

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 17, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 97-10566 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-228-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon Model BAe 125-800A, and Model Hawker 800 and Hawker 800XP Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Raytheon Model BAe 125-800A and -800B series airplanes, and Model Hawker 800 and Hawker 800XP series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the rudder. This proposal is prompted by a report indicating that, due to the existing design of the rudder, overbias or overbalance of the rudder occurs during single engine handling. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent overbias or overbalance of the rudder, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96-NM-228-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Raytheon Aircraft Company, Manager Service Engineering, Hawker Customer Support Department, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry Engler, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ACE-118W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, Small Airplane Directorate, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946-4122; fax (316) 946-4407.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 96-NM-228-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96-NM-228-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received a report indicating that, during flight testing of a Raytheon (Beech) Model Hawker 800 series airplane, too much rudder was being applied during single engine handling (i.e., rudder overbias). The results of testing also revealed that, in certain circumstances, the rudder did not naturally return to its trimmed state after being moved away from that position (i.e., rudder overbalance), which causes the pilot to use pedal

force to restore the rudder to the trimmed position.

The existing design of the rudder allows, during single engine operation, some flow separation on the "out-of-wind" side of the rudder during critical combinations of rudder and sideslip angles. It also causes control circuit friction of the rudder when the airplane is accelerated during single engine operation. In addition, the centering spring of the rudder does not produce consistent installation loads. These conditions, if not corrected, could result in overbias or overbalance of the rudder and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

The rudder of Model Hawker 800 series airplanes is similar in design to that of Model BAe 125-800A, and Model Hawker 800XP series airplanes. Therefore, the FAA has determined that all of these models may be subject to the same unsafe condition.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Raytheon Service Bulletin SB.55-36-25F017A&B, dated April 15, 1996, which describes procedures for modification of the rudder. The modification involves installation of three separation triggers that are half-round in radius (0.375 inch) on the left and right-hand side of the rudder just ahead of the hinge line. The modification also involves removal of the spring strut of the rudder and adjustment and reinstallation of it. Accomplishment of the modification will prevent too much rudder application during rudder bias operation.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require modification of the rudder. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 295 Beech (Raytheon) Model BAe 125-800A, -800B series airplanes, and Model Hawker 800 and Hawker 800XP series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 190 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 8 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts

would cost approximately \$300 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$148,200, or \$780 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Raytheon Aircraft Company (Formerly Beech, Raytheon Corporate Jets, British Aerospace, Hawker Siddley, et al.):
Docket 96-NM-228-AD.

Applicability: Model BAe 125-800A, and Model Hawker 800 and Hawker 800XP series airplanes; on which Raytheon Modification 25F017A&B (reference Raytheon Service Bulletin SB.55-36-25F017A&B) has not been installed; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Note 2: Raytheon Model BAe 125-800B series airplanes are similar in design to the airplanes that are subject to the requirements of this AD and, therefore, also may be subject to the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. However, as of the effective date of this AD, those models are not type certificated for operation in the United States. Airworthiness authorities of countries in which the Model BAe 125-800B series airplanes are approved for operation should consider adopting corrective action, applicable to those models, that is similar to the corrective action required by this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent overbias or overbalance of the rudder, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 100 hours time-in-service, or within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, modify the rudder in accordance with Raytheon Service Bulletin SB.55-36-25F017A&B, dated April 15, 1996.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate.

Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 17, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-10568 Filed 4-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-34-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Fairchild Model FH-227 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Fairchild Model FH-227 series airplanes. This proposal would require revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit positioning the power levers below the flight idle stop during flight, and to add a statement of the consequences of such positioning of the power levers. This proposal is prompted by incidents and accidents involving airplanes equipped with turboprop engines in which the propeller ground beta range was used improperly during flight. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent loss of airplane controllability, or engine overspeed and consequent loss of engine power caused by the power levers being positioned below the flight idle stop when the airplane is in flight.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-34-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter LeVoci, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York Aircraft