Final Results of Reviews

As a result of the comments received, we have changed the results from those

presented in our preliminary results of review:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Zhejiang Wanxin Group Co., Ltd.	10/01/94–09/30/95	38.27

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and normal value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of HSLWs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) for ZWG, which has a separate rate, and all ZWG exports through market-economy trading companies, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established in these final results of review; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be 128.63 percent, the PRC rate established in the LTFV investigation of this case; and (3) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit rates shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: December 10, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–31980 Filed 12–16–96; 8:45 am]

#### [A-475-814]

Small Diameter Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Italy; Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 17, 1996. SUMMARY: On September 17, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register (61 FR 48882) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line and pressure pipe from Italy, covering the period January 27, 1995, through July 31, 1996. This review has now been terminated as a result of the withdrawal of the request for administrative review by the interested party.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Wimbush, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–1374.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On August 30, 1996, the Department received a request from the petitioner in this case, Gulf States Tube Division of

Quanex Corporation ("Gulf States"), to conduct an administrative review of Dalmine S.p.A ("Dalmine"), pursuant to section 19 CFR 353.22(a) (1994) of the Department's regulations. The period of review is January 27, 1995 through July 31, 1996. On September 17, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 48882) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line and pressure pipe from Italy, covering the period January 27, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

### Termination of Review

On September 30, 1996, we received a timely request for withdrawal of the request for administrative review from Gulf States. Because there were no other requests for administrative review from any other interested party, in accordance with section 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations, we have terminated this administrative review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: December 6, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement
Group III.

[FR Doc. 96–31979 Filed 12–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# Determination Not To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of determination not to revoke countervailing duty order.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 17, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cameron Cardozo or Maria MacKay, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 51277) its intent to revoke the following countervailing duty order: Countervailing Duty Order—Iran:

Roasted Pistachios, 10/07/86 (C-507-601), 51 FR 35679

Under 19 C.F.R. 355.25(d)(4)(iii), the Secretary of Commerce will conclude that an order is no longer of interest to interested parties and will revoke the order if no domestic interested party (as defined in § 355.2 (i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), and (i)(6) of the regulations) objects to revocation and no interested party requests an administrative review by the last day of the 5th anniversary month.

Within the specified time frame, we received from a domestic interested party an objection to our intent to revoke this countervailing duty order. Therefore, because the requirements of 19 C.F.R. 355.25(d)(4)(iii) have not been met, we will not revoke the order.

This determination is in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 355.25(d)(4).

Dated: December 9, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–31978 Filed 12–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

# Minority Business Development Agency

### Solicitation of Business Development Center Applications for Orlando, Florida

**AGENCY:** Minority Business Development Agency, Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Executive Order 11625 and 15 U.S.C. 1512, the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) is soliciting competitive applications from organizations to operate the Orlando, Florida Minority Business Development Centers (MBDC).

The purpose of the MBDC Program is to provide business development assistance to persons who are members of groups determined by MBDA to be socially or economically disadvantaged, and to business concerns owned and controlled by such individuals. To this end, MBDA funds organizations to identify and coordinate public and private sector resources on behalf of minority individuals and firms; to offer

a full range of client services to minority entrepreneurs; and to serve as a conduit of information and assistance regarding minority business. The award number of the MBDC will be 04–10–97005–01.

**DATES:** The closing date for applications is February 18, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Completed application packages should be submitted to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Minority Business Development Agency, MBDA Executive Secretariat, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5073, Washington, D.C. 20230, Telephone Number (202) 482–3763).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION AND AN APPLICATION PACKAGE, CONTACT: Robert Henderson, Regional Director, at (404) 730–3300.

**PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE:** A preapplication conference will be held. For the exact date, time, and location, contact the Atlanta Regional Office at (404) 730–3300.

Proper Identification Is Required for Entrance Into Any Federal Building

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Interim Final Policy published in the Federal Register on May 31, 1996, the cost-share requirement for the MBDCs listed in this notice has been increased to 40%. The Department of Commerce will fund up to 60% of the total cost of operating an MBDC on an annual basis. The MBDC operator is required to contribute at least 40% of the total project cost (the "cost-share requirement").

Cost-sharing contributions may be in the form of cash, client fees, third party in-kind contributions, non-cash applicant contributions or combinations thereof. In addition to the traditional sources of an MBDC's cost-share contribution, the 40% may be contributed by local, state and private sector organizations. It is anticipated that some organizations may apply jointly for an award to operate the center. For administrative purposes, one organization must be designated as the recipient organization.

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from May 1, 1997 to May 31, 1998, is estimated at \$281,875. The total Federal amount is \$169,125 and is composed of \$165,000 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$4,125. The application must include a minimum cost share of 40%, \$112,750 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$281,875.

The funding instrument for this project will be a cooperative agreement. If the recommended applicant is the

current incumbent organization, the award will be for 12 months. For those applicants who are not incumbent organizations or who are incumbents that have experienced closure due to a break in service, a 30-day start-up period will be added to their first budget period, making it a 13-month award. Competition is open to individuals, non-profit and for-profit organizations, state and local governments, American Indian tribes and educational institutions.

Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria: the knowledge, background and/or capabilities of the firm and its staff in addressing the needs of the business community in general and, specifically, the special needs of minority businesses, individuals and organizations (45 points), the resources available to the firm in providing business development services (10 points); the firm's approach (techniques and methodologies) to performing the work requirements included in the application (25 points); and the firm's estimated cost for providing such assistance (20 points). In accordance with Interim Final Policy published in the Federal Register on May 31, 1996, the scoring system will be revised to add ten (10) bonus points to the application of community-based organizations. Each qualifying application will receive the full ten points. Community-based applicant organizations are those organizations whose headquarters and/or principal place of business within the last five years have been located within the geographic service area designated in the solicitation for the award. Where an applicant organization has been in existence for fewer than five years or has been present in the geographic service area for fewer than five years, the individual years of experience of the applicant organization's principals may be applied toward the requirement of five years of organization experience. The individual years of experience must have been acquired in the geographic service area which is the subject of the solicitation. An application must receive at least 70% of the points assigned to each evaluation criteria category to be considered programmatically acceptable and responsive. Those applications determined to be acceptable and responsive will then be evaluated by the Director of MBDA. Final award selections shall be based on the number of points received, the demonstrated responsibility of the applicant, and the determination of those most likely to further the purpose of the MBDA