The following is an outline of those standards being removed from the CFR.

CFR section	Title of standards being removed from the CFR
868.101–142	Subpart B—United States Standards for Beans.
868.401–410	Subpart F—United States Standards for Whole Dry Peas.
868.501–510	Subpart G—United States Standards for Split Peas.
868.601–611	Subpart H—United States Standards for Lentils.

To ensure that these standards will be developed, issued, and revised in accordance with procedures that continue to ensure a fair and open process, all new and proposed revisions to standards being removed from the CFR's will be published in the Federal Register as "Notice" with adequate time for public comment. A final version of the standard will also be published in the Federal Register.

In developing or revising existing grade standards, the Administrator must first determine that a new or revised standard is needed to facilitate trade in a particular commodity. Second, because use of the standards is voluntary, there must be demonstrated interest and support from the affected industry or other interested parties. And third, the standards must be practical to use.

The initial requests for development or revision of a standard may come from the industry, trade or consumer groups, State departments of agriculture, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, or others. Once a request has been received, GIPSA will coordinate procedures to gather information needed to move forward with the new or revised standard. After this process is completed, a notice of proposed standards change will be published in the Federal Register to solicit comment from any interested parties (normally 30 to 60 days). After evaluating the comments received from interested parties, GIPSA will determine whether to proceed, develop a new proposal, or terminate the process. The public will be informed through a press release and notice in the Federal Register.

In addition to publication in the Federal Register, GIPSA will distribute copies of each standard on request as a pamphlet or other means under the direction of the Administrator of GIPSA.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect and that good cause

exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because: (1) The standards are voluntary; (2) no changes are being made to the standards by this docket, and (3) this is in-line with the President's regulatory review initiative.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 868

Administrative practice and procedures, Agricultural commodities, Beans, Whole Dry Peas, Split Peas, and Lentils.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 868 is amended as follows:

#### PART 868—GENERAL REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS FOR CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

1. The authority citation for Part 868 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 202–208, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.).

#### Subpart B (§§ 868.101–868.142)— [Removed and Reserved]

2. In part 868, Subpart B (§§ 868.101 through 868.142) is removed and reserved.

#### Subpart F (§§ 868.401–868.410)— [Removed]

3. In part 868, Subpart F (§§ 868.401 through 868.410) is removed.

#### Subpart G (§§ 868.501-868.510)— [Removed]

4. In part 868, Subpart G (§§ 868.501 through 868.510) is removed.

#### Subpart H (§§ 868.601–868.611)— [Removed]

5. In part 868, Subpart H (§§ 868.601 through 868.611) is removed.

David R. Shipman,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–4587 Filed 2–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

#### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 979

[Docket No. FV95-979-1FIR]

#### Melons Grown in South Texas; Increased Expenses and Establishment of Assessment Rate

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Agriculture (Department) is adopting as

a final rule, without change, the provisions of an amended interim final rule that increased the level of authorized expenses and established an assessment rate to generate funds to pay those expenses under Marketing Order No. 979 for the 1995–96 fiscal period. Authorization of this budget enables the South Texas Melon Committee (Committee) to incur expenses that are reasonable and necessary to administer the program. Funds to administer this program are derived from assessments on handlers.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha Sue Clark, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2523–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456, telephone 202–720– 9918, or Belinda G. Garza, McAllen Marketing Field Office, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, 1313 East Hackberry, McAllen, TX 78501, telephone 210–682–2833.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This rule is issued under Marketing Agreement No. 156 and Order No. 979 (7 CFR part 979), regulating the handling of melons grown in South Texas, hereinafter referred to as the "order." The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the "Act."

The Department is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. Under the marketing order provisions now in effect, South Texas melons are subject to assessments. It is intended that the assessment rate as issued herein will be applicable to all assessable melons handled during the 1995–96 fiscal period, which began October 1, 1995, and ends September 30, 1996. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and requesting a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the

petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this

rule on small entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and the rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 30 producers of South Texas melons under this marketing order, and approximately 27 handlers. Since the amended interim final was issued, information regarding a decrease in the number of producers from approximately 40 to 30 and an increase in the number of handlers from approximately 19 to 27 was received. Small agricultural producers have been defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000, and small agricultural service firms are defined as those whose annual receipts are less than \$5,000,000. The majority of South Texas melon producers and handlers may be classified as small entities.

The budget of expenses for the 1995-96 fiscal period was prepared by the South Texas Melon Committee, the agency responsible for local administration of the marketing order, and submitted to the Department for approval. The members of the Committee are producers and handlers of South Texas melons. They are familiar with the Committee's needs and with the costs of goods and services in their local area and are thus in a position to formulate an appropriate budget. The budget was formulated and discussed in a public meeting. Thus, all directly affected persons have had an opportunity to participate and provide

The assessment rate recommended by the Committee was derived by dividing anticipated expenses by expected shipments of South Texas melons. Because that rate will be applied to actual shipments, it must be established at a rate that will provide sufficient income to pay the Committee's expenses.

Committee administrative expenses of \$234,044 for personnel, office, and compliance expenses were recommended in a mail vote. The assessment rate and funding for research and promotion projects were to be recommended at a later Committee meeting. The Committee administrative expenses of \$234,044 were published in the Federal Register as an interim final rule October 23, 1995 (60 FR 54294). That interim final rule added § 979.218, authorizing expenses for the Committee, and provided that interested persons could file comments through November 1995. No comments were filed.

The Committee subsequently met on December 12, 1995, and unanimously recommended an increase of \$1,000 for administrative expenses, plus \$160,115 in research expenses, for a total budget of \$395,159. Budget items for 1995-96 which have increased compared to those budgeted for 1994-95 (in parentheses) are: Manager's salary, \$19,094 (\$15,172), office salaries, \$24,000 (\$22,000), payroll taxes, \$4,000 (\$3,100), insurance, \$8,000 (\$6,250), rent and utilities, \$6,500 (\$6,000), supplies, \$2,000 (\$1,500), postage, \$1,500 (\$1,000), telephone and telegraph, \$4,000 (\$2,500), furniture and fixtures, \$2,000 (\$1,000), equipment rental and maintenance, \$3,500 (\$2,500), contingencies, \$6,000 (\$5,278), Committee expenses, \$2,000 (\$700), manager's travel, \$5,000 (\$3,000) variety evaluation, \$10,875 (\$9,186), and \$3,750 for deferred compensation (manager's retirement), which was not a line item expense last year. Items which have decreased compared to the amount budgeted for 1994–95 (in parentheses) are: field travel, \$4,000 (\$5,000), and field salary, \$5,500 (\$8,000). All other items are budgeted at last year's amounts, including \$86,716 for a disease management program, \$18,700 for an insect management program, \$32,674 for breeding and variety development, and \$11,150 for control of melon diseases.

The initial 1995–96 budget, published on October 23, 1995, did not establish an assessment rate. Therefore, the Committee also unanimously recommended an assessment rate of \$0.07 per carton, the same as last year. This rate, when applied to anticipated shipments of approximately 4,500,000 cartons, will yield \$315,000 in assessment income, which, along with \$80,159 from the reserve, will be adequate to cover budgeted expenses. Funds in the reserve as of December 31,

1995, were \$398,821, which is within the maximum permitted by the order of two fiscal periods' expenses.

An amended interim final rule was published in the Federal Register on January 4, 1996 (61 FR 248). That interim final rule amended § 979.218 to increase the level of authorized expenses and establish an assessment rate for the Committee. That rule provided that interested persons could file comments through February 5, 1996. No comments were received.

While this action will impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are in the form of uniform assessments on handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs will be offset by the benefits derived from the operation of the marketing order. Therefore, the Administrator of the AMS has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendations submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis. The 1995-96 fiscal period began on October 1, 1995. The marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for the fiscal period apply to all assessable melons handled during the fiscal period. In addition, handlers are aware of this rule which was recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and published in the Federal Register as an amended interim final rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 979

Marketing agreements, Melons, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 979 is amended as follows:

## PART 979—MELONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

Accordingly, the amended interim final rule revising § 979.218 which was published at 61 FR 248 on January 4, 1996, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: February 23, 1996.

Martha B. Ransom,

Acting Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[FR Doc. 96–4704 Filed 2–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Community Development Financial Institutions Fund

#### 12 CFR Parts 1805 and 1806

RIN 1505-AA72

# Community Development Financial Institutions Program; Bank Enterprise Award Program; Correction

**AGENCY:** Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to interim rule.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the interim regulations that were published Tuesday, January 23, 1996 (61 FR 1699). The regulations relate to the Community Development Financial Institutions Program and the Bank Enterprise Award Program.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 23, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kirsten S. Moy, Director, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund at (202) 343–0620. (This is not a toll free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The interim regulations that are the subject of these corrections revised the interim regulations for the Community Development Financial Institutions Program and the Bank Enterprise Program that were published in the Federal Register on October 19, 1995 (60 FR 54110). As published, the amendatory instructions contained errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

Accordingly, the publication on January 23, 1996 of the interim regulations, which were the subject of FR Doc. 96–745, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 1701, in the first column, amendatory instruction number 4, in the first line, the citation "1806.600" is corrected to read "1805.600".

#### §1806.202 [Corrected]

2. On page 1702, in the second column, amendatory instruction number 5, in the third line, the citation "(d)(2)" is corrected to read "(b)(2)", and in the fourth line the citation "(d)(3)" is corrected to read "(b)(3)".

3. On page 1702, in the third column, amendatory instruction number 7 is

correctly designated as amendatory instruction number 6.

Dated: February 23, 1996.

Kirsten S. Moy.

Director, Community Development Financial

Institutions Fund.

[FR Doc. 96–4666 Filed 2–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-70-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-ANE-54; Amendment 39-9512; AD 96-04-01]

# Airworthiness Directives; AlliedSignal Inc. TFE731 Series Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Garrett Engine Division) TFE731 series turbofan engines, that currently requires eddy current inspection of certain fan rotor disks for cracks, and replacement, if necessary, with serviceable parts. This amendment requires reinspection of 33 additional fan rotor disks, beyond the quantity of reinspections required by AD 93-25-16. This amendment is prompted by discrepancies in several magnetic tape records discovered as a result of recent improvements in the inspection tape review process. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent an uncontained failure of the fan rotor disk due to fatigue cracking in the dovetail slots, which can result in inflight engine shutdowns, severe secondary damage, and fan rotor assembly separation from the engine.

**DATES:** Effective March 15, 1996. The incorporation by reference of

certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 15, 1996.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before April 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–ANE–54, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from

AlliedSignal Aerospace, Attn: Data Distribution, M/S 64–03/2101–201, P.O. Box 29003, Phoenix, AZ 85038–9003; telephone (602) 365–2493, fax (602) 365–5577. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Costa, Aerospace Engineer, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, CA 90712–4137; telephone (310) 627–5246; fax (310) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 21, 1993, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued airworthiness directive (AD) 93–25–16, Amendment 39-8780 (59 FR 4, January 3, 1994), applicable to AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Garrett Engine Division) TFE731-2, -3, and -3R series turbofan engines. That AD requires eddy current inspection of certain fan rotor disks for cracks, and replacement, if necessary, of these fan rotor disks. That action was prompted by reports of an uncontained failure of a fan rotor disk on an Allied Signal Inc. Model TFE731-3 turbofan engine. The FAA investigation determined that a fatigue crack originated in the aft acute corner of the dovetail slot. The fan rotor disk had accumulated a total of 5,291 cycles in service (CIS) at the time of the failure, and had been eddy current inspected in 1990 when the disk had accumulated 4,055 CIS. The fan rotor disk displayed evidence of broaching grooves produced during the manufacture of the blade dovetail slots. These machining grooves may have contributed to the fan rotor disk failure. From a metallurgical analysis, the FAA determined that the failed fan rotor disk had dovetail cracks which were not detected at the time of the eddy current inspection. A review of the eddy current inspection process used to inspect this fan rotor disk and all fan rotor disks inspected prior to May 1991 determined that the inspection process was not acceptable. Those fan rotor disk cracks, if not corrected, could result in an uncontained failure of the fan rotor disk due to fatigue cracking in the dovetail slots, which can result in inflight engine shutdowns, severe secondary damage, and fan rotor assembly separation from the engine.

After 1991, the eddy current inspection process required magnetic tape records (henceforth referred to as tapes) of the eddy current inspection