

collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

ADDRESSES: Russel T. Kile, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor, Room C-4318, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210, 202-219-5555 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 221 (a) of Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of Labor to accept petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance. The petitions may be filed by workers or their certified or recognized union or duly authorized representative. ETA Form 8560, Petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance, and its Spanish translation, ETA Form 8559, *Solicitud De Asistencia Para Ajuste*, establish a format which may be used for filing such petitions.

II. Current Actions

This is a request for OMB approval under [the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A))] for a reinstatement of collection of information previously approved and assigned OMB Control No. 1205-0192. There is no change in burden.

Type of Review: Reinstatement without change.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

Title: Petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance; *Solicitud De Asistencia Para Ajuste*.

OMB Number: 1205-0192.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: Estimated 1,400.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 15 minutes per response.

Total Estimated Cost: \$1,750.

Total Burden Hours: 350.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 18, 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-32675 Filed 12-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of November and December, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number of proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,835; *Schuller Manufacturing, Inc., Vienna, WV*

TA-W-32,828; *Lafayette Apparel Producers, Inc., Lafayette, TN*

TA-W-32,776; *Union Special Corp., Huntley, IL*

TA-W-32,775; *Jet Sew Technologies, Inc., Barneveld, NY*

TA-W-32,796; *Perdue Farms, Inc., Fayetteville, NC*

TA-W-32,917; *Pak-Mor, Inc., Duffield, VA*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-32,860; *TNS Mills, Inc., Eufaula, AL*

TA-W-32,885; *Controls Techniques Drives, Inc., Grand Island, NY*

TA-W-32,807; *Horsehead Resource Development Co., Palmerton, PA*

TA-W-32,830; *Witco Corp., Kendall/Amalia Div., Bradford, PA*

TA-W-32,801; *Weyerhaeuser Co., Oregon Timberland & Regeneration Div., Klamath, OR*

TA-W-32,764; *Schreiber Foods, Inc., Green Bay, WI*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,902; *Old Ben Coal Co., Edgerton, WV*

U.S. imports of coal decreased in 1995 compared to 1994. In the past three years, US imports relative to production were negligible.

TA-W-32,804; *Consolidated Electric Supply, Miami, FL*

TA-W-32,899; *L. Robert Kimball & Associates, Ebensburg, PA*

TA-W-32,953; *Petrie Retail, Inc.—Petrie Stores, Secaucus, NJ*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-32,778; *Lance, Inc., Greenville, TX*

Declines in employment are related to a company decision to transfer the production at the subject firm to another domestic company location in which there was excess capacity.

TA-W-32,923; *Connors Rubber Technologies, For Wayne, IN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and criteria (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

TA-W-32,766; *Garden State Tanning, Inc., Williamsport, MD*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and criteria (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion

of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA-W-32,814; *Chatham Mills, Inc.*, Pittsboro, NC: October 1, 1995.
 TA-W-32,800; *TRW Automotive Products Remanufacturing*, McAllen, TX: September 16, 1995.
 TA-W-32,736; *Roxanne of Pennsylvania*, Wilkes-Barre, PA: August 27, 1995.
 TA-W-32,853; *STS Apparel, Inc.*, Johnson City, TN: October 5, 1995.
 TA-W-32,891; *The Clarks Companies*, Kennett Square, PA: October 10, 1995.
 TA-W-32, 863; *Hercules, Inc.*, Aqualon Div., Parlin, NJ: November 9, 1996.
 TA-W-32,844; *American Fiber & Finishing, Inc.*, Colrain, MA: October 10, 1996.
 TA-W-32,864; *National Energy Group, Inc.—OK, Formerly Alexander Energy Corp.*, Oklahoma City, OK: October 9, 1995.
 TA-W-32,837; *Haddon Craftsmen, Inc.*, Scranton, PA: September 25, 1995.
 TA-W-32,887; *Woolrich, Inc.*, Howard Facility, Howard, PA: October 25, 1995.
 TA-W-32,832; *Fashion Bed Group*, Chicago, IL: October 10, 1995.
 TA-W-32,882; *Assembly Service, Inc.*, El Paso, TX: October 16, 1995.
 TA-W-32,810; *MAN Roland, Inc.*, WEB Press Div., Groton, CT: October 7, 1995.
 TA-W-32,831; *Crouzet Corp.*, Carrollton, TX: October 15, 1995.
 TA-W-32,798; *Rockwell International, Graphics Div.*, Cedar Rapids, IA: September 23, 1995.
 TA-W-32,955; *Philadelphia Sweater Mill*, Philadelphia, PA: November 13, 1995.
 TA-W-32,916; *Groschopp, Sanborn*, IA: October 30, 1995.
 TA-W-32,911; *Johnson Controls, Inc.*, Systems Products—Humbolt Facility, Milwaukee, WI: October 22, 1995.

All workers excluding those engaged in the production of actuator assembly for TV series valves.

TA-W-32857; *IVAX Corp.*, Zenith Goldline Shreveport (aka H N Norton Co), Shreveport, LA: October 9, 1995.

TA-W-32,852; *Stich 'R' US*, Miami, FL: October 1, 1995.
 TA-W-32,845; *RYOBI Motor Product Corp.*, Anderson, SC: October 14, 1995.
 TA-W-32,818; *Accuride Corp.*, Henderson, KY: September 3, 1995.
 TA-W-32,811; *Basin Resources, Inc.*, Weston, CO: October 2, 1995.
 TA-W-32873; *Joelle Bridals, Inc.*, New York, NY: December 10, 1995.
 TA-W-32,794; *America, Inc.*, Pacific, MO: September 24, 1995.
 TA-W-32,908; *Jensports Div of Charland Sportwear*, New Kensington, PA: October 28, 1995.
 TA-W-32,839; *Lee Co.*, Irvington, AL: October 7, 1995.
 TA-W-32,932; *The Stroh Brewery*, Baltimore, MD: October 28, 1995.
 TA-W-32,862; *Spectro Knit*, Mifflinburg, PA: October 9, 1995.
 TA-W-32,909; *Avery Dennison*, Torrance, CA: November 12, 1995.
 TA-W-32,808; *Warnaco, Inc.*, Olga Div., City of Commerce, CA: September 16, 1995.
 TA-W-32,826; *UNOCAL, Oil and Gas Div.*, Sugar Land, TX & Operating at Various Locations in the Following States: A; TX, B; AL, C; LA, D; MI, E; NM, F; OK, G; UT: December 9, 1996.
 TA-W-32,754 & A, G; *Bull HN Information Systems, Inc.*, Billerica, MA, Brighton, MA & Phoenix, AZ & Operating at Various Locations in the Following States: C; AL, D; CA, E; CO, F; FL, G; GA, H; IA, I; IL, J; MA, K; ME, L; MI, M; MN, N; MO, O; NE, P; NH, Q; NY, R; OH, S; OK, T; OR, U; PA, V; TX, W: VA: September 10, 1995.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) an in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issues during the month of November and December, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-01280; *Litco Wood Products Co.*, Parkerburg, WV
 NAFTA-TAA-01260; *Lafayette Apparel Producers, Inc.*, Lafayette, TN
 NAFTA-TAA-01284; *Horsehead Resource Development Co., Inc.*, Palmerton, PA
 NAFTA-TAA-01303; *Armour Swift-Eckrich*, Kalamazoo, MI
 NAFTA-TAA-01332; *Old ben Coal Co.*, Mine #20, Edgerton, WV
 NAFTA-TAA-01228; *Boise Cascade Corp.*, Paper Div., Vancouver, WA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-01294; *Delta Painting, Inc.*, Deerfield Beach, FL
 NAFTA-TAA-01306 & A,B,C; *Father & Son Stores, A Div. of Endicott Johnson Corp.*, Johnson City, NY, Scranton, PA, Wilkes-Barre, PA, Whitehall, PA

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

NAFTA-TAA-01295; *Royals, Inc.*, High Point, NC: October 25, 1995.
 NAFTA-TAA-01266; *Redpath Apparel Group*, Denison, TX: October 3, 1995.
 NAFTA-TAA-01287; *Mead Corp (The)*, Packaging Div., Fairless Hills, PA: October 7, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01313; *Alphabet*,
Greenwood, SC: October 28, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-1310; *Burns Philip Food*,
Inc., Specialty Brands Div.,
Bethlehem, PA: October 8, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01293; *Ekco Group, Inc.*,
Kellogg Brush Manufacturing Co.,
Easthampton, MA: October 22,
1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01285; *Assembly*
Services, Inc., El Paso, TX: October
16, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01305; *Borg-Warner*
Automotive Diversified
Transmission Products Corp.,
Muncie, IN: October 24, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01291; *Otari*
Manufacturing Corp. Hauppauge,
NY: September 25, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01274; *Seams Right*
Manufacturing, St. Mary's MO:
October 16, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01292; *Haddon*
Craftsmen, Inc., AN R.R. Donnelley
& Sons Co., Scranton, PA:
September 25, 1996.

NAFTA-TAA-01307; *WTTTC, Inc.*, El
Paso, TX: October 23, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01363; *Professional*
Manufacturing, Inc., Paris, ID:
November 22, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01326; *Ferraz Corp.*,
Parsippany, NJ: October 29, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01339; *Procter & Gamble*
Manufacturing Co., Hatboro, PA:
November 8, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01343; *Sunbeam*
Household Products, Coushatta, LA:
November 14, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-01355; *The Jay Garment*
Co., Portland, IN: November 22,
1995.

I hereby certify that the
aforementioned determinations were
issued during the month of November
and December, 1996. Copies of these
determinations are available for
inspection in Room C-4318, U.S.
Department of Labor, 200 Constitution
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210
during normal business hours or will be
mailed to persons who write to the
above address.

Dated: December 12, 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment
Services Office of Trade Adjustment
Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-3260 Filed 12-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission.

ACTION: Notice of renewal of the
Licensing Support System Advisory
Review Panel (LSSARP).

SUMMARY: The Licensing Support
System Advisory Review Panel was
established by the U.S. Nuclear
Regulatory Commission as a Federal
Advisory Committee in 1989. Its
purpose is to provide advice to (1) the
Department of Energy (DOE) on the
fundamental issues of design and
development of an electronic
information management system to be
used to store and retrieve documents
relating to the licensing of a geologic
repository for the disposal of high-level
radioactive waste, and (2) the Nuclear
Regulatory Commission on the
operation and maintenance of the
system. This electronic information
management system is known as the
Licensing Support System (LSS).

Membership on the Panel is drawn
from those interests that will be affected
by the use of the LSS, including the
Department of Energy, the NRC, the
State of Nevada, Tribal interests,
affected units of local governments in
Nevada, and the nuclear industry.
Federal agencies with expertise and
experience in electronic information
management systems also participate on
the Panel.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission
has determined that renewal of the
charter for the LSSARP until December
19, 1998 is in the public interest in
connection with duties imposed on the
Commission by law. This action is being
taken in accordance with the Federal
Advisory Committee Act after
consultation with the Committee
Management Secretariat, General
Services Administration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew L. Bates, Office of the Secretary,
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Washington, DC 20555: Telephone 301-
504-1963.

Dated: December 19, 1996.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-32633 Filed 12-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Joint Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittees on Materials and Metallurgy and on Severe Accidents; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittees on
Materials and Metallurgy and on Severe
Accidents will hold a joint meeting on
January 9, 1997, Room T-2B1, 11545
Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to
public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting
shall be as follows:

Thursday, January 9, 1997—1:00 p.m.
until the conclusion of business

The Subcommittees will hold
discussions with the NRC staff regarding
the November 20, 1996, ACRS letter to
the NRC Executive Director for
Operations on the proposed Steam
Generator Integrity rule and an
associated regulatory guide, and related
matters. The purpose of this meeting is
to gather information, analyze relevant
issues and facts, and to formulate
proposed positions and actions, as
appropriate, for deliberation by the full
Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by
members of the public with the
concurrence of the Subcommittee
Chairman; written statements will be
accepted and made available to the
Committee. Electronic recordings will
be permitted only during those portions
of the meeting that are open to the
public, and questions may be asked only
by members of the Subcommittees, their
consultants, and staff. Persons desiring
to make oral statements should notify
the cognizant ACRS staff engineer
named below five days prior to the
meeting, if possible, so that appropriate
arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the
meeting, the Subcommittees, along with
any of their consultants who may be
present, may exchange preliminary
views regarding matters to be
considered during the balance of the
meeting.

The Subcommittees will then hear
presentations by and hold discussions
with representatives of the NRC staff
regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics
to be discussed, whether the meeting
has been cancelled or rescheduled, the
Chairman's ruling on requests for the
opportunity to present oral statements,
and the time allotted therefor can be
obtained by contacting the cognizant
ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Noel F. Dudley
(telephone 301/415-6888) between 7:30
a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons
planning to attend this meeting are
urged to contact the above named