing to grant full approval of an operating permit program submitted by the state of Missouri for the purpose of complying with Federal requirements for an approvable state program to issue operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 2, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Joshua A. Tapp, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.