Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Aida Engineering, Ltd. Hitachi-Zosen Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, Ltd.	2/1/95—1/31/96 2/1/95—1/31/96 2/1/95—1/31/96	0.00 1 0.00 1 0.00

¹No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of MTPs from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for reviewed companies will be the rate established in these final results; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review or the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be the rate established in the investigation of sales at less than fair value, which is 14.51 percent. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written

notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: March 6, 1997.
Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
[FR Doc. 97–6382 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-570-501]

Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration/Import Administration.

ACTION: Notice of final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On November 6, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads (paint brushes) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The review covers the period February 1, 1995 through January 31, 1996.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. The Department received no comments, and these final results of review remain unchanged from the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elisabeth Urfer or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On November 6, 1996, the Department published the preliminary results of review (61 FR 57389). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads from the PRC. Excluded from the order are paint brushes with a blend of 40 percent natural bristles and 60 percent synthetic filaments. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 9603.40.40.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

This review covers the period February 1, 1995 through January 31, 1996.

Final Results of Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Department received no comments, and we have not changed the results from the preliminary results.

We determine that the following dumping margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Hebei Animal By-Products I/E Corp. PRC-Wide Rate	2/1/95–1/31/96 2/1/95–1/31/96	¹ 351.92 351.92

¹ No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last relevant segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments.

Accordingly, the following deposit requirement will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For any company found to merit a separate rate for the final results of this review, the rate will be the companyspecific rate for that company established in the final results of this review; (2) for the companies named above which were not found to have separate rates, as well as for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate established in the final results of this review; (3) for previously reviewed non-PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent segment of the proceeding; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26(b) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1)

of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: March 6, 1997.
Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
[FR Doc. 97–6383 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-588-842]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Needle Bearing Wire From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beth Graham at (202) 482–4105 or Kristin Mowry at (202) 482–3798, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

Initiation of Investigation

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

The Petition

On February 14, 1997, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received a petition, filed, in proper form, by E.C.D., Inc., of Hillside, New Jersey ("the petitioner"). On February 21 and 24, 1997, E.C.D., Inc., provided supplemental information concerning assertions made in its petition.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Act, the petitioner alleges that imports of needle bearing wire are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than their fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, an industry in the United States.

The petitioner states that it has standing to file the petition because it is

an interested party, as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act.

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation consists of 52100 (SAE (Society of American Engineers) standard)) steel needle bearing wire in a diameter range of .047 inches (i.e., 1.19 mm.) up to and including .218 inches (i.e., 5.54 mm.) supplied in coils. All needle bearing wire is generally the same in chemistry and is specifically designed to meet specifications designated by automobile and other manufacturers to be used in engine parts, and brake assemblies. The needle bearing wire imported from Japan, covered by this investigation is classifiable under headings 7229.90.5030 and 7229.90.5050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). Although the HTS headings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that petitions be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for (1) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (2) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition.

A review of the industry support data provided in the petition and other production information readily available to the Department indicates that the petitioner and those expressing support for the petition account for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product and for more than 50 percent of that produced by companies expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. The Department received no expressions of opposition to the petition from any interested party. Accordingly, the Department determines that this petition is supported by the domestic industry.