

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-31628 Filed 12-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. ER97-595-000, et al.]

Louisville Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

December 9, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-595-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company (LG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between LG&E and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. under LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company

[Docket No. ER97-596-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FERC Electric Service Tariff No. 1. The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service annually by \$351,470 in 1997. This is a 0.2 percent increase over 1996 rates.

Maine Yankee is making a limited Section 205 filing solely for amounts to fund post-retirement benefits other than pensions (PBOPs) pursuant to the requirement of SFAS 106.

Copies of the limited Section 205 filing were served upon Maine Yankee's jurisdictional customers, secondary customers, and Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, Vermont Public Service Board, Connecticut Public Utilities Control Authority, Maine Public Utilities Commission, New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, Office of the Public Advocate, State of Maine and Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-597-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Pacific Gas and Electric Company

(PG&E), tendered for filing the Second Amendment to the September 22, 1993, Power and Transmission Services Agreement between PG&E and Lassen Municipal Utility District (Lassen) and a Revised Appendix A to that Agreement. These submittals propose to increase Lassen's allocation of Federal Central Valley Power and adjust energy, power and transmission rates for services PG&E provides Lassen to be effective May 1, 1996 and July 1, 1996, respectively.

Copies of this filing have been served upon Lassen and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER97-598-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Boston Edison Company (Boston Edison) of Boston, Massachusetts, in connection with Financial Accounting Standards No. 106 recognition of Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions (PBOPs) on an actual basis, tendered for filing a 1996 actuarial report and revised rate schedule supplements to its following contracts for the sale of power from the Pilgrim nuclear power plant.

Utility	Rate Schedule No.	Entitlement %
Commonwealth Electric Co.	68	11.00000
Montaup Electric Co.	69	11.00000
Boylston	77	.07463
Holyoke	79	.89552
Westfield	81	.22388
Hudson	83	.37313
Littleton	85	.14925
Marblehead	87	.14925
North Attleboro ..	89	.14925
Peabody	91	.22388
Shrewsbury	93	.37313
Templeton	95	.04478
Wakefield	97	.14925
West Boylston ...	99	.07463
Middleborough ..	102	.10448
Reading	113	.74627

The supplements ask the Commission for permission to use the 1996 actuarial study for actual 1996 billings and for estimated 1997 billings. Boston Edison states that it has served the filing on each affected customer and on the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Boston Edison requests waiver of the sixty-day notice period to allow the filing to be effective January 1, 1996.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Central Illinois Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER97-599-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPS) submitted two service agreements, dated November 1, 1996 and November 18, 1996, establishing respectively, Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) and WPS Energy Services, Inc. (WPS) as customers under the terms of CIPS' Open Access Transmission Tariff.

CIPS requests an effective date of November 1, 1996 for the service agreement with NIPSCO and a date of November 18, 1996 for the service agreement with WPS. Accordingly, CIPS requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon NIPSCO, WPS and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Public Service Company of New Hampshire

[Docket No. ER97-601-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH), filed materials to add delivery and metering points to the Partial Requirements Resale Service Agreement and the Interruptible Power Supply Service Agreement, both between PSNH and the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative (NHEC). PSNH requests that NHEC be permitted to receive power at those delivery points as of November 30, 1996, or as soon as possible thereafter.

A copy of this filing was served upon the NHEC. In addition, a copy of this filing and supporting materials has also been sent to the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-602-000]

Take notice that on November 22, 1996, Southern Company Services, Inc., acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Savannah Electric and Power Company (collectively referred to as "Operating Companies"), tendered for Commission review information concerning the accrual of post-retirement benefits other than

pensions as set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 106 by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in agreements and tariffs of the Operating Companies (jointly and individually).

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-603-000]

Take notice that on November 26, 1996, Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Savannah Electric and Power Company (collectively referred to as Southern Companies) filed one (1) service agreement between SCS, as agent of Southern Companies, and Virginia Electric and Power Company for non-firm point-to-point transmission service under Part II of the Open Access Transmission Tariff of Southern Companies.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER97-605-000]

Take notice that on November 25, 1996, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, tendered for filing eight executed Service Agreements for acceptance by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. These Service Agreements were executed between RG&E and the following companies: (1) Noram Energy Services Inc.; (2) Vastar Power Marketing; (3) Federal Energy Sales, Inc.; (4) PECO Energy Company; (5) CNG Power Services Corp.; (6) Sonat Power Marketing; (7) Western Power Services, Inc.; and (8) Power Company of America. The terms and conditions of service under these Service Agreements are made pursuant to RG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1279.

RG&E has requested waiver of the 60 day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11. RG&E has also requested the Commission to defer acceptance of these agreements until it has accepted modifications to RG&E's Power Sales Tariff required to comply with Order No. 888.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: December 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-31629 Filed 12-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5475-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared November 18, 1996 Through November 22, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (65 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-G40142-TX, Rating LO, Loop 49 Southern Section Construction, TX-155 to TX-110, Funding, Tyler, Smith County, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred plan of action and no further comment on the draft EIS.

ERP No. DS-AFS-J65130-CO, Rating LO, Stevens Gulch Road Extension and Related Timber Sales, Implementation, New Information and Changed Circumstances Related to the Proposed Action, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests, Delta County, CO.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. DS-FHW-J40212-CO Rating LO, CO-82 Highway Transportation Project, Improvements, to "Entrance to Aspen", Updated and Additional Information on Additional Alternatives, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Aspen, Pitkin County, CO.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the action as proposed.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-K81024-CA, US Food and Drug Administration Laboratory, Land Acquisition, Construction and Operation on the North Campus Area at the University of California, Irvine, Orange County, CA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory.

ERP No. F-FHW-B40130-RI, I-195 Transportation Improvements, between the west end of the Washington Bridge and Interstate Route I-95 through Providence, Funding, COE Section 404 and US Coast Guard Bridge Permits, Providence County, RI.

Summary: EPA continued to express concerns regarding water quality and hazardous materials impacts. EPA requested that these issues be resolved before the issuance of the Record of Decision.

ERP No. F-FHW-J40139-CO, Parker Road (CO-83)/I-225 Interchange Project (FCU-CX-083-1 (49)), Improvement between Peoria Street to Hampden Avenue, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City Aurora, Arapahoe County, CO.

Summary: The final EIS responds to concerns and recommendations expressed by the EPA in our comments on the draft EIS. The EPA agrees that the Preferred Alternative, Alternative 3, can be implemented without significant impacts to the environment.

ERP No. F-NOA-G39030-TX, Texas Combined Coastal Management Program, Implementation, Federal Approval, Gulf of Mexico, TX.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-G65065-NM, Carlsbad Caverns National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Eddy County, NM.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-UAF-K11071-AZ, Luke Air Force Base, Construction and Operation of 18-Hole Golf Course, In a Detention Basin to Prevent Flood