Act of 1995. This document announces the OMB approval number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Margaret R. Wolff, Office of Information
Resources Management (HFA–250),
Food and Drug Administration, 5600
Fishers Lane, rm. 16B–19, Rockville,
MD 20857, 301–827–1223.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of September 24, 1996 (61 FR 50030), the agency announced that the proposed information collection had been submitted to OMB for review and clearance. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), OMB has approved the information collection and assigned OMB control number 0910-0212. The approval expires on October 31, 1999. Under 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

William K. Hubbard,
Associate Commissioner for Policy
Coordination.
[FR Doc. 97–6361 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

National Institutes of Health

Dated: March 5, 1997.

National Institute of Mental Health; Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meetings of the National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel:

Agenda/Purpose: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Committee Name: National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel. Date: March 17, 1997.

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Place: Parklawn, Room 9C–26, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

Contact Person: Sheri L. Schwartzback, Parklawn, Room 9C–26, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone: 301, 443– 4843

Committee Name: National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel. Date: March 25, 1997.

Time: 1 p.m.

Place: Parklawn, Room 9C–18, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

Contact Person: Jean K. Paddock, Parklawn, Room 9C–18, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone: 301, 443– 4868.

The meetings will be closed in accordance with the provisions set forth in secs. 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S.C. Applications and/or proposals and the discussions could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as

patentable material and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications and/or proposals, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

This notice is being published less than fifteen days prior to the meetings due to the urgent need to meet timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Numbers 93.242, 93.281, 93.282) LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc. 97–6287 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

Public Health Service

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences; Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods: A Report of the ad hoc Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods, Now Available

The publication Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods: A Report of the ad hoc Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods, NIH Publication 97–3981 is now available and may be obtained as described in this notice.

Background

The National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 (Pub. L. 103–43, Section 1301) directed the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIEHS/NIH) to "(a) establish criteria for the validation and regulatory acceptance of alternative testing methods, and (b) recommend a process through which scientifically validated alternative methods can be accepted for regulatory use" (Appendix F).

regulatory use" (Appendix F).

In response to these mandates, NIEHS established an ad hoc Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) (the Committee) in 1994 to develop a report recommending criteria and processes for validation and regulatory acceptance of toxicological testing methods that would be useful to Federal agencies and the scientific community. The following Federal regulatory and research agencies and organizations participated in this effort: Consumer Product Safety Commission Department of Agriculture

Ägriculture Research Service Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Department of Defense Department of Energy Department of Health and Human Services

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Food and Drug Administration National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health/CDC National Institute of Health

National Cancer Institute National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Library of Medicine

Office of Laboratory Animal Research Department of the Interior Department of Labor

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs Administration

Environmental Protection Agency

The Committee met initially in September 1994, and then monthly or bimonthly until completion of the report in October 1996. The Committee interpreted its charge as the development of general criteria and processes for the validation and regulatory acceptance of new and revised toxicological test methods.

The specific goals of this Report are to:

- Communicate the criteria and procedures that Federal agencies should employ in considering new and revised test methods.
- Encourage the development of new and revised test methods that will provide for improved assessment of the potential toxicity of agents to human health and other organisms in the environment.
- Provide effective guidance for scientists for the validation and evaluation of new and revised test methods,
- Contribute to the increased likelihood of regulatory acceptance of scientifically valid new and revised test methods,
- Encourage the use of validated and accepted new and revised test methods,
- Encourage, when scientifically feasible, the reduction and refinement of animal use in testing and the replacement of animal methods with non-animal methods or of animal species with phylogenetically lower species.

In developing the initial draft report, the Committee considered information obtained from the following sources: (1) A questionnaire completed by each agency on their criteria and processes for test method validation and acceptance, (2) public comments submitted in response to a Federal Register notice published December 7,

1994, requesting interested individuals and organizations to provide information for consideration by the Committee (Appendix G), (3) presentations from various government scientists, (4) review of pertinent available literature, and (5) comments and suggestions from Federal agencies.

An NTP Workshop on Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Alternative Test Methods was held on December 11-12, 1995, at the Crystal Gateway Mariott Hotel, Arlington, Virginia. The purpose of the workshop was to review the criteria and processes set forth in the draft report and accept comments and recommendations from workshop registrants and invited panelists, including representatives from industry, academe, public interest groups, and the international community. Written comments were also submitted in response to the Federal Register notice announcing availability of the draft report for public comment.

The draft report was also presented to participants at the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Workshop on Harmonization of Validation Criteria for Alternative Test Methods held in Stockholm, Sweden, on January 22-24, 1996. Commends and recommendations generated by scientists from the 26 OECD member countries were considered by the Committee. The Committee prepared a revised draft report that was distributed to participating agencies for comment and concurrence prior to publication of the final Report.

Summary of the Report

The report totals 105 pages, and consists of four chapters. Chapter one is an introduction that provides a general overview of the need for toxicological test methods, how they are used, and the driving forces for the development and validation of new methods. Chapter two discusses the concept of validation and the criteria that should be met for a new or revised test method to be considered for regulatory risk assessment purposes. Chapter three discusses the criteria that should be used in considering the acceptability of a test method proposed for regulatory use. It also discusses the processes involved in achieving regulatory acceptance of a test method. A series of recommendations for developing a consistent and efficient process for evaluating new methods for regulatory acceptance is provided. Recommendations address development and validation, regulatory review of new methods, intra- and interagency coordination and harmonization,

communication, and international harmonization. Chapter four discusses an implementation plan to facilitate the review and consideration of new test methods proposed for regulatory acceptance.

A standing interagency committee will be established to coordinate the development, validation, acceptance, and national/international harmonization of toxicological test methods. The committee will be designated as the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM), and will replace the ad hoc ICCVAM. The ICCVAM will seek to promote sound toxicological test methods that (1) enhance agencies' ability to assess risks and make decisions, and (2) reduce animal use, refine procedures involving animals to make them less stressful, and replace animals in toxicological tests, where scientifically feasible and practical. The Committee anticipates that this effort will help to better evaluate risks to human and animal health and the environment, reduce costs necessary to establish the safety of agents in commerce, and facilitate international trade.

Obtaining the Report

Retrieval instructions and the anticipated date for availability on the internet can be found at the NTP website:

http://ntp-server.niehs.nih.gov. To receive a copy of the report, please contact the NTP Liaison and Scientific Review Office, NIEHS, PO Box 12233, MD A3–01, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, or by FAX to: (919) 541–0295.

For further information about the Report, please contact one of the ICCVAM co-chairs—Dr. William Stokes at NIEHS, PO Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, telephone 919–541–7997, FAX (919) 541–0947, or internet email at stokes@niehs.nih.gov or Dr. Richard Hill at EPA, Mail Code 7101, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260–2897, FAX (202) 260–1847, or internet email at hill.richard@epamail.epa.gov.

Dated: March 5, 1997.

Kenneth Olden,

Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

[FR Doc. 97–6288 Filed 3–12–97; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4123-N-04]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comments

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

5000.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments due: May 12, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Mildred M. Hamman, Reports Liaison Officer, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, S.W., Room 4238, Washington, D.C. 20410–

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mildred M. Hamman, (202) 708–3642, extension 4128, for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information: