39285-39287). We received two responses from the public during the 60 day public comment period. Both responses concerned resource sharing fee-for-service arrangements these organizations had negotiated prior to these proposed changes to 32 CFR part 220. Both comments recommended that existing resource sharing fee-for-service agreements continue to be treated as feefor-service partnership agreements on the grounds that the proposed changes would require significant changes to their existing agreements. It is our view that the advantages of the rule overcome the temporary difficulties for TRICARE contractors. However, in response to these comments, we have decided to defer until June 1, 1996, the effective date of this change. This will give the affected contractors time to make appropriate arrangements under the new procedure. With respect to regulatory procedures, this final rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, nor does it significantly affect a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, nor impose new information collection requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 220

Claims, Health care, Health insurance. For the reasons stated in the preamble, 32 CFR part 220 is amended as follows:

PART 220—COLLECTION FROM THIRD PARTY PAYERS OF REASONABLE COSTS OF HEALTHCARE SERVICES

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 220 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 10 U.S.C. 1095.

2. Section 220.8 is amended by revising paragraphs (h) and (k) to read as follows:

§ 220.8 Reasonable costs.

* * * * *

(h) Special rule for certain ancillary services ordered by outside providers and provided by a facility of the *Uniformed Services.* If a Uniformed Services facility provides certain ancillary services, prescription drugs or other procedures requested by a source other than a Uniformed Services facility and are not incident to any outpatient visit or inpatient services, the reasonable cost will not be based on the usual Diagnostic Related Group (DRG) or per visit rate. Rather, a separate standard rate shall be established based on the accumulated cost of the particular service, drugs, or procedures provided during a twenty-four hour

period ending at midnight. Effective March 15, 1996, this special rule applies only to services, drugs or procedures having a cost of at least \$25. The reasonable cost for the services, drugs or procedures to which this special rule applies shall be calculated and made available to the public annually.

(k) Special rules for TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements and Partnership Program providers.

(1) In general. Paragraph (k) establishes special Third Party Collection program rules for TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements and Partnership Program providers.

- (i) TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements are agreements under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 1096 and 1097 between uniformed services treatment facilities and TRICARE managed care support contractors under which the TRICARE managed care support contractor provides personnel and other resources to the uniformed services treatment facility concerned in order to help the facility increase the availability of health care services for beneficiaries. TRICARE is the managed care program authorized by 10 U.S.C. 1097 (and several other statutory provisions) and established by regulation at 32 CFR
- (ii) Partnership Program providers provide services in facilities of the uniformed services under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 1096 and the CHAMPUS program. They are similar to providers providing services under TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements, except that payment arrangements are different. Those functioning under TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements are under special payment arrangements with the TRICARE managed care contractor; those under the Partnership Program file claims under the standard CHAMPUS program on a fee-for-service basis.
- (2) Special rule for TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreements. Services provided in facilities of the uniformed services in whole or in part through personnel or other resources supplied under a TRICARE Resource Sharing Agreement are considered for purposes of this part as services provided by the facility of the uniformed services. Thus, third party payers will receive a claim for such services in the same manner and for the same costs as any similar services provided by a facility of the uniformed services. This paragraph (k)(2) becomes effective June 1, 1996.
- (3) Special rule for Partnership Program providers. For inpatient services for which the professional provider services were provided by a

Partnership Program participant, the professional charges component of the bill will be deleted from the claim from the facility of the uniformed services. In these cases, the uniformed service facility's claim shall not be considered solely a "facility charge." As an allinclusive bill, room and board, nursing services and all ancillary services (radiology, pharmaceuticals, respiratory therapy, etc.) are factored into the bill. The third party payer will receive a separate claim for professional services directly from the individual health care provider. The same is true for the professional services provided on an outpatient basis under the Partnership Program. Claims from Partnership Program providers are not covered by 10 U.S.C. 1095 or this part, but are governed by statutory and regulatory requirements of the CHAMPUS program.

Dated: February 12, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-3518 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 1 [CGD 95-055] RIN 2115-AF18

Recreational Vessel Fees

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: As part of the President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative review, the Coast Guard is removing obsolete regulations requiring payment of recreational vessel fees (RVF). The High Seas Driftnet Fisheries Enforcement Act of 1992 repealed the authority for RVF beginning with fiscal year 1995. The Coast Guard stopped collecting the fees on October 1, 1994. The RVF regulations are no longer valid and are being removed from the Code of Federal Regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 21, 1996. ADDRESSES: Unless otherwise indicated, documents referred to in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at the office of the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council (G–LRA/3406), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW., room 3406, Washington, DC 20593–0001 between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through

Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (202) 267–1477. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carlton Perry, Project Manager, Auxiliary, Boating, and Consumer Affairs Division, (202) 267–0979.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (the Act) amended 46 U.S.C. 2110 and required the Secretary of Transportation to establish a fee or charge for recreational vessels and to collect it annually in fiscal years (FY) 1991 through 1995 from the vessel owner or operator. The Act applied to recreational vessels greater than 16 feet in length, operated on the navigable waters of the United States where the Coast Guard has a presence. The Coast Guard issued regulations in 33 CFR subpart 1.30 to implement the Act, after notice and public comment (56 FR 30244; July 1, 1991).

Section 501 of the High Seas Driftnet Fisheries Enforcement Act (Pub. L. 102–582), enacted November 2, 1992, amended 46 U.S.C. 2110(b)(1) to reduce the number of recreational vessels subject to the annual fee by changing the vessel length categories subject to the fee for fiscal years 1993 and 1994, and by eliminating the fee on October 1, 1994. The Coast Guard revised 33 CFR subpart 1.30 by publishing an interim final rule (58 FR 8884; February 17, 1993) and final rule (59 FR 22129; April 29, 1994).

As part of the President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative review, the Coast Guard is removing the regulations which established a recreational vessel fee (RVF). This rule is the final action to implement Pub. L. 102–582. It removes the RVF regulations in 33 CFR Subpart 1.30 which are no longer necessary.

Because the fees were eliminated by Pub. L. 102–582 on 1 October, 1994, and the fees have not been collected since then, the Coast Guard finds good cause, under 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(3)(B) and (d)(3), why notice and public procedure before publication of the rule are unnecessary and that the rule should be made effective in less than 30 days after publication.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order, nor has it been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget. It is not significant under the regulatory

policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Assessment is unnecessary.

Collection of Information

The information collection approved for 33 CFR subpart 1.30 by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under section 3504(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) expired on January 1, 1995. The subpart number was 33 CFR subpart 1.30 and the former corresponding OMB approving number was OMB Control Number 2115–0588. This rule contains no collection-of-information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule in accordance with the principles and criteria of Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this rule does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under section 2.B.2.e(34)(a) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Authority delegations (Government agencies), Freedom of information, Penalties, Fees.

Subpart 1.30—[Removed]

Under the authority of 14 U.S.C. 633, subpart 1.30 is removed.

Dated: February 5, 1996. Rudy K. Peschel,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chief, Office of Navigation Safety and Waterway Services. [FR Doc. 96–3698 Filed 2–20–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FL-59-1-6928a; FRL-5400-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Florida: Title V, Section 507, Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Florida through the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for the purpose of including the Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program rules in the Florida Administrative Code, Chapters 17–202.100 through 17.202.400. This implementation plan was submitted by the State on August 12, 1994.

DATES: This action is effective April 22, 1996 unless notice is received March 22, 1996 that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments. If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Ms. Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the material submitted by the State of Georgia may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia