Avenue, Room 301, Grand Junction, CO 81501.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–30992 Filed 12–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

Office of Justice Programs

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; survey of juvenile probation.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until February 14, 1997.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to Joseph Moone (phone number and address listed below). If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Joseph Moone, 202–307–5929, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of

Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 782, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of Information Collection: New data collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Survey of Juvenile Probation.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Program, United States Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State and Local juvenile probation offices. Other: None. This data collection will gather basic information on the number of juveniles on probation and the number of juvenile probation officers who monitor their progress.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 1800 respondents at 1.5 hours per response.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 2,700 biennial hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: December 10, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96–31810 Filed 12–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Office of the Executive Director; Notice of Agency Recordkeeping/Reporting Requirements Under Emergency Review by the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

SUMMARY: The National Capital Planning Commission has submitted the following emergency processing public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

OMB approval has been requested by February 28, 1997.

DATES: Written comments and questions should be submitted on or before February 18, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments and questions about the ICR listed below to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10325, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395–7316.

Agency: National Capital Planning Commission.

Title: Monumental Core Framework Public Survey.

Type of Request: New collection. *OMB Number:* 3215–0006. *Frequency:* 1.

Affected Public: U.S. citizens. Number of Respondents: 9,500. Estimated Time per Respondent: 15

Total burden hours: 2,375.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the National Capital Planning Commission Clearance Officer, Ann Marie Maloney at (202) 482–7200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This voluntary survey is to collect public opinion about a proposed long-range plan for the National Capital, as part of NCPC's responsibility to plan for the physical development of the National Capital pursuant to the National Capital Planning Act (40 U.S.C. 71). This information will be used to guide the Commission's adoption of the final plan so that the plan will reflect the priorities and concerns of the public.

Sandra H. Shapiro,

General Counsel, National Capital Planning Commission.

[FR Doc. 96–31838 Filed 12–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7502–02–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-607]

Notice of Application for Facility
Operating License; McClellan Air Force
Base Nuclear Radiation Center,
Department of the Air Force

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has received an application from the Department of the Air Force dated October 23, 1996, filed pursuant to Section 104c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), for the necessary license to operate

a TRIGA nuclear reactor. The reactor is located at McClellan Air Force Base, Sacramento, California. It is proposed for operation at a steady state power level of 2 megawatts and with pulse maximum reactivity insertions of \$1.75 for educational training and research.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of December 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–31812 Filed 12–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–24 and DPR–27, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, (the licensee), for operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the licensee to utilize the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) Case N-514, "Low Temperature Overpressure Protection," to determine its low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) setpoints and is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated July 1, 1996, as supplemented November 18, 1996. The proposed action requests an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.60, "Acceptance Criteria for Fracture Prevention Measures for Lightwater Nuclear Power Reactors for Normal Operation," to allow application of an alternate methodology to determine the LTOP setpoints for Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. The proposed alternate methodology is consistent with guidelines developed by the ASME Working Group to define pressure limits during LTOP events that avoid certain

unnecessary operational restrictions, provide adequate margins against failure of the reactor pressure vessel, and reduce the potential for unnecessary activation of pressure-relieving devices used for LTOP. These guidelines have been incorporated into Code Case N-514, "Low Temperature Overpressure Protection," which has been approved by the ASME Code Committee. The content of Code Case N-514 has been incorporated into Appendix G of Section XI of the ASME Code and published in the 1993 Addenda to Section XI. However, 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," and Regulatory Guide 1.147, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability" have not been updated to reflect the acceptability of Code Case N-514.

The philosophy used to develop Code Case N-514 guidelines is to ensure that the LTOP limits are still below the pressure/temperature (P/T) limits for normal operation but allow the pressure that may occur with activation of pressure-relieving devices to exceed the P/T limits, provided acceptable margins are maintained during these events. This philosophy protects the pressure vessel from LTOP events and still maintains the Technical Specifications P/T limits applicable for normal heatup and cooldown in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and Sections III and XI of the ASME Code.

The Need for the Proposed Action

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.60, all lightwater nuclear power reactors must meet the fracture toughness requirements for the reactor coolant pressure boundary as set forth in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, which defines P/T limits during any condition of normal operation including anticipated operational occurrences and system hydrostatic tests, to which the pressure boundary may be subjected over its service lifetime. It is specified in 10 CFR 50.60(b) that alternatives to the described requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, may be used when an exemption is granted by the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12.

To prevent transients that would produce excursions exceeding the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, P/T limits while the reactor is operating at low temperatures, the licensee installed an LTOP system. The LTOP system includes pressure-relieving devices in the form of power-operated relief valves (PORVs) that are set at a pressure below the LTOP enabling temperature that would prevent the pressure in the reactor vessel from exceeding the P/T limits of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. To prevent these valves from lifting as

a result of normal operating pressure surges (e.g., reactor coolant pump starting or stopping) with the reactor coolant system in a water solid condition, the operating pressure must be maintained below the PORV setpoint. The licensee's current LTOP analysis indicates that using this 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, safety margin to determine the PORV setpoint requires operation of the plant in a narrow range of pressure that could result in the lifting of the PORVs during normal heatup and cooldown operation. Using Code Case N-514 would allow the licensee to operate without a restriction on the number of operating reactor coolant pumps in the determination of the LTOP setpoint analysis. Therefore, the licensee proposed that in determining the PORV setpoint for LTOP events for Point Beach, the allowable pressure be determined using the safety margins developed in an alternate methodology in lieu of the safety margins required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. The alternate methodology is consistent with ASME Code Case N-514. The content of Code Case N-514 was incorporated into Appendix G of Section XI of the ASME Code and published in the 1993 Addenda to Section XI.

An exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 is required to use the alternate methodology for calculating the maximum allowable pressure for LTOP considerations. By application dated July 1, 1996, as supplemented November 18, 1996, the licensee requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 to allow it to utilize the alternate methodology of Code Case N-514 to compute its LTOP setpoints.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

Appendix G of the ASME Code requires that the P/T limits be calculated (a) using a safety factor of 2 on the principal membrane (pressure) stresses, (b) assuming a flaw at the surface with a depth of one-quarter (1/4) of the vessel wall thickness and a length of 6 times its depth, and (c) using a conservative fracture toughness curve that is based on the lower bound of static, dynamic, and crack arrest fracture toughness tests on material similar to the Point Beach reactor vessel material.

In determining the PORV setpoint for LTOP events, the licensee proposed the use of safety margins based on an alternate methodology consistent with the proposed ASME Code Case N–514 which allows determination of the setpoint for LTOP events such that the maximum pressure in the vessel will not exceed 110 percent of the P/T limits of the existing ASME Appendix G. This