

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION**District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board**

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed convention center; public meeting on historic preservation issues.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the National Capital Planning Commission has submitted the comments of the State Preservation Officer for the District of Columbia in assessing the potential effects on historic properties of the proposed Convention Center.

The site proposed by WCCA is 5½ blocks roughly bounded by Mt. Vernon Place, N Street, 7th & 9th Streets, NW.

The National Capital Planning Commission announces that as part of the State Historic Preservation Officer's review, the Historic Preservation Review Board is holding a public meeting to review the Section 106 documentation which identifies affected historic properties, assesses the effects, and discusses potential measures to mitigate or avoid the adverse effects, including consideration of alternative sites. The meeting will be held on: *Thursday, January 23, 1997 at 10:00 AM, 441-4th Street, N.W. (# Judiciary Square), Room 220 South (Zoning Commission Hearing Room).*

The documentation to be considered will be available to the Board and to the general public on and after December 19, 1996 and may be reviewed by calling the National Capital Planning Commission at 202/482-7200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting will also serve as a component of the public participation efforts required to be undertaken by the National Capital Planning Commission by Section 106 under regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. (See 36 CFR 800.3, 800.4 and 800.5). Part 800.5 stipulates that interested persons must be given an opportunity to receive information and express their views. Use of existing public agency involvement procedures is encouraged. Interested persons shall be invited to participate as consulting parties when they so request, including the head of local government, applicants for or holders of grants, permits, or licenses and owners of affected lands, and other interested persons when jointly determined appropriate by the National Capital Planning Commission, the State Historic Preservation Officer,

and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. To request consulting party status, write: National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, D.C. 20576.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Witherell, National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Suite 301, Washington, D.C. 20576, Phone (202) 482-7256 or Steve Raiche, Historic Preservation Division, D.C. Department of Consumer & Regulatory Affairs, 614 H Street, NW., Room 305, Washington, D.C. 20001. Phone (202) 727-7360.

Sandra H. Shapiro,

General Counsel, National Capital Planning Commission.

[FR Doc. 96-32519 Filed 12-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7502-02-M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION**Information Collection; Comment Request for Re-Clearance**

Dated: December 23, 1996.

The National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) intends to submit the following public information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This information collection is published to obtain comments from the public. Public comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register.

Copies of the information collection request, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the NCUA Clearance Officer, Suzanne Beauchesne, (703-518-6412). Comments and/or suggestions regarding the information collection request should be directed to Ms. Beauchesne, at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3428; Fax No. (703) 518-6433; E-Mail Address: SUEB@NCUA.GOV within 60 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register. Comments should also be sent to OMB Desk Officer, Mr. Alexander Hunt, at the following address: OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, Room 10202, Washington DC 20530.

National Credit Union Administration

OMB Number: 3133-0137.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval will expire.

Title: Community Development Revolving Loan Program for Credit Unions, Application for Technical Assistance.

Description: P.L. 99-609 (dated 11/6/86) authorized the transfer of the administration of the Community Development Credit Union Revolving Loan Program to the NCUA Board. NCUA Rules and Regulations, Part 705, authorizes the use of the earnings from the program funds to provide technical assistance to credit unions.

Respondents: Federal and State Credit Unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 71.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: Once.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 71 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$8,688.98.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on December 12, 1996.
Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 96-32473 Filed 12-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice of Change in Subject of Meeting

The National Credit Union Administration Board determined that its business requires the addition of the following item, which is open to public observation, to the previously announced open meeting (Federal Register, page 66337, Tuesday, December 17, 1996) scheduled for 9:30 a.m., Thursday, December 19, 1996.

6. NCUA's Budget for 1997 and 1998.

The Board voted two-to-one, Vice Chairman Bowné voting no, that agency business requires that this item be considered with less than the usual seven days notice, that it be open to the public, and that no earlier announcement of this change was possible.

The previously announced items are:

1. Approval of Minutes of Previous Open Meeting.

2. Community Development Revolving Loan Program for Credit Unions: Notice of Applications for Participation.

3. Administrative Action under Section 109 of the Federal Credit Union Act.

4. Request for a Merger Between Two Corporate Credit Unions.

5. Final Rule: Amendment to Parts 701 and 707, NCUA's Rules and Regulations,

Organization and Operations of Federal Credit Unions; and Truth in Savings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone 703-518-6304.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 96-32668 Filed 12-19-96; 1:45 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

National Labor Relations Board Advisory Committee on Agency Procedure; Meetings

AGENCY: National Labor Relations Board.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. app. 2 (1972), and 29 C.F.R. Sec. 102.136 (1993), the National Labor Relations Board has established a National Labor Relations Board Advisory Committee on Agency Procedure, the purpose of which is to provide input and advice to the Board and General Counsel on changes in Agency procedures that will expedite case processing and improve Agency service to the public. Notices of the establishment and renewal of the Advisory Committee were published in the Federal Register on May 13, 1994 (59 FR 25128) and November 27, 1996 (61 FR 60311), respectively.

As indicated in the notice establishing the Advisory Committee, the Committee consists of two Panels which will meet separately, one composed of Union-side representatives and the other of Management-side representatives. Pursuant to Section 10(a) of FACA, the Agency hereby announces that the next meetings of the Advisory Committee Panels will be held on January 28, 1997 (Management-side) and January 30, 1997 (Union-side).

Time and Place: The meeting of the Management-side Panel of the Advisory Committee will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 28, 1997, at the National Labor Relations Board, 1099 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in the Board Hearing Room, Rm 11000. The meeting of the Union-side Panel of the Advisory Committee will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, January 30, 1997, at the same location.

Agenda: The agenda at the meetings of both Advisory Committee Panels will focus on the following issues and questions:

I. As is generally known, the Agency's challenged ballot procedure has for

years included an informal practice which is commonly referred to as the "ten percent rule". Pursuant to this practice, the "rule" provided that normally a Regional Director would not approve a stipulated election agreement if more than 10% of the proposed bargaining unit was in dispute regarding eligibility and accordingly would necessitate at least 10% of the votes being subject to challenge. Further, in a Decision and Direction of Election, a Regional Director would not direct an election in a unit if the eligibility of more than 10% of the employees remained at issue. Finally, the Board, in Requests for Reviews, would not direct elections if more than 10% of the employees would vote subject to challenge. Notwithstanding this general practice, the Board in fact, however, in recent years has departed from the 10% rule on a case by case basis, occasionally directing elections in cases in which the eligibility of substantially more than 10% of the employees remained at issue. In some of these situations determinative election results were obtained, thereby obviating the need to address or decide the eligibility issues.

What would be the implications and ramifications if the Board expanded the so-called "10% rule" to as much as 30% or more? What should the upper limit be? Would such an expansion have any impact on the percentage of representation cases resolved by stipulated election agreement? Should Regional Directors be encouraged or authorized to approve stipulated election agreements which provide that in excess of 10% of the employees will vote subject to challenge? Generally, would this approach expedite the processing of Representation cases or would it create additional delay?

II. In a recent decision, *Cross Pointe Paper Corp. v. NLRB*, 89 F.3d 447, 152 LRRM 2812 (July 15, 1996), the 7th Circuit directed that the Board conduct a hearing with regard to certain objections.

As a result of the decision in *Cross Pointe*, should the Agency adopt a different approach in regard to investigating and conducting hearings regarding objections? For example, should the Board amend its rules and cease conducting investigations on objections issues and simply direct a hearing on the objections, providing, of course, that the objecting party has proffered evidence establishing a *prima facie* case? What should be required to establish a *prima facie* case? (e.g., authenticated documents, affidavits, specific offers of proof, lists of witnesses with a description of what they would

testify to)? If a hearing is not held, should affidavits secured in the investigation be reviewed by the Board?

Public Participation: The meetings will be open to the public. As indicated in the Agency's prior notice, within 30 days of adjournment of the later of the Advisory Committee Panel meetings, any member of the public may present written comments to the Committee on matters considered during the meetings. Written comments should be submitted to the Committee's Management Officer and Designated Federal Official, Enid W. Weber, Associate Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, 1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 11600, Washington, D.C. 20570-0001; telephone: (202) 273-1937.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Advisory Committee Management Officer and Designated Federal Official, Enid W. Weber, Associate Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, 1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 11600, Washington, D.C. 20570-0001; telephone: (202) 273-1937.

Dated, December 17, 1996.

By direction of the Board:

John J. Toner,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-32504 Filed 12-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

Title of Proposed Collection: Evaluation of the Instructional Materials Development Program. In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed date collection projects, the National Science Foundation (NSF) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. Such a notice was published at Federal Register 47960, dated September 11, 1996. No comments were received.

The materials are now being sent to OMB for review. Send any written comments to Desk Officer: OMB. NSF evaluation of the instructional Materials Development Program OIRA, Office of Management and budget, Washington, DC 205043. Comments should be received by February 17, 1997.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the