

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53

[FAR Case 95-029]

RIN 9000-AH21

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Part 15 Rewrite: Contracting by Negotiation; Competitive Range Determinations

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments and withdrawal of proposed rules.

SUMMARY: The Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council are proposing to combine Phases I and II of the rewrite of Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation, and subsume FAR Case 96-303, Competitive Range

Determinations. Phase I addresses acquisition techniques and source selection. Phase II addresses issues relating to contract pricing and unsolicited proposals. Conforming changes have also been made to other FAR parts. The FAR Part 15 Phase I proposed rule, published in the **Federal Register** at 61 FR 48380, September 12, 1996, is revised, and the Competitive Range Determinations proposed rule, published in the **Federal Register** at 61 FR 40116, July 31, 1996, is withdrawn. The resolution of public comments, received in response to those proposed rules, has resulted in changes that are of such significance that publication of a new proposed rule, with opportunity for public comment, is deemed appropriate. Furthermore, this proposed rule includes Phase II of the FAR Part 15 rewrite, which was previously unpublished. This regulatory action was subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993. This is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before July 14, 1997 to be considered in the formulation of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: General

Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 1800 F Streets, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

Please cite FAR case 95-029 in all correspondence related to this case.

E-Mail comments submitted over the Internet should be addressed to: 95-029B@www.gsa.gov

It is requested that the comments be separated into two distinct groupings: (1) Group A—those comments that relate to Subparts 15.00, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, and 15.6 and conforming revisions to Part 1, 5, 6, 14, 36, 52, and 53 and (2) Group B—those comments that relate to Subpart 15.5 and conforming revisions to Part 4, 7, 11, 16, 42, 43, and 52.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Olson at (202) 501-3221 or Melissa Rider at (703) 602-0131 on substantive issues on Subpart 15.5 and conforming revisions to Part 4, 7, 11, 16, 42, 43, and 52. Ralph DeStefano at (202) 501-1758 or Melissa Rider at (703) 602-0131 on substantive issues on Subparts 15.0, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, and 15.6 and conforming revisions to Part 1, 5, 6, 14, 36, 52, and 53. For general information, contact the FAR Secretariat, Room 4035, (202) 501-4755. Please cite FAR case 95-029.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Background**

On January 29, 1996, the FAR Council tasked an ad hoc interagency committee to rewrite FAR Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation. The rewrite was to be accomplished in two phases. Phase I, consisting of the rewrite of FAR Subparts 15.000, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, 15.6, and 15.10, covering acquisition techniques and source selection, was published for public comment in the **Federal Register** at 61 FR 48380 on September 12, 1996. Two public meetings were held to discuss the proposed rule: in Washington, DC, on November 8, 1996, and in Kansas City, MO, on November 18, 1996. The public comment period closed on November 26, 1996. The Government received 1541 comments from 100 respondents and considered the comments in drafting revisions to the rule. Due to the significant changes made as a result of resolving public comments, the FAR Council decided to publish a revised proposed rule. The revised proposed rule, however, has been expanded to include previously unpublished, Phase II, proposed changes—covering Subparts 15.5, 15.7, 15.8, and 15.9. It also incorporates changes made as a result of public comments submitted in response to FAR Case 96-303, Competitive Range Determinations.

Case Summary

This proposed rule modifies concepts and processes in the current FAR Part 15, introduces new policies, and incorporates changes in pricing and unsolicited proposal policy. In addition, a more appropriate sequencing of information has been adopted to facilitate use. The proposed rule does not alter the full and open competition provisions of FAR Part 6. The goals of this rewrite are to infuse innovative techniques into the source selection process, simplify the process, and facilitate the acquisition of best value. The rewrite emphasizes the need for contracting officers to use effective and efficient acquisition methods, and eliminates regulations that impose unnecessary burdens on industry and on Government contracting officers.

The comments considered in drafting this proposed rule include: comments received during public meetings held on January 25, 1996, November 8, 1996, and November 18, 1996; comments received in response to three advance notices of proposed rulemaking (60 FR 63023, December 8, 1995; 60 FR 65360, December 19, 1995; and 60 FR 67113, December 28, 1995); comments received in response to publication of the Phase I proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (61 FR 48380, September 12, 1996); comments received in response to publication of the Competitive Range Determinations proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (61 FR 40116, July 31, 1996); comments received over the Acquisition Reform Network (an Internet forum); comments received from members of Congress and Congressional staff, Government agencies, the DAR Council, the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council, and the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP); comments received in response to other notices of the rewrite in various print media and conferences; and comments received from Government fora such as the Front-line Professional's Forum and the Federal Procurement Executive Association.

Several public comments requested that a definition of "neutral" past performance rating be included in the final rule. This proposed rule provides only general guidelines for establishing a neutral rating, since what constitutes "neutral" seems to change with the circumstances of each individual source selection. However, suggestions from the general public for a more rigorous definition are solicited and will be considered by the FAR Council in drafting the final rule.

Summary of Changes

This proposed rule reengineers the processes used to contract by negotiation, with the intent of reducing the resources necessary for source selection and reducing cycle time to contract award. The goals of the FAR Part 15 Rewrite are to ensure that the Government, when contracting by negotiation, receives the best value, and that offerors are treated fairly by—

- Enhancing communications between the Government and industry—allowing industry to better understand the requirement and Government to better understand industry's proposals;
- Emphasizing that no offeror, otherwise eligible to submit a proposal in response to a Government solicitation, will be excluded from the competitive range without its proposal being initially reviewed and evaluated;
- Evaluating all proposals received based upon the criteria in the solicitation;
- Reducing the bid and proposal costs for industry by providing early feedback as to whether a proposal is truly competitive;
- Streamlining the post-competitive range process by enhancing the ability of the parties to communicate and document understandings reached during discussions; and
- Debriefing offerors excluded from the competitive range as to why their proposals were not competitive.

Although there are changes from the September 12, 1996, proposed rule throughout the Phase I portion of this revised proposed rule, some of the more important ones are—

- Deletion of the Model Contract Format, that will be added to the DFARS as a test;
- Clarification of the standard for admission into the competitive range;
- Deletion of language on including in the solicitation an estimated number for limiting the competitive range for efficiency;
- More structured guidance on communications, including increasing the scope of discussions;
- More structured guidance on accepting late proposals; and
- Establishment of a common cut-off date and time for receipt of final proposal revisions.

Phase II revisions were not included in the September 12, 1996, proposed rule. They address unsolicited proposals, make-or-buy programs, negotiating contract prices, and profit, and are included in this proposed rule. Subparts 15.5, 15.7, 15.8, and 15.9 were renamed and resequenced to articulate more clearly policies and procedures

relative to contract pricing; and to recognize requirements associated with the acquisition of commercial items. Specific changes include—

Cost or Pricing Data

- The separate exception for modifications to contracts for commercial items has been removed and simplified text has been moved to the standards for the commercial item exception at 15.503-1(c)(3).

- The waiver exception at 15.503-1(b)(4) has been modified to specifically state that cost and pricing data are not to be obtained when a waiver has been granted by the head of the contracting activity.

Field Pricing

• Field pricing coverage was revised to reflect the need for greater flexibility and teamwork in today's acquisition environment. The emphasis in the proposed coverage is on only obtaining field pricing assistance when the contracting officer needs additional information to determine a fair and reasonable price. When field pricing assistance is needed, the requests should be limited to selected areas where assistance is needed, with full technical and audit reviews as the exception. Emphasis is placed on early and direct communications between the contracting officer and the field agencies to define the information needed.

- In those instances when a full field pricing review is necessary, the technical and audit reports generated as a result of the field pricing reviews will be forwarded to the contracting officer, but the separate reports need not be consolidated into a single document.

Forms and Tables

- In the interest of providing flexibility in preparing solicitations and offers, the forms currently used as cover sheets for submitting cost or pricing data (SF 1411) and information other than cost or pricing data (SF 1448) were eliminated. Neither provides much information, beyond identification of the offeror and general information about the accompanying proposal. One item found on both forms, which is still considered necessary, is the statement allowing the Government to examine the offeror's records. For cost or pricing data, this statement was added in Table 15-2 to the list of information to be provided on the first page of the proposal. For information other than cost or pricing data, the statement is required by 15.803-5(a)(ii).

- The existing Table 15-2, Instructions for Submitting Cost or Pricing Data, was reorganized to make it

more understandable, and was moved to the end of Part 15, so it would not disrupt the flow of the part. The existing Table 15-3 was eliminated because it did not provide information beyond that already found in the text of Subpart 15.8. Instead, the revised coverage makes it clear that the format in Table 15-2 may be tailored by contracting officers for submission of information other than cost or pricing data to reflect the instant acquisition situation.

Unbalanced Pricing

- The unbalanced pricing coverage was simplified and relocated to reflect its use as a proposal analysis technique designed to assess risk and protect the Government's economic interest. The revised coverage intentionally omits the mention of any step-by-step analysis of "mathematical" or "material" criteria, because historically they have not led to clear or consistent interpretations of unbalancing. Instead, the focus of the revised coverage is shifted to the relative value and risk to the Government.

Unsolicited Proposals Coverage

- The unsolicited proposal coverage has been revised to focus on submission of new ideas and concepts in response to Broad Agency Announcements, Small Business Innovation Research Topics, Small Business Technology Research Topics, or Program Research and Development Announcements and to highlight the use of communications between industry and the Government.

Fee Limitations

- The requirement for a separate determination and findings supporting cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts has been eliminated; the fee limitations at 15.809-3(d) have been strictly aligned with statute; and the contracting officer's signature on the price negotiation memorandum or other documentation of the negotiated price will now serve as a determination that fee limits have not been exceeded.

Guidelines for Cost Realism

- New coverage on cost realism has been added at 15.806-4 to explicitly recognize the requirement for a cost realism analysis to support award of competitive cost reimbursement contracts.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

An Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has been prepared and submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy for the Small Business Administration. A copy of the analysis may be obtained from the FAR

Secretariat at the General Services Administration, 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405. The analysis is summarized as follows:

This proposed rule modifies fundamental concepts and processes that are presently in FAR Part 15, and introduces new policies and incorporates changes in pricing and unsolicited proposal policy not contained in the initial proposed rule. In addition, a more appropriate sequencing of information has been adopted to facilitate use. This proposed rule does not alter the full and open competition provisions of FAR Part 6. The goals of this rewrite are to infuse innovative techniques into the source selection process, simplify the process, and facilitate the acquisition of best value. The rewrite emphasizes the need for contracting officers to use effective and efficient acquisition methods, and eliminates regulations that impose unnecessary burdens on industry and on Government contracting officers.

The proposed rule will apply to all large and small entities (including educational and nonprofit entities), that offer supplies or services to the Government in negotiated acquisitions. Aspects of the proposed rule which may impact small entities are: making a shift in competitive range policy to encourage retaining only the most highly rated proposals rather than all those with a reasonable chance of award; allowing the contracting officer to limit the competitive range in the interest of efficiency; prohibiting cost analysis when contracting on a fixed-price basis without cost incentives, unless the contracting officer has reason to believe that the proposed prices are not reasonable; requiring that evaluation factors established for solicitations provide for meaningful evaluations of competing proposals; permitting early disclosure of adverse past performance information; allowing early and continuing communication between the Government and industry to ensure industry's understanding of Government requirements and the Government's understanding of offerors' proposals; allowing the Government to reveal the cost or price estimates that its analysis, market research, and other reviews have identified for an acquisition; and allowing plain paper formats to substitute for Government forms in support of electronic contracting processes. The rule proposes to streamline source selection procedures, thereby creating a more efficient process that benefits both private and public sectors.

The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) believes the proposed rule reduces Government regulations that establish requirements for the way the Government deals with those seeking to do business with it. Such deregulation reflects the spirit and intent of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. OFPP further believes that the changes are good for small businesses; that there are many small businesses that do not do business with the Government because of the complexity of offering, evaluation, and award, that will benefit from these changes.

Comments are invited. Comments from small entities concerning the affected FAR subparts will be

considered in accordance with Section 610 of the Act. Such comments should be submitted separately and cite FAR case 95-029 in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act applies because the rule revises existing information collection requirements, resulting in a decrease in the estimated burden. Accordingly, a request for amendment of information collection requirements under approved Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Numbers 9000-0037, 9000-0044, and 9000-0048 will be submitted to OMB under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.* at the final rule stage. The title of each information collection requirement, the affected FAR Part 15-related cite, and the hours currently approved by OMB for each information collection requirement are: 9000-0037, Standard Form 1417, Presolicitation Notice and Response, FAR 15.404(b), 7,882 hours; 9000-0044, Bid/Offer Acceptance Period, 52.215-19, 2,190 hours; and 9000-0048, Authorized Negotiators, 52.215-11, 8,415 hours. As a result of this proposed rule, a decrease in the total information collection requirement is expected, because increased efficiencies in the source selection process are expected to result in a decrease in the number of proposal revisions from offerors.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53

Government procurement.

Dated: May 6, 1997.

Edward C. Loeb,

Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.

Therefore, proposed rule 96-503, Competitive Range Determinations, published at 61 FR 40116, July 31, 1996, is withdrawn, and proposed rule 95-029 which appeared at 61 FR 48380, September 12, 1996, is revised and it is proposed that 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53 be amended as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

PART 1—FEDERAL ACQUISITIONS REGULATIONS SYSTEM

2. Section 1.102-2 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(3) to read as follows:

1.102-2 Performance standards.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) The Government shall exercise discretion, use sound business judgment, and comply with applicable laws and regulations in dealing with contractors and prospective contractors. All contractors and prospective contractors shall be treated fairly and impartially, but need not be treated the same.

* * * * *

PART 2—DEFINITIONS OF WORDS AND TERMS

3. Section 2.101 is amended by inserting, in alphabetical order, the definition "Best value" to read as follows:

2.101 Definitions.

* * * * *

Best value means the outcome of an acquisition that, in the Government's estimation, provides the greatest overall benefit in response to the requirement.

* * * * *

PART 4—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

4. Subpart 4.10 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 4.10—Contract Line Items

4.1001 Policy.

Contracts may identify the items or services to be acquired as separately identified line items. Contract line items should provide unit prices or lump sum prices for separately identifiable contract deliverables, and associated delivery schedules or performance periods. Line items may be further subdivided or stratified for administrative convenience (e.g., to provide for traceable accounting classification citations).

PART 6—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

5. Section 6.101 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

6.101 Policy.

* * * * *

(b) Contracting officers shall provide for full and open competition through use of the competitive procedure(s) contained in this subpart that are best suited to the circumstances of the

contract action and consistent with the need to fulfill the Government's requirements efficiently (10 U.S.C. 2304 and 41 U.S.C. 253).

PART 7—ACQUISITION PLANNING

6. Section 7.105 is amended by revising (b)(5) to read as follows:

7.105 Contents of written acquisition plans.

(b) * * *

(5) *Budgeting and funding.* Include budget estimates, explain how they were derived, and discuss the schedule for obtaining adequate funds at the time they are required (see subpart 32.7).

* * * * *

PART 11—DESCRIBING AGENCY NEEDS

7. Subpart 11.8 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 11.8—Testing

11.801 Preaward testing.

Preaward testing or product demonstration, when required by the solicitation, need not be conducted in accordance with a formal test plan. The results of such tests or demonstrations may be used to rate the proposal, to determine technical acceptability, or otherwise to evaluate the proposal.

PART 14—SEALED BIDDING

14.201–6 [Amended]

8. Section 14.201–6 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (n).

9. Section 14.404–1 is amended in paragraph (e)(1) by removing the reference “15.103” and inserting “paragraph (f) of this subsection” in its place; and by adding paragraph (f) to read as follows:

14.404–1 Cancellation of invitations after opening.

* * * * *

(f) When the agency head has determined, in accordance with 14.404–1(e)(1), that an invitation for bids should be canceled and that use of negotiation is in the Government's interest, the contracting officer may negotiate and make award without issuing a new solicitation provided—

(1) Each responsible bidder in the sealed bid acquisition has been given notice that negotiations will be conducted and has been given an opportunity to participate in negotiations; and

(2) The negotiated price is the lowest negotiated price offered by any responsible bidder.

10. Part 15 is revised to read as follows:

PART 15—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

Subpart 15.0—Scope

Sec.

- 15.000 Scope of part.
- 15.001 Definitions.
- 15.002 Negotiated acquisition.

Subpart 15.1—Source Selection Processes and Techniques

- 15.100 Scope of subpart.
- 15.101 Best value continuum.
- 15.101–1 Tradeoff process.
- 15.101–2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection process.
- 15.102 Multi-step source selection technique.
- 15.103 Oral presentations.

Subpart 15.2—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Information

- 15.200 Scope of subpart.
 - 15.201 Presolicitation exchanges with industry.
 - 15.202 Advisory multi-step source selection.
 - 15.203 Requests for proposals.
 - 15.204 Contract format.
 - 15.204–1 Uniform contract format.
- Table 15–1—Uniform Contract Format**
- 15.204–2 Part I—The Schedule.
 - 15.204–3 Part II—Contract Clauses.
 - 15.204–4 Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.
 - 15.204–5 Part IV—Representations and Instructions.
 - 15.205 Issuing solicitations.
 - 15.206 Amending the solicitation.
 - 15.207 Handling proposals and information.
 - 15.208 Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.
 - 15.209 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
 - 15.210 Forms.

Subpart 15.3—Unsolicited Proposals

- 15.300 Scope of subpart.
- 15.301 Definitions.
- 15.302 Policy.
- 15.303 General.
- 15.304 Agency liaison.
- 15.305 Content of unsolicited proposals.
- 15.306 Agency procedures.
- 15.306–1 Receipt and initial review.
- 15.306–2 Evaluation.
- 15.307 Criteria for acceptance and negotiation of an unsolicited proposal.
- 15.308 Prohibitions.
- 15.309 Limited use of data.

Subpart 15.4—Source Selection

- 15.400 Scope of subpart.
- 15.400 Scope of subpart.
- 15.401 Definitions.
- 15.402 Source selection objective.
- 15.403 Responsibilities.
- 15.404 Evaluation factors and subfactors.
- 15.405 Proposal evaluation.
- 15.406 Communications with offerors.

15.407 Proposal revisions.

15.408 Source selection.

Subpart 15.5—Contract Pricing

- 15.500 Scope of subpart.
- 15.501 Definitions.
- 15.502 Pricing policy.
- 15.503 Obtaining cost or pricing data.
- 15.503–1 Prohibition on obtaining cost or pricing data.
- 15.503–2 Other circumstances where cost or pricing data are not required.
- 15.503–3 Requiring information other than cost or pricing data.
- 15.503–4 Requiring cost or pricing data.
- 15.503–5 Instructions for submission of cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data.
- 15.504 Proposal analysis.
- 15.504–1 Proposal analysis techniques.
- 15.504–2 Information to support proposal analysis.
- 15.504–3 Subcontract pricing considerations.
- 15.504–4 Profit.
- 15.505 Price negotiation.
- 15.506 Documentation.
- 15.506–1 Prenegotiation objectives.
- 15.506–2 Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.
- 15.506–3 Documenting the negotiation.
- 15.507 Special cost or pricing areas.
- 15.507–1 Defective cost or pricing data.
- 15.507–2 Make-or-buy programs.
- 15.507–3 Forward pricing rate agreements.
- 15.507–4 Should cost review.
- 15.507–5 Estimating systems.
- 15.508 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Table 15–2—Instructions for Submitting Cost or Pricing Data

Subpart 15.6—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

- 15.601 Definition.
- 15.602 Applicability.
- 15.603 Notifications to unsuccessful offerors.
- 15.604 Award to successful offeror.
- 15.605 Preaward debriefing of offerors.
- 15.606 Postaward debriefing of offerors.
- 15.607 Protests against award.
- 15.608 Discovery of mistakes.
- 15.609 Forms.

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

Subpart 15.0—Scope

15.000 Scope of part.

This part prescribes policies and procedures governing competitive and noncompetitive negotiated acquisitions. Negotiated procedures may include bargaining. A contract awarded using other than sealed bidding procedures is a negotiated contract (see 14.101).

15.001 Definitions.

As used in this part—

Communications are all interchanges after receipt of proposals between the Government and an offeror, including

discussions conducted after the competitive range is established.

Discussions are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the contracting officer's discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.

Negotiation is a procedure that, after receipt and evaluation of proposals from offerors, permits bargaining. Bargaining includes persuasion, alteration of assumptions and positions, give-and-take, and may apply to price, schedule, technical requirements, type of contract, or other terms of a proposed contract.

Proposal modification is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation's closing date and time, made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

Proposal revision is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of a contracting officer, as the result of discussions.

15.002 Negotiated acquisition.

(a) *Sole-source acquisitions.* When contracting in a sole source environment, the RFP should be tailored to remove unnecessary information and requirements e.g., evaluation criteria, and voluminous proposal preparation instructions.

(b) *Competitive acquisitions.* When contracting in a competitive environment, the procedures of this part are intended to minimize the complexity of the solicitation, the evaluation, and the source selection decision, while maintaining a process designed to foster an impartial and comprehensive evaluation of offerors' proposals, leading to selection of the proposal representing the best value to the Government (see 2.101).

Subpart 15.1—Source Selection Processes and Techniques

15.100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart describes some acquisition processes and techniques that may be used to design competitive acquisition strategies suitable for the specific circumstances of the acquisition, unless otherwise noted.

15.101 Best value continuum.

An agency can obtain best value in negotiated procurements by using any one or a combination of source selection approaches. In different types of procurements, the relative importance of cost or price may vary. For example, in acquisitions where the requirement is clearly definable and the risk of

unsuccessful contract performance is minimal, cost or price may play a dominant role in source selection. The less definitive the requirement, the more development work required, or the greater the performance risk, the more technical or past performance considerations may play a dominant role in source selection.

15.101-1 Tradeoff process.

(a) This process is appropriate when it may be in the best interest of the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror.

(b) When using the tradeoff process, the following applies:

(1) All evaluation factors and significant subfactors that will affect contract award and their relative importance shall be clearly stated in the solicitation.

(2) The solicitation shall state whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price when combined are significantly more important than, approximately equal to, or significantly less important than cost or price.

(3) This process permits tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and allows the Government to accept other than the lowest priced proposal. The perceived benefits of the higher-priced proposal shall merit the additional cost, and the rationale for tradeoffs must be documented in the file in accordance with 15.408.

15.101-2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection process.

(a) This process is appropriate when best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.

(b) When using the lowest price technically acceptable process, the following applies:

(1) The evaluation factors and significant subfactors that establish the requirements of acceptability shall be set forth in the solicitation. Solicitations shall specify that award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for non-cost factors. Past performance shall be evaluated as a non-cost factor in accordance with 15.405, unless the contracting officer has determined that the evaluation of past performance is not appropriate (15.404(d)(3)(iii)).

(2) Tradeoffs are not permitted.

(3) Proposals are evaluated for acceptability but not ranked using the non-cost/price factors.

(4) Communications may occur (see 15.406).

15.102 Multi-step source selection technique.

(a) Multi-step source selection may be appropriate when the submission of full proposals at the beginning of a source selection would be burdensome for offerors to prepare and for Government personnel to evaluate. Using the multi-step techniques described in this section, agencies may seek limited information initially, make one or more competitive range determinations, and request full proposals from those remaining in the competitive range.

(b) The agency shall issue a solicitation that describes the supplies or services to be acquired, identifies the criteria that will be used in making the source selection decision, and identifies the information that must be submitted in response to the first-step solicitation. While the solicitation will not require the submission of full proposals in first step, it shall require, at minimum, the submission of statements of qualifications, proposed technical concepts, and past performance and pricing information. The solicitation also shall outline what submissions are expected in future steps. The solicitation must disclose all significant factors and subfactors, including cost or price, that the agency will consider in evaluating proposals, and their relative importance. The solicitation must contain sufficient information to permit potential offerors to make informed decisions about whether to participate in the acquisition, and shall advise them that failure to participate in the first step will preclude participation in any subsequent step.

(c) The agency shall evaluate all responses in accordance with the criteria stated in the solicitation, and shall advise each offeror either that it has been selected to participate in the next step of the acquisition or that it has been excluded from the competitive range. Those not determined to be in the competitive range shall be informed in accordance with 15.603 that they will not be permitted to participate in any subsequent step, and shall be debriefed as required by 15.605 and 15.606. The agency shall seek additional information in any subsequent step sufficient to permit an award without further discussion or another competitive range determination. The process ends at contract award or cancellation of the acquisition.

15.103 Oral presentations.

Oral presentations by offerors to the Government may be used to substitute for, or augment, written information. Use of oral presentations as a substitute for portions of a proposal can be

effective in streamlining the source selection process. Oral presentations may occur at any time in the acquisition process, and are subject to the same restrictions as written information, regarding timing (see 15.208) and content (see 15.406). Oral presentations provide an opportunity for dialogue among the parties in competitive and sole source acquisitions. Pre-recorded videotaped presentations that lack real-time interactive dialogue are not considered oral presentations for the purposes of this section, although they may be included in offeror submissions, when appropriate.

(a) The solicitation may require each offeror to submit part of its proposal through oral presentations. However, certifications, representations, and a signed offer sheet (including any exceptions to the Government's terms and conditions) shall be submitted in writing.

(b) Information pertaining to areas such as an offeror's capability, past performance, work plans or approaches, staffing resources, transition plans, or sample tasks (or other types of tests) may be suitable for oral presentations. In deciding what information to obtain through an oral presentation, consider the following:

- (1) The Government's ability to adequately evaluate the information;
- (2) The need to incorporate any information into the resultant contract;

- (3) The impact on the efficiency of the acquisition; and

- (4) The impact on small businesses.

(c) When oral presentations are required, the solicitation shall provide offerors with sufficient information to prepare them. Accordingly, the solicitation may describe—

- (1) The types of information to be presented orally and the associated evaluation factors that will be used;
- (2) The qualifications for personnel that will be required to provide the oral presentation(s);

- (3) The requirements for, and any limitations and/or prohibitions on, the use of written material or other media to supplement the oral presentations;

- (4) The location, date, and time for the oral presentations;

- (5) The restrictions governing the time permitted for each oral presentation; and

- (6) The scope and content of communications that may occur between the Government's participants and the offeror's representatives as part of the oral presentations, e.g., state whether or not discussions will be permitted during oral presentations (see 15.406(d)).

(d) The contract file shall contain a record of oral presentations to document what the Government relied upon in making the source selection decision. The method and level of detail of the record (e.g., videotaping, written minutes, Government notes, copies of offeror briefing slides or presentation notes) shall be at the discretion of the source selection authority.

(e) When an oral presentation includes information that the parties intend to include in the contract as material terms or conditions, the information shall be put in writing. Incorporation by reference of oral statements is not permitted.

(f) If, during an oral presentation, the Government conducts discussions as defined in 15.001, the Government must comply with 15.406 and 15.407.

Subpart 15.2—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Information

15.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policies and procedures for—

- (a) Exchanging information with industry prior to releasing a solicitation;
- (b) Preparing and issuing requests for proposals (RFPs) and requests for information (RFIs); and
- (c) Receiving proposals and information.

15.201 Presolicitation exchanges with industry.

(a) Exchanges of information among all interested parties, from the earliest identification of a requirement through release of the solicitation, is encouraged. Interested parties include potential offerors, end users, Government acquisition and supporting personnel, and others involved in the conduct or outcome of the acquisition.

(b) The purpose of exchanging information is to improve the understanding of Government requirements and industry capabilities, thereby enhancing the Government's ability to obtain quality products and services at reasonable prices, and increase efficiency in proposal preparation, proposal evaluation, negotiation, and contract award.

(c) Agencies are encouraged to promote early exchanges of information about future acquisitions. An early exchange of information can identify and resolve concerns regarding the acquisition strategy, including proposed contract type, terms and conditions and acquisition planning schedules; the feasibility of the requirement, including performance requirements, statements of work, and data requirements; the suitability of the proposal instructions

and evaluation criteria, including the approach for assessing past performance information; the availability of reference documents and information exchange approaches; and any other industry concerns or questions (see 3.104 regarding procurement integrity requirements). Some techniques to promote early exchanges of information are—

- (1) Industry or small business conferences;
- (2) Public hearings;
- (3) Market research, as described in part 10;
- (4) One-on-one meetings with potential offerors (see paragraph (f) of this section regarding restrictions on disclosure of information);
- (5) Presolicitation notices;
- (6) Draft RFPs;
- (7) RFIs;
- (8) Presolicitation or preproposal conferences; and
- (9) Site visits.

(d) The special notices of procurement matters at 5.205(c), or electronic notices, may be used to publicize the Government's requirement or solicit information from industry.

(e) RFIs may be used when the Government does not presently intend to award a contract, but needs to obtain price, delivery, other market information, or capabilities for planning purposes. Responses to these notices are not offers and cannot be accepted by the Government to form a binding contract. There is no required format for RFIs.

(f) General information about agency mission needs and future requirements may be disclosed at any time. When specific information about a proposed acquisition that would be necessary for the preparation of proposals is disclosed to one or more potential offerors, that information shall be made available to the public as soon as possible, in order to avoid creating an unfair competitive advantage. When a presolicitation or preproposal conference is conducted, materials distributed at the conference should be made available to all potential offerors, upon request.

15.202 Advisory multi-step source selection.

(a) The agency may publish a presolicitation notice (see 5.204) that provides a general description of the scope or purpose of the acquisition and invites potential offerors to submit information that allows the Government to advise the offerors about their potential to be viable competitors. The presolicitation notice should identify the information that must be submitted and the criteria that will be used in making the initial evaluation, and

should invite responses. Information sought may be limited to a statement of qualifications and other appropriate information (e.g., proposed technical concept, past performance, and limited pricing information). At a minimum, the notice shall contain sufficient information to permit a potential offeror to make an informed decision about whether to participate in the acquisition.

(b) The agency shall evaluate all responses in accordance with the criteria stated in the notice, and shall advise each respondent either that it will be invited to participate in the resultant acquisition or, based on the information submitted, that it is unlikely to be a viable competitor. The agency shall advise respondents considered not to be viable competitors of the general basis for that opinion. The agency shall inform all respondents that, notwithstanding the advice provided by the Government in response to their submissions, they may participate in the resultant acquisition.

15.203 Requests for proposals.

(a) Requests for proposals (RFPs) are used in negotiated acquisitions to communicate Government requirements to prospective contractors and to solicit proposals. RFPs for competitive acquisitions shall, at a minimum, describe the—

(1) Government's requirement;
 (2) Anticipated terms and conditions that will apply to the contract—

(i) The solicitation may authorize offerors to propose alternative terms and conditions, including the contract line item number (CLIN) structure; and

(ii) When alternative CLIN structures are permitted, the evaluation approach should consider the potential impact on other terms and conditions or the requirement (e.g., place of performance or payment and funding requirements);

(3) Information required to be in the offeror's proposal; and

(4) Factors and significant subfactors that will be used to evaluate the proposal.

(b) An RFP may be issued for OMB Circular A-76 studies. See subpart 7.3 for additional information regarding

cost comparisons between Government and contractor performance.

(c) Electronic commerce may be used to issue RFPs, and to receive proposals, modifications, and revisions. In this case, the RFP shall specify the electronic commerce method(s) that offerors may use (see subpart 4.5).

(d) Contracting officers may issue RFPs and/or authorize receipt of proposals modifications or revisions by facsimile.

(1) In deciding whether or not to use facsimiles, the contracting officer should consider factors such as—

(i) Anticipated proposal size and volume;
 (ii) Urgency of the requirement;
 (iii) Availability and suitability of electronic commerce methods; and
 (iv) Adequacy of administrative procedures and controls for receiving, identifying, recording, and safeguarding facsimile proposals, and ensuring their timely delivery to the designated proposal delivery location.

(2) If facsimile proposals are authorized, contracting officers may request offeror(s) to provide the complete, original signed proposal at a later date.

(e) Letter RFPs may be used in sole source follow-on acquisitions and other appropriate circumstances. Letter RFPs should be as complete as possible and, as a minimum, should contain the following:

(1) RFP number and date;
 (2) Name, address, and telephone number of contracting officer;
 (3) Type of contract contemplated;
 (4) Quantity, description, and required delivery dates for the item;
 (5) Applicable certifications and representations;
 (6) Contract terms and conditions;
 (7) Instructions to offerors and evaluation criteria for other than sole-source actions;
 (8) Proposal due date and time; and
 (9) Other relevant information; e.g., incentives, variations in delivery schedule, any peculiar or different requirements, cost proposal support, and data requirements.

(f) Oral RFPs are authorized when processing a written solicitation would

delay the acquisition of supplies or services to the detriment of the Government and a notice is not required under 5.202 (e.g., perishable items and support of contingency operations or other emergency situations).

(1) The contract files supporting oral solicitations should include—

(i) A description of the requirement;
 (ii) Rationale for use of an oral solicitation;

(iii) Sources solicited, including the date, time, name of individuals contacted, and prices offered; and

(iv) The solicitation number provided to the prospective offerors.

(2) The information furnished to potential offerors under oral solicitations should include appropriate items from paragraph (e) of this section.

15.204 Contract format.

The use of a standard contract format facilitates preparation of the solicitation and contract as well as reference to, and use of, those documents by offerors, contractors, and contract administrators. The standard format need not be used in the following:

(a) Construction and architect-engineer contracts (see part 36).

(b) Subsistence contracts.

(c) Supplies or services requiring special contract formats prescribed elsewhere in this chapter that are inconsistent with the standard format.

(d) Letter requests for proposals (see 15.203(e)).

(e) Contracts exempted by the agency head or designee.

15.204-1 Uniform contract format.

(a) Contracting officers shall prepare solicitations and resulting contracts using the uniform contract format outlined in Table 15-1 of this section.

(b) Solicitations using the uniform contract format shall include Parts I, II, III, and IV (see 15.204-2 through 15.204-5). Upon award, contracting officers shall not physically include Part IV in the resulting contract, but shall retain in the contract file a completed Section K, Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors. Section K shall be incorporated by reference in the contract.

TABLE 15—1.—UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT

Section	Title
A	Solicitation/contract form.
B	Supplies or services and prices/costs.
C	Description/specifications/work statement.
D	Packaging and marking.
E	Inspection and acceptance.
F	Deliveries or performance.

Part I—The Schedule

TABLE 15—1.—UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT—Continued

Section	Title
G	Contract administration data.
H	Special contract requirements.
I	Contract clauses.
J	Part II—Contract Clauses Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments List of attachments
K	Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors or quoters.
L	Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or respondents.
M	Evaluation factors for award.

15.204—2 Part I—The Schedule.

The contracting officer shall prepare the contract Schedule as follows:

(a) *Section A, Solicitation/contract form.* (1) Prepare RFPs on Optional Form (OF) 308, *Solicitation and Offer—Negotiated Acquisition*, unless otherwise permitted by this chapter (see use of modified standard forms, part 53).

(2) If the Standard Form (SF) 18, *Request for Quotations* (53.301–18) is used for an RFI, the form may be modified to incorporate Section A of the uniform contract format.

(3) When other than OF 308 or SF 18 is used, include the following information on the first page of the solicitation.

(i) Name, address, and location of issuing activity, including room and building where proposals or information must be submitted.

(ii) Solicitation number.

(iii) Date of issuance.

(iv) Closing date and time.

(v) Number of pages.

(vi) Requisition or other purchase authority.

(vii) Brief description of item or service.

(viii) Requirement for the offeror or respondent to an RFI to provide its name and complete address, including street, city, county, state, and zip code.

(b) *Section B, Supplies or services and prices/costs.* Include a brief description of the supplies or services; e.g., item number, national stock number/part number if applicable, nouns, nomenclature, and quantities. (This includes incidental deliverables such as manuals and reports.)

(c) *Section C, Description/specifications/work statement.* Include any description or specifications needed in addition to Section B (see part 11).

(d) *Section D, Packaging and marking.* Provide packaging, packing, preservation, and marking requirements, if any.

(e) *Section E, Inspection and acceptance.* Include inspection, acceptance, quality assurance, and

reliability requirements (see part 46, *Quality Assurance*).

(f) *Section F, Deliveries or performance.* Specify the requirements for time, place, and method of delivery or performance (see subpart 11.4, *Delivery or Performance Schedules*, and 47.301–1).

(g) *Section G, Contract administration data.* Include any required accounting and appropriation data and any required contract administration information or instructions other than those on the solicitation form. Include a statement that the offeror should include the payment address in the proposal, if it is different from that shown for the offeror.

(h) *Section H, Special contract requirements.* Include a clear statement of any special contract requirements that are not included in Section I, Contract clauses, or in other sections of the uniform contract format.

15.204—3 Part II—Contract Clauses.

Section I, Contract clauses. The contracting officer shall include in this section the clauses required by law or by this chapter and any additional clauses expected to be included in any resulting contract, if these clauses are not required in any other section of the uniform contract format. An index may be inserted if this section's format is particularly complex.

15.204—4 Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.

Section J, List of attachments. The contracting officer shall list the title, date, and number of pages for each attached document, exhibit, and other attachment. Cross-references to material in other sections may be inserted, as appropriate.

15.204—5 Part IV—Representations and Instructions.

The contracting officer shall prepare the representations and instructions as follows:

(a) *Section K, Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors.* Include in this section those solicitation provisions that require

representations, certifications, or the submission of other information by offerors.

(b) *Section L, Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or respondents.* Insert in this section solicitation provisions and other information and instructions not required elsewhere to guide offerors or respondents in preparing proposals or responses to requests for information. Prospective offerors or respondents may be instructed to submit proposals or information in a specific format or severable parts to facilitate evaluation. The instructions may specify further organization of proposal or response parts, such as—

(1) Administrative;

(2) Management;

(3) Technical;

(4) Past performance; and

(5) Cost or pricing data (see Table 15—2 of 15.508).

(c) *Section M, Evaluation factors for award.* Identify all significant factors and any significant subfactors that will be considered in awarding the contract and their relative importance (see 15.404(e)). The contracting officer shall insert one of the phrases in 15.404(f).

15.205 Issuing solicitations.

(a) The contracting officer shall issue solicitations to potential sources in accordance with the policies and procedures in parts 5 and 6. When using other than electronic contracting methods, the contracting officer shall furnish copies of unclassified solicitations to small businesses upon request and shall prepare a reasonable number of copies for distribution to other eligible parties. The agency may charge for solicitation sets, if permitted by agency regulations.

(b) A master solicitation (see 14.203—3) may be used for negotiated acquisitions.

15.206 Amending the solicitation.

(a) When, either before or after receipt of proposals, the Government changes, relaxes, increases, or otherwise modifies its requirements or terms and conditions, the contracting officer shall amend the solicitation.

(b) Oral notices may be used when time is of the essence. The contracting officer shall document the contract file and formalize the notice with an amendment.

(c) At a minimum, the following information should be included in each amendment:

- (1) Name and address of issuing activity;
- (2) Solicitation number and date;
- (3) Amendment number and date;
- (4) Number of pages;
- (5) Description of the change being made;

(6) Government point of contact and phone number; and

(7) Revision to solicitation closing date, if applicable.

(d) Amendments issued before the established time and date for receipt of proposals shall be issued to all parties receiving the solicitation.

(e) Amendments issued after the established time and date for receipt of proposals shall be issued to all offerors that have not been eliminated from the competition.

(f) If, based on market research or otherwise, in the judgment of the contracting officer, an amendment issued after offers are received is so substantial that it is beyond what prospective offerors could have reasonably anticipated and that additional sources likely would have submitted offers, the contracting officer shall cancel the original solicitation and issue a new one, regardless of the stage of the acquisition.

(g) If the proposal considered to be most advantageous to the Government (determined according to the established evaluation criteria) involves a departure from the stated requirements, the contracting officer shall amend the solicitation, provided, that this can be done without revealing to the other offerors the alternate solution proposed or any other information that is entitled to protection (see 15.208(b) and 15.407(d)).

15.207 Handling proposals and information.

(a) Upon receipt at the location specified in the solicitation, proposals and information received in response to a request for information (RFI) shall be marked with the date and time of receipt and shall be transmitted to the designated officials.

(b) Proposals shall be safeguarded from unauthorized disclosure throughout the source selection process. See 3.104 regarding the disclosure of source selection information (41 U.S.C. 423). Information received in response to an RFI shall be safeguarded adequately from unauthorized disclosure.

(c) If a proposal received by the contracting officer electronically or by facsimile is unreadable to the degree that conformance to the essential requirements of the solicitation cannot be ascertained from the document, the contracting officer immediately shall notify the offeror and permit the offeror to resubmit the proposal. The method and time for resubmission shall be prescribed by the contracting officer after consultation with the offeror, and documented in the file. The resubmission shall be considered as if it were received at the date and time of the original unreadable submission for the purpose of determining timeliness under 15.208(a), provided the offeror complies with the time and format requirements for resubmission prescribed by the contracting officer.

15.208 Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.

(a) Offerors are responsible for timely submission of proposals, and any requested revisions or modifications to them, to the Government office designated in the solicitation. Unless the solicitation states a specific time, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, at the designated office on the date that proposals, requested revisions, or modifications are due.

(b) Proposals, modifications, and final revisions received in the designated Government office after the exact time specified are late.

(c) Late proposals, modifications, and final revisions may be accepted by the contracting officer provided—

(1) The contracting officer extends the due date for all offerors; or

(2) The contracting officer determines in writing, on the basis of a review of the circumstances, that the lateness was caused by actions, or inactions, of the Government; or

(3) In the judgment of the contracting officer, the offeror demonstrates by submission of factual information that the circumstances causing the late submission were beyond the immediate control of the offeror.

(d) The contracting officer shall promptly notify any offeror if its proposal, modification, or revision was received late and whether or not it will be considered, unless contract award is

imminent and the notice prescribed in 15.603(b) would suffice.

(e) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Written proposals are withdrawn upon receipt by the contracting officer of a written notice of withdrawal. Oral proposals in response to oral solicitations may be withdrawn orally. The contracting officer shall document the contract file when such oral withdrawals are made. One copy of withdrawn proposals should be retained in the contract file (see 4.803(a)(10)). Extra copies of the withdrawn proposals may be destroyed or returned to the offeror at the offeror's request. Extremely bulky proposals shall only be returned at the offeror's request and expense.

15.209 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

When contracting by negotiation—

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-1, Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition, in all competitive solicitations where the Government intends to award a contract without discussions. If the Government intends to make award after discussions with offerors within the competitive range, the contracting officer shall use the basic provision with its Alternate I.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-2, Audit and Records-Negotiation, in solicitations and contracts except—

(1) Acquisitions not exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold;

(2) Acquisitions for utility services at rates not exceeding those established to apply uniformly to the general public, plus any applicable reasonable connection charge (10 U.S.C. 2313, 41 U.S.C. 254d, and OMB Circular No. A-133);

(3) Facilities acquisitions, where the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate I;

(4) Cost-reimbursement contracts with educational institutions and other nonprofit organizations, where the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate II; or

(5) When the examination of records by the Comptroller General is waived in accordance with 25.901; in this case the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate III.

(c) When issuing a solicitation for information or planning purposes, the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-3, Request for Information or Solicitation for Planning Purposes, and clearly mark on the face of the solicitation that it is for information or planning purposes.

(d) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-4, Type of

Business Organization, in all solicitations.

(e) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-5, Facsimile Proposals, in solicitations if facsimile proposals are authorized (see 15.203(d)).

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-6, Place of Performance, in solicitations unless the place of performance is specified by the Government.

(g) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-7, Annual Representations and Certifications—Negotiation, in solicitations if annual representations and certifications are used (see 14.213).

(h) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-8, Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format, in solicitations and contracts using the format at 15.204.

15.210 Forms.

Prescribed forms are not required to prepare solicitations described in this part. The following forms may be used at the discretion of the contracting officer:

(a) Optional Form 308, Solicitation and Offer—Negotiated Acquisition, may be used to issue RFPs and RFIs.

(b) Optional Form 309, Amendment of Solicitation, may be used to amend solicitations of negotiated contracts.

(c) Standard Form 30, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract, may be used to amend solicitations of negotiated contracts. Standard Form 33, Solicitation, Offer, and Award, may be used to issue RFPs and RFIs.

(d) To promote identification and proper handling of proposals, Optional Form 17, Offer Label, may be furnished with each request for proposals.

Subpart 15.3—Unsolicited Proposals

15.300 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets forth policies and procedures concerning the submission, receipt, evaluation, and acceptance or rejection of unsolicited proposals.

15.301 Definitions.

Advertising material, as used in this subpart, means material designed to acquaint the Government with a prospective contractor's present products, services, or potential capabilities, or designed to stimulate the Government's interest in buying such products or services.

Commercial item offer, as used in this subpart means an offer of a commercial item that the vendor wishes to see introduced in the Government's supply system as an alternate or a replacement for an existing supply item. This term

does not include innovative or unique configurations or uses of commercial items that are being offered for further development and may be submitted as an unsolicited proposal.

Contribution, as used in this subpart, means a concept, suggestion, or idea presented to the Government for its use with no indication that the source intends to devote any further effort to it on the Government's behalf.

Unsolicited proposal, as used in this subpart, means a written proposal for a new or innovative idea that is submitted to an agency on the initiative of the offeror for the purpose of obtaining a contract with the Government, and that is not in response to a request for proposals, Broad Agency Announcement, Small Business Innovation Research topic, Small Business Technology Transfer Research topic, Program Research and Development Announcement, or any other Government-initiated solicitation or program.

15.302 Policy.

It is the policy of the Government to encourage the submission of new and innovative ideas in response to Broad Agency Announcements, Small Business Innovation Research topics, Small Business Technology Transfer Research topics, Program Research and Development Announcements, or any other Government-initiated solicitation or program. When the new and innovative ideas do not fall under topic areas publicized under those programs or techniques, the ideas may be submitted as unsolicited proposals.

15.303 General.

(a) Unsolicited proposals allow unique and innovative ideas or approaches that have been developed outside the Government to be made available to Government agencies for use in accomplishment of their missions. Unsolicited proposals are offered with the intent that the Government will enter into a contract with the offeror for research and development or other efforts supporting the Government mission, and often represent a substantial investment of time and effort by the offeror.

(b) Advertising material, commercial item offers, or contributions, as defined in 15.301, or routine correspondence on technical issues are not unsolicited proposals.

(c) A valid unsolicited proposal must—

(1) Be innovative and unique;

(2) Be independently originated and developed by the offeror;

(3) Be prepared without Government supervision;

(4) Include sufficient detail to permit a determination that Government support could be worthwhile and the proposed work could benefit the agency's research and development or other mission responsibilities; and

(5) Not be an advance proposal for a known agency requirement that can be acquired by competitive methods.

(d) Unsolicited proposals in response to a publicized general statement of agency needs are considered to be independently originated.

15.304 Agency liaison.

(a) Preliminary contact with agency technical or other appropriate personnel before preparing a detailed unsolicited proposal or submitting proprietary information to the Government may save considerable time and effort for both parties (see 15.201). Agencies shall make available to potential offerors of unsolicited proposals at least the following information:

(1) Definition (see 15.301) and content (see 15.305) of an unsolicited proposal acceptable for formal evaluation.

(2) Requirements concerning responsible prospective contractors (see subpart 9.1), and organizational conflicts of interest (see subpart 9.5).

(3) Guidance on preferred methods for submitting ideas/concepts to the Government, such as any agency: upcoming solicitations; Broad Agency Announcements; Small Business Innovation Research programs; Small Business Technology Transfer Research programs; Program Research and Development Announcements; or grant programs.

(4) Agency contact points for information regarding advertising, contributions, and other types of transactions frequently mistaken for unsolicited proposals.

(5) Information sources on agency objectives and areas of potential interest.

(6) Procedures for submission and evaluation of unsolicited proposals.

(7) Instructions for identifying and marking proprietary information so that it is protected and restrictive legends conform to 15.309.

(b) Only the cognizant contracting officer has the authority to bind the Government regarding unsolicited proposals.

15.305 Content of unsolicited proposals.

Unsolicited proposals should contain the following information to permit consideration in an objective and timely manner:

(a) Basic information including—

(1) Offeror's name and address and type of organization; e.g., profit, nonprofit, educational, small business;

(2) Names and telephone numbers of technical and business personnel to be contacted for evaluation or negotiation purposes;

(3) Identification of proprietary data to be used only for evaluation purposes;

(4) Names of other Federal, State, or local agencies or parties receiving the proposal or funding the proposed effort;

(5) Date of submission; and

(6) Signature of a person authorized to represent and contractually obligate the offeror.

(b) Technical information including—

(1) Concise title and abstract (approximately 200 words) of the proposed effort;

(2) A reasonably complete discussion stating the objectives of the effort or activity, the method of approach and extent of effort to be employed, the nature and extent of the anticipated results, and the manner in which the work will help to support accomplishment of the agency's mission;

(3) Names and biographical information on the offeror's key personnel who would be involved, including alternates; and

(4) Type of support needed from the agency; e.g., facilities, equipment, materials, or personnel resources.

(c) Supporting information including—

(1) Proposed price or total estimated cost for the effort in sufficient detail for meaningful evaluation;

(2) Period of time for which the proposal is valid (a 6-month minimum is suggested);

(3) Type of contract preferred;

(4) Proposed duration of effort;

(5) Brief description of the organization, previous experience, relevant past performance, and facilities to be used;

(6) Other statements, if applicable, about organizational conflicts of interest, security clearances, and environmental impacts; and

(7) The names of agency technical or other agency personnel already contacted regarding the proposal.

15.306 Agency procedures.

(a) Agencies shall establish procedures for controlling the receipt, evaluation, and timely disposition of unsolicited proposals consistent with the requirements of this subpart. The procedures shall include controls on the reproduction and disposition of proposal material, particularly data identified by the offeror as subject to duplication, use, or disclosure restrictions.

(b) Agencies shall establish contact points (see 15.304) to coordinate the receipt and handling of unsolicited proposals.

15.306-1 Receipt and initial review.

(a) Before initiating a comprehensive evaluation, the agency contact point shall determine if the proposal—

(1) Is a valid unsolicited proposal, meeting the requirements of 15.303(c);

(2) Should have been submitted in response to an existing agency requirement (see 15.302);

(3) Is related to the agency mission;

(4) Contains sufficient technical and cost information;

(5) Has been approved by a responsible official or other representative authorized to obligate the offeror contractually; and

(6) Complies with the marking requirements of 15.309.

(b) If the proposal meets these requirements, the contact point shall promptly acknowledge receipt and process the proposal.

(c) If a proposal is rejected because the proposal does not meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this subsection, the agency contact point shall promptly inform the offeror of the reasons for rejection and of the proposed disposition of the unsolicited proposal.

15.306-2 Evaluation.

(a) Comprehensive evaluations shall be coordinated by the agency contact point, who shall attach or imprint on each unsolicited proposal, circulated for evaluation, the legend required by 15.309(d). When performing a comprehensive evaluation of an unsolicited proposal, evaluators shall consider the following factors, in addition to any others appropriate for the particular proposal:

(1) Unique, innovative and meritorious methods, approaches or concepts demonstrated by the proposal;

(2) Overall scientific, technical, or socioeconomic merits of the proposal;

(3) Potential contribution of the effort to the agency's specific mission;

(4) The offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these that are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives;

(5) The qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed principal investigator, team leader, or key personnel who is critical in achieving the proposal objectives; and

(6) The realism of the proposed cost.

(b) The evaluators shall notify the coordinating office of their recommendations when the evaluation

is completed, and the cognizant contracting officer shall be included in the evaluation and disposition process.

15.307 Criteria for acceptance and negotiation of an unsolicited proposal.

(a) A favorable comprehensive evaluation of an unsolicited proposal does not, in itself, justify awarding a contract without providing for full and open competition. Agency contact points shall return an unsolicited proposal to the offeror, citing reasons, when its substance—

(1) Is available to the Government without restriction from another source;

(2) Closely resembles a pending competitive acquisition requirement;

(3) Does not relate to the activity's mission; or

(4) Does not demonstrate an innovative and unique method, approach, or concept, or is otherwise not deemed a meritorious proposal.

(b) The contracting officer may commence negotiations on a sole-source basis only when—

(1) An unsolicited proposal has received a favorable comprehensive evaluation;

(2) A justification and approval has been obtained (see 6.302-1(a)(2)(i) for research proposals or other appropriate provisions of subpart 6.3, and 6.303-2(b));

(3) The agency technical office sponsoring the contract furnishes the necessary funds; and

(4) The contracting officer has complied with the synopsis requirements of subpart 5.2.

15.308 Prohibitions.

(a) Government personnel shall not use any data, concept, idea, or other part of an unsolicited proposal as the basis, or part of the basis, for a solicitation or in negotiations with any other firm unless the offeror is notified of and agrees to the intended use. However, this prohibition does not preclude using any data, concept, or idea in the proposal that also is available from another source without restriction.

(b) Government personnel shall not disclose restrictively marked information (see 3.104 and 15.309) included in an unsolicited proposal. The disclosure of such information concerning trade secrets, processes, operations, style of work, apparatus, and other matters, except as authorized by law, may result in criminal penalties under 18 U.S.C. 1905.

15.309 Limited use of data.

(a) An unsolicited proposal may include data that the offeror does not want disclosed to the public for any

purpose or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes. If the offeror wishes to restrict the data, the title page must be marked with the following legend:

Use and Disclosure of Data

This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed—in whole or in part—for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. However, if a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of—or in connection with—the submission of these data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in these data if they are obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in Sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets].

(b) The offeror shall also mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend: Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal.

(c) The coordinating office shall return to the offeror any unsolicited proposal marked with a legend different from that provided in paragraph (a) of this section. The return letter will state that the proposal cannot be considered because it is impracticable for the Government to comply with the legend and that the agency will consider the proposal if it is resubmitted with the proper legend.

(d) The coordinating office shall place a cover sheet on the proposal or clearly mark it as follows, unless the offeror clearly states in writing that no restrictions are imposed on the disclosure or use of the data contained in the proposal:

Unsolicited Proposal Use of Data Limited

All Government personnel must exercise extreme care to ensure that the information in this proposal is not disclosed to an individual who has not been authorized access to such data in accordance with 3.104, and is not duplicated, used, or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose other than evaluation of the proposal, without the written permission of the offeror. If a contract is awarded on the basis of this proposal, the terms of the contract shall control disclosure and use. This notice does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in the proposal if it is obtainable from another source without restriction. This is a Government notice, and shall not by itself be construed to impose any liability upon the Government or Government personnel for disclosure or use of data contained in this proposal.

(e) The notice in paragraph (d) of this section is used solely as a manner of

handling unsolicited proposals that will be compatible with this subpart. However, the use of this notice shall not be used to justify the withholding of a record nor to improperly deny the public access to a record where an obligation is imposed on an agency by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended. A prospective offeror should identify trade secrets, commercial or financial information, and privileged or confidential information to the Government (see paragraph (a) of this section).

(f) When an agency receives an unsolicited proposal without any restrictive legend from an educational or nonprofit organization or institution, and an evaluation outside the Government is necessary, the coordinating office shall—

(1) Attach a cover sheet clearly marked with the legend in paragraph (d) of this section;

(2) Change the beginning of this legend to read "All Government and non-Government personnel * * * .";

(3) Delete the words "shall not be disclosed outside the Government and"; and

(4) Require any non-Government evaluator to agree in writing that data in the proposal will not be disclosed to others outside the Government.

(g) If the proposal is received with the restrictive legend (paragraph (a) of this section), the modified cover sheet shall also be used and permission shall be obtained from the offeror before release of the proposal for outside evaluation.

(h) When an agency receives an unsolicited proposal with or without a restrictive legend from other than an educational or nonprofit organization or institution, and evaluation by Government personnel outside the agency or by experts outside of the Government is necessary, written permission must be obtained from the offeror before release of the proposal for evaluation. The coordinating office shall—

(1) Clearly mark the cover sheet with the legend in paragraph (d) or as modified in paragraph (f) of this section;

(2) Obtain a written agreement from any non-Government evaluator stating that data in the proposal will not be disclosed to persons outside the Government; and

(3) Obtain the certifications required by 3.104-9 and a listing of all persons authorized access to proprietary information by the activity performing the evaluation.

Subpart 15.4—Source Selection

15.400 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policies and procedures for selection of a source or sources in competitive negotiated acquisitions.

15.401 Definitions.

Deficiency, as used in this subpart is a material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

Weakness, as used in this subpart, is a flaw that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. A "significant weakness" is a flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

15.402 Source selection objective.

The objective of source selection is to select the proposal that represents the best value.

15.403 Responsibilities.

(a) Agency heads are responsible for source selection. The contracting officer is designated as the source selection authority, unless the agency head appoints another individual for a particular acquisition or group of acquisitions.

(b) The source selection authority shall—

(1) Establish an evaluation team, tailored for the particular acquisition, that includes an appropriate mix of contracting, legal, logistics, technical, and other expertise to assure a comprehensive evaluation of offers;

(2) Approve the source selection strategy before solicitation release;

(3) Ensure consistency among the solicitation requirements, notices to offerors, proposal preparation instructions, evaluation factors and subfactors, solicitation provisions or contract clauses, and data requirements;

(4) Ensure that proposals are evaluated based solely on the factors and subfactors contained in the solicitation (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(1) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(2));

(5) Consider the recommendations of advisory boards or panels (if any); and

(6) Select the source or sources whose proposal is the best value to the Government (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4)(B) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(2));

(c) The contracting officer shall—

(1) After release of a solicitation, serve as the focal point for inquiries from actual or prospective offerors;

(2) After receipt of proposals, control communications with offerors in accordance with 15.406; and

(3) Award the contract(s).

15.404 Evaluation factors and subfactors.

(a) The criteria upon which the award decision is based shall consist of evaluation factors and subfactors and shall be tailored to the acquisition.

(b) Evaluation factors and subfactors must—

(1) Represent the key areas of importance and emphasis to be considered in the source selection decision; and

(2) Support meaningful comparison and discrimination between and among competing proposals.

(c) If a multi-step solicitation technique will be used, the factors and subfactors (if any) that apply shall be set forth in the notice or solicitation.

(d) The evaluation factors and significant subfactors that apply to an acquisition and their relative importance, are within the broad discretion of agency acquisition officials, subject to the following requirements:

(1) Price or cost to the Government shall be evaluated in every source selection (10 U.S.C. 2305(a)(3)(A)(ii) and 41 U.S.C. 253a(c)(1)(B));

(2) The quality of the product or service shall be addressed in every source selection through consideration of one or more non-cost evaluation factors such as past performance, compliance with solicitation requirements, technical excellence, management capability, personnel qualifications, and prior experience (10 U.S.C. 2305(a)(3)(A)(i) and 41 U.S.C. 253a(c)(1)(B)); and

(3)(i) Except as set forth in paragraph (d)(3)(iii) of this section, past performance shall be evaluated in all source selections for negotiated competitive acquisitions expected to exceed \$1,000,000.

(ii) Except as set forth in paragraph (d)(3)(iii) of this section, past performance shall be evaluated in all source selections for negotiated competitive acquisitions issued on or after January 1, 1999, for acquisitions expected to exceed \$100,000. Agencies should develop phase-in schedules for past performance that meet or exceed this schedule.

(iii) Past performance need not be evaluated if the contracting officer documents the reason past performance is not an appropriate evaluation factor for the acquisition (OFPP Policy Letter 92-5).

(e) All factors and significant subfactors that will affect contract award and their relative importance shall be stated clearly in the solicitation (10 U.S.C. 2305(a)(2) (A)(i) and 41

U.S.C. 253a(b)(1)(A)) (see 15.204-5(c)). The rating method need not be disclosed in the solicitation. The general approach for evaluating past performance information shall be described.

(f) The solicitation shall also state, at a minimum, whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are—

(1) Significantly more important than cost or price;

(2) Approximately equal to cost or price; or

(3) Significantly less important than cost or price (10 U.S.C. 2305(a)(3)(A)(iii) and 41 U.S.C. 253a(c)(1)(C)).

15.405 Proposal evaluation.

(a) Proposal evaluation is an assessment of the proposal and the offeror's ability to perform the prospective contract successfully. An agency shall evaluate competitive proposals and then assess their relative qualities solely on the factors and subfactors specified in the solicitation. Evaluations may be conducted using any rating method or combination of methods, including color or adjectival ratings, numerical weights, and ordinal rankings. The relative strengths, weaknesses, and risks shall be documented in the contract file.

(1) *Cost or price evaluation.* Normally, competition establishes price reasonableness. Therefore, when contracting on a firm-fixed-price or fixed-price with economic price adjustment basis, comparison of the proposed prices will usually satisfy the requirement to perform a price analysis (but see 15.504-1(d)(3)), and a cost analysis need not be performed. In limited situations, a cost analysis (see 15.503-1(c)(1)(i)(B)) may be appropriate to establish reasonableness of the otherwise successful offeror's price. When contracting on a cost-reimbursement basis, evaluations shall include a cost realism analysis to determine what the Government should realistically expect to pay for the proposed effort, the offeror's understanding of the work, and the offeror's ability to perform the contract. Cost realism analyses may also be used on fixed-price incentive contracts or, in exceptional cases, on other competitive fixed-price-type contracts (see 15.504-1(d)(3)). The contracting officer shall document the cost or price evaluation.

(2) *Past performance evaluation.* (i) Past performance information is one indicator of an offeror's ability to perform the contract successfully. The currency and relevance of the information, source of the information, context of the data, and general trends

in contractor's performance shall be considered (41 U.S.C. 401). This comparative assessment of past performance information is separate from the responsibility determination required under subpart 9.1.

(ii) The solicitation shall describe the approach for evaluating past performance, including evaluating offerors with no relevant performance history, and provide offerors an opportunity to identify past contracts (including Federal, State, and local government and private) for efforts similar to the Government requirement. The solicitation shall also authorize offerors to provide information on problems encountered on the identified contracts and the offeror's corrective actions. The Government shall consider this information, as well as information obtained from any other sources, when evaluating the offeror's past performance. The contracting officer shall determine the relevancy of similar past performance information.

(iii) The evaluation may take into account past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement. Such information may be relevant to the instant acquisition.

(iv) Firms lacking any relevant past performance history shall receive a neutral evaluation for past performance. The evaluation approach shall reflect the circumstances of each acquisition. A neutral evaluation is one that neither rewards nor penalizes offerors without relevant performance history (41 U.S.C. 405). While a neutral evaluation will not affect an offeror's rating, it may affect the offeror's ranking if a significant number of the other offerors participating in the acquisition have past performance ratings either above or below satisfactory.

(3) *Technical evaluation.* When tradeoffs are performed, the source selection records shall include—

(i) An assessment of each offeror's ability to accomplish the technical requirements; and

(ii) A summary, matrix, or quantitative ranking, along with appropriate supporting narrative, of each technical proposal against the evaluation criteria.

(4) Cost information may be provided to members of the technical evaluation team.

(b) The source selection authority may reject all proposals received in response to a solicitation, if doing so is in the best interest of the Government.

15.406 Communications with offerors.

(a) *Communications and award without discussions.* (1) If award will be made without conducting discussions, communications with offerors may be used to resolve minor or clerical errors or to clarify certain aspects of proposals (e.g., the relevancy of an offeror's past performance information and adverse past performance information on which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to comment).

(2) Award may be made without discussions if the solicitation states that the Government intends to evaluate proposals and make award without discussions. If the solicitation contains such a notice and the Government determines it is necessary to conduct discussions, the rationale for doing so shall be documented in the contract file (see the provision at 52.215-1) (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4)(A)(ii) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(1)(B)).

(b) *Communications with offerors before establishment of the competitive range.* If a competitive range is to be established, these communications—

(1) May only be held with those offerors whose exclusion from, or inclusion in, the competitive range is uncertain;

(2) May be conducted to enhance Government understanding of proposals; allow reasonable interpretation of the proposal; or facilitate the Government's evaluation process. Such communications shall not be used to cure proposal deficiencies or material omissions, materially alter the technical or cost elements of the proposal, and/or otherwise revise the proposal. Such communications may be considered in rating proposals;

(3) Are for the purpose of addressing issues that must be explored to determine whether a proposal should be placed in the competitive range. Such communications shall not provide an opportunity for the offeror to revise its proposal, but may address—

(i) Ambiguities in the proposal or other concerns (e.g., perceived deficiencies, weaknesses, errors, omissions, or mistakes (see 14.407)); and

(ii) Information relating to relevant past performance; and

(4) Shall address adverse past performance information on which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to comment.

(c) *Competitive range.* (1) Agencies shall evaluate all proposals in accordance with 15.405(a), and, if discussions are to be conducted, establish the competitive range. Based on the ratings of each proposal against all evaluation criteria, the contracting

officer shall establish a competitive range comprised of those proposals most highly rated, unless the range is further reduced for purposes of efficiency pursuant to paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(2) After evaluating all proposals in accordance with 15.405(a) and 15.406(c)(1), the contracting officer may determine that the number of most highly rated proposals that might otherwise be included in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted. Provided the solicitation notifies offerors that the competitive range can be limited for purposes of efficiency (see the provision at 52.215-1(f)), the contracting officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)).

(3) If the contracting officer, after complying with paragraph (d)(3) of this section, decides that an offeror's proposal should no longer be included in the competitive range, the proposal shall be eliminated from consideration for award. Written notice of this decision shall be provided to unsuccessful offerors in accordance with 15.603.

(4) Offerors excluded or otherwise eliminated from the competitive range may request a debriefing (see 15.605 and 15.606).

(d) *Communications with offerors after establishment of the competitive range.* (1) Such communications are discussions, tailored to each offeror's proposal, and shall be conducted by the contracting officer with each offeror within the competitive range.

(2) The primary objective of discussions is to maximize the Government's ability to obtain best value, based on the requirement and the evaluation factors set forth in the solicitation.

(3) The contracting officer shall, subject to paragraph (e) of this section and 15.407(a), indicate to, or discuss with, each offeror still being considered for award, significant weaknesses, deficiencies, and other aspects of its proposal (such as, cost, price, performance, and terms and conditions) that could, in the opinion of the contracting officer, be altered to enhance materially the proposal's potential for award. The scope and extent of discussion are a matter of contracting officer judgment. In discussing other aspects of the proposal, the Government may, in situations where the solicitation stated that

evaluation credit would be given for technical solutions exceeding any mandatory minimums, negotiate with offerors for increased performance beyond any mandatory minimums, and the Government may suggest to offerors that have exceeded any mandatory minimums, that their proposals would be more competitive if the excesses were removed and the offered price decreased.

(e) *Limits on communications.*

Government personnel involved in the acquisition shall not engage in conduct that—

(1) Favors one offeror over another;

(2) Reveals an offeror's technical solution, including unique technology, innovative and unique uses of commercial items, or any information that would compromise an offeror's intellectual property to another offeror;

(3) Reveals an offeror's price without that offeror's permission. However, the contracting officer may inform an offeror that its price is considered by the Government to be too high, or too low, and reveal the results of the analysis supporting that conclusion. It is also permissible, at the Government's discretion, to indicate to all offerors the cost or price that the Government's price analysis, market research, and other reviews have identified as reasonable (41 U.S.C. 423(h)(1)(2));

(4) Reveals the names of individuals providing reference information about an offeror's past performance; or

(5) Knowingly furnishes source selection information in violation of 3.104 and 41 U.S.C. 423(h)(1)(2).

15.407 Proposal revisions.

(a) If, after discussions have begun, an offeror in the competitive range is no longer considered to be among the most highly rated offerors being considered for award, that offeror may be eliminated from the competitive range whether or not all material aspects of the proposal have been discussed, or the offeror has been afforded an opportunity to submit a proposal revision (see 15.406(d)). If an offeror's proposal is eliminated or otherwise removed from the competitive range, no further revisions to that offeror's proposal shall be accepted or considered.

(b) The contracting officer may request proposal revisions that clarify and document understandings reached during negotiations. At the conclusion of discussions, each offeror still in the competitive range shall be given an opportunity to submit a final proposal revision. The contracting officer is required to establish a common cut-off date only for receipt of final proposal revisions. Requests for final proposal

revisions shall advise offerors that the final proposal revisions shall be in writing and that the Government intends to make award without obtaining further revisions.

15.408 Source selection.

The source selection authority's (SSA) decision shall be based on a comparative assessment of proposals against all source selection criteria in the solicitation. While the SSA may use reports and analyses prepared by others, the source selection decision shall represent the SSA's independent judgment. The source selection decision shall be documented, and the documentation shall include the rationale for any business judgments and tradeoffs, including benefits associated with additional costs. Although the rationale for the selection decision must be documented, that documentation need not provide quantification of the tradeoffs that led to the decision.

Subpart 15.5—Contract Pricing

15.500 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes the cost and price negotiation policies and procedures for pricing negotiated prime contracts (including subcontracts) and contract modifications, including modifications to contracts awarded by sealed bidding.

15.501 Definitions.

Cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a(h)(1) and 41 U.S.C. 254(d)) means all facts that, as of the date of price agreement or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price, prudent buyers and sellers would reasonably expect to affect price negotiations significantly. Cost or pricing data are data requiring certification in accordance with 15.506-2. Cost or pricing data are factual, not judgmental; and are verifiable. While they do not indicate the accuracy of the prospective contractor's judgment about estimated future costs or projections, they do include the data forming the basis for that judgment. Cost or pricing data are more than historical accounting data; they are all the facts that can be reasonably expected to contribute to the soundness of estimates of future costs and to the validity of determinations of costs already incurred. They also include such factors as: vendor quotations; nonrecurring costs; information on changes in production methods and in production or purchasing volume; data supporting projections of business prospects and

objectives and related operations costs; unit-cost trends such as those associated with labor efficiency; make-or-buy decisions; estimated resources to attain business goals; and information on management decisions that could have a significant bearing on costs. Cost or pricing data may include parametric estimates of elements of cost or price, from appropriate validated calibrated parametric models.

Cost realism means an assessment of whether or not the costs in an offeror's proposal are realistic for the work to be performed; reflect a clear understanding of the requirements; and are consistent with the various elements of the offeror's technical proposal.

Forward pricing rate agreement means a written agreement negotiated between a contractor and the Government to make certain rates available during a specified period for use in pricing contracts or modifications. Such rates represent reasonable projections of specific costs that are not easily estimated for, identified with, or generated by a specific contract, contract end item, or task. These projections may include rates for such things as labor, indirect costs, material obsolescence and usage, spare parts provisioning, and material handling.

Forward pricing rate recommendation means a rate set unilaterally by the administrative contracting officer for use by the Government in negotiations or other contract actions when forward pricing rate agreement negotiations have not been completed or when the contractor will not agree to a forward pricing rate agreement.

Information other than cost or pricing data means any type of information that is not required to be certified in accordance with 15.506-2 and is necessary to determine price reasonableness or cost realism. For example, such information may include pricing, sales, or cost information, and includes cost or pricing data for which certification is determined inapplicable after submission.

Price, as used in this subpart, means cost plus any fee or profit applicable to the contract type.

Subcontract, as used in this subpart, also includes a transfer of commercial items between divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of a contractor or a subcontractor.

15.502 Pricing policy.

Contracting officers shall—

(a) Purchase supplies and services from responsible sources at fair and reasonable prices. In establishing the reasonableness of the offered prices, the

contracting officer shall not obtain more information than is necessary. To the extent that cost or pricing data are not required by 15.503-4, the contracting officer shall generally use the following order of preference in determining the type of information required:

(1) No additional information from the offeror, if the price is based on adequate price competition, except as provided by 15.503-3(b).

(2) Information other than cost or pricing data:

(i) Information related to prices (e.g., established catalog or market prices), relying first on information available within the Government; second, on information obtained from sources other than the offeror; and, if necessary, on information obtained from the offeror. When obtaining information from the offeror is necessary, unless an exception under 15.503-1(b) (1) or (2) applies, such information submitted by the offeror shall include, at a minimum, appropriate information on the prices at which the same or similar items have been sold previously, adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price.

(ii) Cost information, that does not meet the definition of cost or pricing data at 15.501.

(3) **Cost or pricing data**. The contracting officer should use every means available to ascertain whether a fair and reasonable price can be determined before requesting cost or pricing data. Contracting officers shall not require unnecessarily the submission of cost or pricing data, because it leads to increased proposal preparation costs, generally extends acquisition lead-time, and consumes additional contractor and Government resources.

(b) Price each contract separately and independently and not—

(1) Use proposed price reductions under other contracts as an evaluation factor; or

(2) Consider losses or profits realized or anticipated under other contracts.

(c) Not include in a contract price any amount for a specified contingency to the extent that the contract provides for a price adjustment based upon the occurrence of that contingency.

15.503 Obtaining cost or pricing data.

15.503-1 Prohibition on obtaining cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a and 41 U.S.C. 254b).

(a) Cost or pricing data shall not be obtained for acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold.

(b) **Exceptions to cost or pricing data requirements**. The contracting officer

shall not require submission of cost or pricing data to support any action (contracts, subcontracts, or modifications) (but may require information other than cost or pricing data to support a determination of price reasonableness or cost realism)—

(1) When the contracting officer determines that prices agreed upon are based on adequate price competition (see standards at paragraph (c)(1) of this subsection);

(2) When the contracting officer determines that prices agreed upon are based on prices set by law or regulation (see standards at paragraph (c)(2) of this subsection);

(3) When a commercial item is being acquired (see standards at paragraph (c)(3) of this subsection);

(4) When a waiver has been granted (see standards at paragraph (c)(4) of this subsection); or

(5) When modifying a contract or subcontract for commercial items (see standards at paragraph (c)(3) of this subsection).

(c) *Standards for exceptions from cost or pricing data requirements—(1) Adequate price competition.* A price is based on adequate price competition if—

(i) Two or more responsible offerors, competing independently, submit priced offers in response to the Government's expressed requirement and if—

(A) Award will be made to the offeror whose proposal represents the best value where price is a substantial factor in source selection; and

(B) There is no finding that the price of the otherwise successful offeror is unreasonable. Any finding that the price is unreasonable must be supported by a statement of the facts and approved at a level above the contracting officer;

(ii) There was a reasonable expectation, based on market research or other assessment, that two or more responsible offerors, competing independently, would submit priced offers in response to the solicitation's expressed requirement, even though only one offer is received from a responsible offeror and if—

(A) Based on the offer received, the contracting officer can reasonably conclude that the offer was submitted with the expectation of competition, e.g., circumstances indicate that—

(1) The offeror believed that at least one other offeror was capable of submitting a meaningful offer; and

(2) The offeror had no reason to believe that other potential offerors did not intend to submit an offer; and

(B) The determination that the proposed price is based on adequate

price competition and is reasonable and is approved at a level above the contracting officer; or

(iii) Price analysis clearly demonstrates that the proposed price is reasonable in comparison with current or recent prices for the same or similar items, adjusted to reflect changes in market conditions, economic conditions, quantities, or terms and conditions under contracts that resulted from adequate price competition.

(2) *Prices set by law or regulation.* Pronouncements in the form of periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, or embodied in the laws are sufficient to set a price.

(3) *Commercial items.* Any acquisition for an item that meets the commercial item definition in 2.101, or any modification, as defined in paragraph (c) (1) or (2) of that definition, that does not change the item from a commercial item to a noncommercial item, is exempt from the requirement for cost or pricing data.

(4) *Waivers.* The head of the contracting activity (HCA) may, without power of delegation, waive the requirement for submission of cost or pricing data in exceptional cases. The authorization for the waiver and the supporting rationale shall be in writing. The HCA may consider waiving the requirement if the price can be determined to be fair and reasonable without submission of cost or pricing data. For example, if cost or pricing data were furnished on previous production buys and the contracting officer determines such data are sufficient, when combined with updated information, a waiver may be granted. If the HCA has waived the requirement for submission of cost or pricing data, the contractor or higher-tier subcontractor to whom the waiver relates shall be considered as having been required to provide cost or pricing data. Consequently, award of any lower-tier subcontract expected to exceed the cost or pricing data threshold requires the submission of cost or pricing data unless an exception otherwise applies to the subcontract or the waiver specifically includes that subcontract.

15.503-2 Other circumstances where cost or pricing data are not required.

(a) The exercise of an option at the price established at contract award or initial negotiation does not require submission of cost or pricing data.

(b) Cost or pricing data are not required for proposals used solely for overrun funding or interim billing price adjustments.

15.503-3 Requiring information other than cost or pricing data.

(a) *General.* (1) The contracting officer is responsible for obtaining information that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price or determining cost realism. However, the contracting officer should not obtain more information than is necessary for determining the reasonableness of the price or evaluating cost realism. To the extent necessary to determine the reasonableness of the price the contracting officer shall require submission of information from the offeror. Unless an exception under 15.503-1(b) (1) or (2) applies, such information submitted by the offeror shall include, at a minimum, appropriate information on the prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold, adequate for determining the reasonableness of the price (10 U.S.C. 2306a(d)(1) and 41 U.S.C. 254b(c)(2)).

(2) The contractor's format for submitting such information should be used (see 15.503-5(b)(2)).

(3) The contracting officer shall ensure that information used to support price negotiations is sufficiently current to permit negotiation of a fair and reasonable price. Requests for updated offeror information should be limited to information that affects the adequacy of the proposal for negotiations, such as changes in price lists. Such data shall not be certified in accordance with 15.506-2.

(b) *Adequate price competition.* When adequate price competition exists (see 15.503-1(c)(1)), generally no additional information is necessary to determine the reasonableness of price. However, if there are unusual circumstances where it is concluded that additional information is necessary to determine the reasonableness of price, the contracting officer shall, to the maximum extent practicable, obtain the additional information from sources other than the offeror. In addition, the contracting officer may request information to determine the cost realism of competing offers or to evaluate competing approaches.

(c) *Limitations relating to commercial items (10 U.S.C. 2306a(d)(2) and 41 U.S.C. 254b(d)).* (1) Requests for sales data relating to commercial items shall be limited to data for the same or similar items during a relevant time period.

(2) The contracting officer shall, to the maximum extent practicable, limit the scope of the request for information relating to commercial items to include only information that is in the form regularly maintained by the offeror as part of its commercial operations.

(3) Information obtained relating to commercial items that is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)) shall not be disclosed outside the Government.

15.503-4 Requiring cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a and 41 U.S.C. 254b).

(a)(1) Cost or pricing data shall be obtained only if the contracting officer concludes that none of the exceptions in 15.503-1(b) applies. However, if the contracting officer has sufficient information available to determine price reasonableness, then a waiver under the exception at 15.503-1(b)(4) should be considered. The threshold for obtaining cost or pricing data is \$500,000. Unless an exception applies, cost or pricing data are required before accomplishing any of the following actions expected to exceed the current threshold or, in the case of existing contracts, the threshold specified in the contract:

(i) The award of any negotiated contract (except for undefinitized actions such as letter contracts).

(ii) The award of a subcontract at any tier, if the contractor and each higher-tier subcontractor have been required to furnish cost or pricing data (but see waivers at 15.503-1(b)(4)).

(iii) The modification of any sealed bid or negotiated contract (whether or not cost or pricing data were initially required) or any subcontract covered by paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this subsection. Price adjustment amounts shall consider both increases and decreases (e.g., a \$150,000 modification resulting from a reduction of \$350,000 and an increase of \$200,000 is a pricing adjustment exceeding \$500,000). This requirement does not apply when unrelated and separately priced changes for which cost or pricing data would not otherwise be required are included for administrative convenience in the same modification. Negotiated final pricing actions (such as termination settlements and total final price agreements for fixed-price incentive and redeterminable contracts) are contract modifications requiring cost or pricing data if the total final price agreement for such settlements or agreements exceeds the pertinent threshold set forth at paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection, or the partial termination settlement plus the estimate to complete the continued portion of the contract exceeds the pertinent threshold set forth at paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection (see 49.105(c)(15)).

(2) Unless prohibited because an exception at 15.503-1(b) applies, the head of the contracting activity, without power of delegation, may authorize the contracting officer to obtain cost or

pricing data for pricing actions below the pertinent threshold in paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection, provided the action exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold. The head of the contracting activity shall justify the requirement for cost or pricing data. The documentation shall include a written finding that cost or pricing data are necessary to determine whether the price is fair and reasonable and the facts supporting that finding.

(b) When cost or pricing data are required, the contracting officer shall require the contractor or prospective contractor to submit to the contracting officer (and to have any subcontractor or prospective subcontractor submit to the prime contractor or appropriate subcontractor tier) the following in support of any proposal:

(1) The cost or pricing data.

(2) A certificate of current cost or pricing data, in the format specified in 15.506-2, certifying that to the best of its knowledge and belief, the cost or pricing data were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on price or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price.

(c) If cost or pricing data are requested and submitted by an offeror, but an exception is later found to apply, the data shall not be considered cost or pricing data as defined in 15.501 and shall not be certified in accordance with 15.506-2.

(d) The requirements of this section also apply to contracts entered into by an agency on behalf of a foreign government.

15.503-5 Instructions for submission of cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data.

(a) Taking into consideration the policy at 15.502, the contracting officer shall specify in the solicitation (see 15.508 (l) and (m))—

(1) Whether cost or pricing data are required;

(2) That, in lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, the offeror may submit a request for exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data;

(3) Any information other than cost or pricing data that is required; and

(4) Necessary preaward or postaward access to offeror's records.

(b)(1) Unless required to be submitted on one of the termination forms specified in subpart 49.6, the contracting officer may require submission of cost or pricing data in the format indicated at Table 15-2 of 15.508, specify an alternative format, or

permit submission in the contractor's format.

(2) Information other than cost or pricing data may be submitted in the offeror's own format unless the contracting officer decides that use of a specific format is essential and the format has been described in the solicitation.

15.504 Proposal analysis.

15.504-1 Proposal analysis techniques.

(a) *General.* The objective of proposal analysis is to ensure that the final agreed-to price is fair and reasonable.

(1) The contracting officer is responsible for evaluating the reasonableness of the offered prices. The analytical techniques and procedures described in this section may be used, singly or in combination with others, to ensure that the final price is fair and reasonable. The complexity and circumstances of each acquisition should determine the level of detail of the analysis required.

(2) Price analysis shall be used when cost or pricing data are not required (see paragraph (b) of this subsection and 15.504-3).

(3) Cost analysis shall be used to evaluate the reasonableness of individual cost elements when cost or pricing data are required. When appropriate, price analysis shall be used to verify that the overall price offered is fair and reasonable.

(4) Cost analysis may also be used to evaluate information other than cost or pricing data to determine cost reasonableness or cost realism.

(5) The contracting officer may request the advice and assistance of other experts to assure an appropriate analysis is performed.

(6) Recommendations or conclusions regarding the Government's review or analysis of an offeror's or contractor's proposal shall not be disclosed to the offeror or contractor without the concurrence of the contracting officer. Any discrepancy or mistake of fact (such as duplications, omissions, and errors in computation) contained in the cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data submitted in support of a proposal shall be brought to the contracting officer's attention for appropriate action.

(7) The Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) and the Federal Acquisition Institute (FAI) jointly prepared a series of five desk references to guide pricing and negotiation personnel. The five desk references are: Price Analysis, Cost Analysis, Quantitative Techniques for Contract Pricing, Advanced Issues in Contract

Pricing, and Federal Contract Negotiation Techniques. The references provide detailed discussion and examples applying pricing policies to pricing problems. They are to be used for instruction and professional guidance. However, they are not directive and should be considered informational only. Copies of the desk references are available on CD-ROM which also contains the FAR, the FTR and various other regulations and training materials. The CD-ROM may be purchased by annual subscription (updated quarterly), or individually (reference "List ID GSAFF," Stock No. 722-009-0000-2). The individual CD-ROMs or subscription to the CD-ROM may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, by telephone (202) 512-1800 or facsimile (202) 512-2550, or by mail order from the Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-7954. Free copies of the desk references are available on the World Wide Web, Internet address: <http://www.gsa.gov/staff/v/guides/instructions.htm>.

(b) *Price analysis.* (1) Price analysis is the process of examining and evaluating a proposed price without evaluating its separate cost elements and proposed profit.

(2) The Government may use various price analysis techniques and procedures to ensure a fair and reasonable price, given the circumstances surrounding the acquisition. Examples of such techniques include, but are not limited to the following:

(i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.

(ii) Comparison of previously proposed prices and contract prices with current proposed prices for the same or similar end items, if both the validity of the comparison and the reasonableness of the previous price(s) can be established.

(iii) Application of rough yardsticks (such as dollars per pound or per horsepower, or other units) to highlight significant inconsistencies that warrant additional pricing inquiry.

(iv) Comparison with competitive published price lists, published market prices of commodities, similar indexes, and discount or rebate arrangements.

(v) Comparison of proposed prices with independent Government cost estimates.

(vi) Comparison of proposed prices with prices obtained through market research for the same or similar items.

(c) *Cost analysis.* (1) Cost analysis is the review and evaluation of the separate cost elements and profit in an

offeror's or contractor's proposal (including cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data), and the application of judgment to determine how well the proposed costs represent what the cost of the contract should be, assuming reasonable economy and efficiency.

(2) The Government may use various cost analysis techniques and procedures to ensure a fair and reasonable price, given the circumstances of the acquisition. Such techniques and procedures include the following:

(i) Verification of cost or pricing data and evaluation of cost elements, including—

(A) The necessity for, and reasonableness of, proposed costs, including allowances for contingencies;

(B) Projection of the offeror's cost trends, on the basis of current and historical cost or pricing data;

(C) Reasonableness of estimates generated by appropriately validated/calibrated parametric models or cost-estimating relationships; and

(D) The application of audited or negotiated indirect cost rates, labor rates, and cost of money or other factors.

(ii) Evaluating the effect of the offeror's current practices on future costs. In conducting this evaluation, the contracting officer shall ensure that the effects of inefficient or uneconomical past practices are not projected into the future. In pricing production of recently developed complex equipment, the contracting officer should perform a trend analysis of basic labor and materials, even in periods of relative price stability.

(iii) Comparison of costs proposed by the offeror for individual cost elements with—

(A) Actual costs previously incurred by the same offeror;

(B) Previous cost estimates from the offeror or from other offerors for the same or similar items;

(C) Other cost estimates received in response to the Government's request;

(D) Independent Government cost estimates by technical personnel; and

(E) Forecasts of planned expenditures.

(iv) Verification that the offeror's cost submissions are in accordance with the contract cost principles and procedures in part 31 and, when applicable, the requirements and procedures in 48 CFR Chapter 99 (Appendix of the FAR looseleaf edition), Cost Accounting Standards.

(v) Review to determine whether any cost or pricing data necessary to make the contractor's proposal accurate, complete, and current have not been either submitted or identified in writing by the contractor. If there are such data,

the contracting officer shall attempt to obtain them and negotiate, using them or making satisfactory allowance for the incomplete data.

(vi) Analysis of the results of any make-or-buy program reviews, in evaluating subcontract costs (see 15.507-2).

(d) *Cost realism analysis.* (1) Cost realism analysis is the process of independently reviewing and evaluating specific elements of each offeror's proposed cost estimate to determine whether the estimated proposed cost elements are realistic for the work to be performed; reflect a clear understanding of the requirements; and are consistent with the unique methods of performance and materials described in the offeror's technical proposal.

(2) Cost realism analyses shall be performed on competitive cost-reimbursement contracts to determine the probable cost of performance for each offeror.

(i) The probable cost may differ from the proposed cost and should reflect the Government's best estimate of the cost of any contract that is most likely to result from the offeror's proposal. The probable cost shall be used for purposes of evaluation to determine the best value.

(ii) The probable cost is determined by adjusting each offeror's proposed cost, and fee when appropriate, to reflect any additions or reductions in cost elements to realistic levels based on the results of the cost realism analysis.

(3) Cost realism analyses may also be used on competitive fixed-price incentive contracts or, in exceptional cases, on other competitive fixed-price-type contracts when new requirements may not be fully understood by competing offerors, there are quality concerns, or past experience indicates that contractors' proposed costs have resulted in quality or service shortfalls. Results of the analysis may be used in performance risk assessments and responsibility determinations. However, proposals shall be evaluated using the criteria in the solicitation, and the offered prices shall not be adjusted as a result of the analysis.

(e) *Technical analysis.* (1) The contracting officer may request that personnel having specialized knowledge, skills, experience, or capability in engineering, science, or management perform a technical analysis of the proposed types and quantities of materials, labor, processes, special tooling, facilities, the reasonableness of scrap and spoilage, and other associated factors set forth in the proposal(s) in order to determine the need for and reasonableness of the

proposed resources, assuming reasonable economy and efficiency.

(2) At a minimum, the technical analysis should examine the types and quantities of material proposed and the need for the types and quantities of labor hours and the labor mix. Any other data that may be pertinent to an assessment of the offeror's ability to accomplish the technical requirements or to the cost or price analysis of the service or product being proposed should also be included in the analysis.

(f) *Unit prices.* (1) Unit prices shall reflect the intrinsic value of an item or service and shall be in proportion to an item's base cost (e.g., manufacturing or acquisition costs). Any method of distributing costs to line items that distorts the unit prices shall not be used. For example, distributing costs equally among line items is not acceptable except when there is little or no variation in base cost.

(2) Except for the acquisition of commercial items, contracting officers shall require that offerors identify in their proposals those items of supply that they will not manufacture or to which they will not contribute significant value, unless adequate price competition is expected (10 U.S.C. 2304 and 41 U.S.C. 254(d)(5)(A)(i)). Such information shall be used to determine whether the intrinsic value of an item has been distorted through application of overhead and whether such items should be considered for breakout. The contracting officer may require such information in all other negotiated contracts when appropriate.

(g) *Unbalanced pricing.* (1) Unbalanced pricing may increase performance risk and could result in payment of unreasonably high prices. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly over or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. The greatest risks associated with unbalanced pricing occur when—

(i) Startup work, mobilization, first articles, or first article testing are separate line items;

(ii) Base quantities and option quantities are separate line items; or

(iii) The evaluated price is the aggregate of estimated quantities to be ordered under separate line items of an indefinite-delivery contract.

(2) All offers with separately priced line items or subline items shall be analyzed to determine if the prices are unbalanced. If cost or price analysis techniques indicate that an offer is unbalanced, the contracting officer shall—

(i) Consider the risks to the Government associated with the unbalanced pricing in determining the competitive range and in making the source selection decision; and

(ii) Consider whether award of the contract will result in paying unreasonably high prices for contract performance.

(3) An offer may be rejected if the contracting officer determines the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

15.504-2 Information to support proposal analysis.

(a) *Field pricing assistance.* (1) The contracting officer should request field pricing assistance when the information available at the buying activity is inadequate to determine a fair and reasonable price. Such requests shall be tailored to reflect the minimum essential supplementary information needed to conduct a technical or cost or pricing analysis.

(2) Field pricing assistance generally is directed at obtaining technical, audit, and special reports associated with the cost elements of a proposal, including subcontracts. Field pricing assistance may also include information relative to the business, technical, production or other capabilities and practices of an offeror. The type of information and level of detail requested will vary in accordance with the specialized resources available at the buying activity and the magnitude and complexity of the required analysis.

(3) When field pricing assistance is requested, contracting officers are encouraged to team with appropriate field experts throughout the acquisition process, including negotiations. Early communication with these experts will assist in determining the extent of assistance required, the specific areas for which assistance is needed, a realistic review schedule, and the information necessary to perform the review.

(4) When requesting field pricing assistance on a contractor's request for equitable adjustment, the contracting officer shall provide the information listed in 43.204(b)(5).

(5) Field pricing information and other reports may include proprietary or source selection information (see 3.104-4 (j) and (k)). Such information shall be appropriately identified and protected accordingly.

(b) *Reporting field pricing information.* (1) Depending upon the extent and complexity of the field pricing review, results, including supporting rationale, may be reported directly to the contracting officer orally,

in writing, or by any other method acceptable to the contracting officer.

(i) Whenever circumstances permit, the contracting officer and field pricing experts are encouraged to use telephonic and/or electronic means to request and transmit pricing information.

(ii) When it is necessary to have written technical and audit reports, the contracting officer shall request that the audit agency concurrently forward the audit report to the requesting contracting officer and the administrative contracting officer (ACO). The completed field pricing assistance results may reference audit information, but need not reconcile the audit recommendations and technical recommendations. A copy of the information submitted to the contracting officer by field pricing personnel shall be provided to the audit agency.

(2) Audit and field pricing information, whether written or reported telephonically or electronically, shall be made a part of the official contract file (see 4.807(f)).

(c) *Audit assistance for prime or subcontracts.* (1) The contracting officer may contact the cognizant audit office directly, particularly when an audit is the only field pricing support required. The audit office shall send the audit report, or otherwise transmit the audit recommendations, directly to the contracting officer.

(i) The auditor shall not reveal the audit conclusions or recommendations to the offeror/contractor without obtaining the concurrence of the contracting officer. However, the auditor may discuss statements of facts with the contractor.

(ii) The contracting officer should be notified immediately of any information disclosed to the auditor after submission of a report that may significantly affect the audit findings and, if necessary, a supplemental audit report shall be issued.

(2) The contracting officer shall not request a separate preaward audit of indirect costs unless the information already available from an existing audit, completed within the preceding 12 months, is considered inadequate for determining the reasonableness of the proposed indirect costs (41 U.S.C. 254d and 10 U.S.C. 2313).

(3) The auditor is responsible for the scope and depth of the audit. Copies of updated information that will significantly affect the audit should be provided to the auditor by the contracting officer.

(4) General access to the offeror's books and financial records is limited to the auditor. This limitation does not

preclude the contracting officer or the ACO, or their representatives from requesting that the offeror provide or make available any data or records necessary to analyze the offeror's proposal.

(d) *Deficient proposals.* The ACO or the auditor, as appropriate, shall notify the contracting officer immediately if the data provided for review is so deficient as to preclude review or audit, or if the contractor or offeror has denied access to any cost or pricing data considered essential to conduct a satisfactory review or audit. Oral notifications shall be confirmed promptly in writing, including a description of deficient or denied data or records. The contracting officer immediately shall take appropriate action to obtain the required data. Should the offeror/contractor again refuse to provide adequate data, or provide access to necessary data, the contracting officer shall withhold the award or price adjustment and refer the contract action to a higher authority, providing details of the attempts made to resolve the matter and a statement of the practicability of obtaining the supplies or services from another source.

15.504-3 Subcontract pricing considerations.

(a) The contracting officer is responsible for the determination of price reasonableness for the prime contract, including subcontracting costs. The contracting officer should consider whether a contractor or subcontractor has an approved purchasing system, has performed cost or price analysis of proposed subcontractor prices, or has negotiated the subcontract prices before negotiation of the prime contract, in determining the reasonableness of the prime contract price. This does not relieve the contracting officer from the responsibility to analyze the contractor's submission, including subcontractor's cost or pricing data.

(b) The prime contractor or subcontractor shall—

(1) Conduct appropriate cost or price analyses to establish the reasonableness of proposed subcontract prices;

(2) Include the results of these analyses in the price proposal; and

(3) When required by paragraph (c) of this subsection, submit subcontractor cost or pricing data to the Government as part of its price proposal.

(c) Any contractor or subcontractor that is required to submit cost or pricing data also shall obtain and analyze cost or pricing data before awarding any subcontract, purchase order, or modification expected to exceed the

cost or pricing data threshold, unless an exemption in 15.503-1(b) applies to that action.

(1) The contractor shall submit, or cause to be submitted by the subcontractor(s), cost or pricing data to the Government for subcontracts that are the lower of either—

(i) \$10,000,000 or more; or

(ii) Both more than the pertinent cost or pricing data threshold and more than 10 percent of the prime contractor's proposed price, unless the contracting officer believes such submission is unnecessary.

(2) The contracting officer may require the contractor or subcontractor to submit to the Government (or cause submission of) subcontractor cost or pricing data below the thresholds in paragraph (c)(1) of this subsection that the contracting officer considers necessary for adequately pricing the prime contract.

(3) Subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be submitted in the format provided in Table 15-2 of 15.508.

(4) Subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be current, accurate, and complete as of the date of price agreement, or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon by the parties and specified on the contractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data. The contractor shall update subcontractor's data, as appropriate, during source selection and negotiations.

(5) If there is more than one prospective subcontractor for any given work, the contractor need only submit cost or pricing data for the prospective subcontractor most likely to receive award to the Government.

15.504-4 Profit.

(a) *General.* This section prescribes policies for establishing the profit or fee portion of the Government prenegotiation objective in price negotiations based on cost analysis.

(1) Profit or fee prenegotiation objectives do not necessarily represent net income to contractors. Rather, they represent that element of the potential total remuneration that contractors may receive for contract performance over and above allowable costs. This potential remuneration element and the Government's estimate of allowable costs to be incurred in contract performance together equal the Government's total prenegotiation objective. Just as actual costs may vary from estimated costs, the contractor's actual realized profit or fee may vary from negotiated profit or fee, because of such factors as efficiency of performance, incurrence of costs the

Government does not recognize as allowable, and the contract type.

(2) It is in the Government's interest to offer contractors opportunities for financial rewards sufficient to stimulate efficient contract performance, attract the best capabilities of qualified large and small business concerns to Government contracts, and maintain a viable industrial base.

(3) Both the Government and contractors should be concerned with profit as a motivator of efficient and effective contract performance.

Negotiations aimed merely at reducing prices by reducing profit, without proper recognition of the function of profit, are not in the Government's interest. Negotiation of extremely low profits, use of historical averages, or automatic application of predetermined percentages to total estimated costs do not provide proper motivation for optimum contract performance.

(b) *Policy.* (1) Structured approaches (see paragraph (d) of this subsection) for determining profit or fee prenegotiation objectives provide a discipline for ensuring that all relevant factors are considered. Subject to the authorities in 1.301(c), agencies making noncompetitive contract awards over \$100,000 totaling \$50 million or more a year—

(i) Shall use a structured approach for determining the profit or fee objective in those acquisitions that require cost analysis; and

(ii) May prescribe specific exemptions for situations in which mandatory use of a structured approach would be clearly inappropriate.

(2) Agencies may use another agency's structured approach.

(c) *Contracting officer responsibilities.*

(1) When the price negotiation is not based on cost analysis, contracting officers are not required to analyze profit.

(2) When the price negotiation is based on cost analysis, contracting officers in agencies that have a structured approach shall use it to analyze profit. When not using a structured approach, contracting officers shall comply with paragraph (d)(1) of this subsection in developing profit or fee prenegotiation objectives.

(3) Contracting officers shall use the Government prenegotiation cost objective amounts as the basis for calculating the profit or fee prenegotiation objective. Before the allowability of facilities capital cost of money, this cost was included in profits or fees. Therefore, before applying profit or fee factors, the contracting officer shall exclude any facilities capital cost of money included in the cost objective

amounts. If the prospective contractor fails to identify or propose facilities capital cost of money in a proposal for a contract that will be subject to the cost principles for contracts with commercial organizations (see subpart 31.2), facilities capital cost of money will not be an allowable cost in any resulting contract (see 15.508(i)).

(4)(i) The contracting officer shall not negotiate a price or fee that exceeds the following statutory limitations, imposed by 10 U.S.C. 2306(e) and 41 U.S.C. 254(b):

(A) For experimental, developmental, or research work performed under a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract, the fee shall not exceed 15 percent of the contract's estimated cost, excluding fee.

(B) For architect-engineering services for public works or utilities, the contract price or the estimated cost and fee for production and delivery of designs, plans, drawings, and specifications shall not exceed 6 percent of the estimated cost of construction of the public work or utility, excluding fees.

(C) For other cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts, the fee shall not exceed 10 percent of the contract's estimated cost, excluding fee.

(ii) The contracting officer's signature on the price negotiation memorandum or other documentation supporting determination of fair and reasonable price documents the contracting officer's determination that the statutory price or fee limitations have not been exceeded.

(5) The contracting officer shall not require any prospective contractor to submit breakouts or supporting rationale for its profit or fee objective.

(6) If a change or modification calls for essentially the same type and mix of work as the basic contract and is of relatively small dollar value compared to the total contract value, the contracting officer may use the basic contract's profit or fee rate as the prenegotiation objective for that change or modification.

(d) *Profit-analysis factors—(1)*

Common factors. Unless it is clearly inappropriate or not applicable, each factor outlined in paragraphs (d)(1) (i) through (vi) of this subsection shall be considered by agencies in developing their structured approaches and by contracting officers in analyzing profit, whether or not using a structured approach.

(i) *Contractor effort.* This factor measures the complexity of the work and the resources required of the prospective contractor for contract performance. Greater profit opportunity should be provided under contracts requiring a high degree of professional

and managerial skill and to prospective contractors whose skills, facilities, and technical assets can be expected to lead to efficient and economical contract performance. The subfactors in paragraphs (d)(1)(i) (A) through (D) of this subsection shall be considered in determining contractor effort, but they may be modified in specific situations to accommodate differences in the categories used by prospective contractors for listing costs—

(A) *Material acquisition.* This subfactor measures the managerial and technical effort needed to obtain the required purchased parts and material, subcontracted items, and special tooling. Considerations include the complexity of the items required, the number of purchase orders and subcontracts to be awarded and administered, whether established sources are available or new or second sources must be developed, and whether material will be obtained through routine purchase orders or through complex subcontracts requiring detailed specifications. Profit consideration should correspond to the managerial and technical effort involved.

(B) *Conversion direct labor.* This subfactor measures the contribution of direct engineering, manufacturing, and other labor to converting the raw materials, data, and subcontracted items into the contract items. Considerations include the diversity of engineering, scientific, and manufacturing labor skills required and the amount and quality of supervision and coordination needed to perform the contract task.

(C) *Conversion-related indirect costs.* This subfactor measures how much the indirect costs contribute to contract performance. The labor elements in the allocable indirect costs should be given the profit consideration they would receive if treated as direct labor. The other elements of indirect costs should be evaluated to determine whether they merit only limited profit consideration because of their routine nature, or are elements that contribute significantly to the proposed contract.

(D) *General management.* This subfactor measures the prospective contractor's other indirect costs and general and administrative (G&A) expense, their composition, and how much they contribute to contract performance. Considerations include how labor in the overhead pools would be treated if it were direct labor, whether elements within the pools are routine expenses or instead are elements that contribute significantly to the proposed contract, and whether the

elements require routine as opposed to unusual managerial effort and attention.

(ii) *Contract cost risk.* (A) This factor measures the degree of cost responsibility and associated risk that the prospective contractor will assume as a result of the contract type contemplated and considering the reliability of the cost estimate in relation to the complexity and duration of the contract task. Determination of contract type should be closely related to the risks involved in timely, cost-effective, and efficient performance. This factor should compensate contractors proportionately for assuming greater cost risks.

(B) The contractor assumes the greatest cost risk in a closely priced firm-fixed-price contract under which it agrees to perform a complex undertaking on time and at a predetermined price. Some firm-fixed-price contracts may entail substantially less cost risk than others because, for example, the contract task is less complex or many of the contractor's costs are known at the time of price agreement, in which case the risk factor should be reduced accordingly. The contractor assumes the least cost risk in a cost-plus-fixed-fee level-of-effort contract, under which it is reimbursed those costs determined to be allocable and allowable, plus the fixed fee.

(C) In evaluating assumption of cost risk, contracting officers shall, except in unusual circumstances, treat time-and-materials, labor-hour, and firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contracts as cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts.

(iii) *Federal socioeconomic programs.* This factor measures the degree of support given by the prospective contractor to Federal socioeconomic programs, such as those involving small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, women-owned small businesses, handicapped sheltered workshops, and energy conservation. Greater profit opportunity should be provided contractors that have displayed unusual initiative in these programs.

(iv) *Capital investments.* This factor takes into account the contribution of contractor investments to efficient and economical contract performance.

(v) *Cost-control and other past accomplishments.* This factor allows additional profit opportunities to a prospective contractor that has previously demonstrated its ability to perform similar tasks effectively and economically. In addition, consideration should be given to measures taken by the prospective contractor that result in

productivity improvements, and other cost-reduction accomplishments that will benefit the Government in follow-on contracts.

(vi) *Independent development.* Under this factor, the contractor may be provided additional profit opportunities in recognition of independent development efforts relevant to the contract end item without Government assistance. The contracting officer should consider whether the development cost was recovered directly or indirectly from Government sources.

(2) *Additional factors.* In order to foster achievement of program objectives, each agency may include additional factors in its structured approach or take them into account in the profit analysis of individual contract actions.

15.505 Price negotiation.

(a) The purpose of performing cost or price analysis is to develop a negotiation position that permits the contracting officer and the offeror an opportunity to reach agreement on a fair and reasonable price. A fair and reasonable price does not require that agreement be reached on every element of cost, nor is it mandatory that the agreed price be within the contracting officer's initial negotiation position. Taking into consideration the advisory recommendations, reports of contributing specialists, and the current status of the contractor's purchasing system, the contracting officer is responsible for exercising the requisite judgment needed to reach a negotiated settlement with the offeror and is solely responsible for the final price agreement. However, when significant audit or other specialist recommendations are not adopted, the contracting officer should provide rationale that supports the negotiation result in the price negotiation documentation.

(b) The contracting officer's primary concern is the overall price the Government will actually pay. The contracting officer's objective is to negotiate a contract of a type and with a price providing the contractor the greatest incentive for efficient and economical performance. The negotiation of a contract type and a price are related and should be considered together with the issues of risk and uncertainty to the contractor and the Government. Therefore, the contracting officer should not become preoccupied with any single element and should balance the contract type, cost, and profit or fee negotiated to achieve a total result—a price that is fair

and reasonable to both the Government and the contractor.

(c) The Government's cost objective and proposed pricing arrangement directly affect the profit or fee objective. Because profit or fee is only one of several interrelated variables, the contracting officer shall not agree on profit or fee without concurrent agreement on cost and type of contract.

(d) If, however, the contractor insists on a price or demands a profit or fee that the contracting officer considers unreasonable, and the contracting officer has taken all authorized actions (including determining the feasibility of developing an alternative source) without success, the contracting officer shall refer the contract action to a level above the contracting officer. Disposition of the action should be documented.

15.506 Documentation.

15.506-1 Prenegotiation objectives.

(a) The prenegotiation objectives establish the Government's initial negotiation position. They assist in the contracting officer's determination of fair and reasonable price. They should be based on the results of the contracting officer's analysis of the offeror's proposal, taking into consideration all pertinent information including field pricing assistance, audit reports and technical analysis, fact-finding results, independent Government cost estimates and price histories.

(b) The contracting officer shall establish prenegotiation objectives before the negotiation of any pricing action. The scope and depth of the analysis supporting the objectives should be directly related to the dollar value, importance, and complexity of the pricing action. When cost analysis is required, the contracting officer shall document the pertinent issues to be negotiated, the cost objectives, and a profit or fee objective.

15.506-2 Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(a) When cost or pricing data are required, the contracting officer shall require the contractor to execute a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, using the format in this paragraph, and shall include the executed certificate in the contract file.

Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data

This is to certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the cost or pricing data (as defined in section 15.501 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and required under FAR subsection 15.503-4) submitted, either actually or by specific identification in

writing, to the Contracting Officer or to the Contracting Officer's representative in support of _____* are accurate, complete, and current as of _____**. This certification includes the cost or pricing data supporting any advance agreements and forward pricing rate agreements between the offeror and the Government that are part of the proposal.

Firm _____

Signature _____

Name _____

Title _____

Date of execution*** _____

* Identify the proposal, quotation, request for price adjustment, or other submission involved, giving the appropriate identifying number (e.g., RFP No.).

** Insert the day, month, and year when price negotiations were concluded and price agreement was reached or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price.

*** Insert the day, month, and year of signing, which should be as close as practicable to the date when the price negotiations were concluded and the contract price was agreed to.
(End of certificate)

(b) The certificate does not constitute a representation as to the accuracy of the contractor's judgment on the estimate of future costs or projections. It applies to the data upon which the judgment or estimate was based. This distinction between fact and judgment should be clearly understood. If the contractor had information reasonably available at the time of agreement showing that the negotiated price was not based on accurate, complete, and current data, the contractor's responsibility is not limited by any lack of personal knowledge of the information on the part of its negotiators.

(c) The contracting officer and contractor are encouraged to reach a prior agreement on criteria for establishing closing or cutoff dates when appropriate in order to minimize delays associated with proposal updates. Closing or cutoff dates should be included as part of the data submitted with the proposal and, before agreement on price, data should be updated by the contractor to the latest closing or cutoff dates for which the data are available. Use of cutoff dates coinciding with reports is acceptable, as certain data may not be reasonably available before normal periodic closing dates (e.g., actual indirect costs). Data within the contractor's or a subcontractor's organization on matters significant to contractor management and to the Government will be treated as reasonably available. What is

significant depends upon the circumstances of each acquisition.

(d) Possession of a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data is not a substitute for examining and analyzing the contractor's proposal.

(e) If cost or pricing data are requested by the Government and submitted by an offeror, but an exception is later found to apply, the data shall not be considered cost or pricing data and shall not be certified in accordance with this subsection.

15.506-3 Documenting the negotiation.

(a) The contract file shall document the principal elements of the negotiated agreement. The documentation (e.g., price negotiation memorandum (PNM)) shall include the following:

(1) The purpose of the negotiation.

(2) A description of the acquisition, including appropriate identifying numbers (e.g., RFP No.).

(3) The name, position, and organization of each person representing the contractor and the Government in the negotiation.

(4) The current status of any contractor systems (e.g., purchasing, estimating, accounting, and compensation) to the extent they affected and were considered in the negotiation.

(5) If cost or pricing data were not required in the case of any price negotiation exceeding the cost or pricing data threshold, the exception used and the basis for it.

(6) If cost or pricing data were required, the extent to which the contracting officer—

(i) Relied on the cost or pricing data submitted and used them in negotiating the price; or

(ii) Recognized as inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent any cost or pricing data submitted; the action taken by the contracting officer and the contractor as a result; and the effect of the defective data on the price negotiated.

(7) A summary of the contractor's proposal, any field pricing assistance recommendations, including the reasons for any pertinent variances from them, the Government's negotiation objective, and the negotiated position. Where the determination of price reasonableness is based on cost analysis, the summary shall address each major cost element. When determination of price reasonableness is based on price analysis, the summary shall include the source and type of data used to support the determination.

(8) The most significant facts or considerations controlling the establishment of the prenegotiation

objectives and the negotiated agreement including an explanation of any significant differences between the two positions.

(9) To the extent such direction has a significant effect on the action, a discussion and quantification of the impact of direction given by Congress, other agencies, and higher-level officials (i.e., officials who would not normally exercise authority during the award and review process for the instant contract action).

(10) The basis for the profit or fee prenegotiation objective and the profit or fee negotiated.

(b) Whenever field pricing assistance has been obtained, the contracting officer shall forward a copy of the analysis to the office(s) providing assistance. When appropriate, information on how advisory field support can be made more effective should be provided separately.

15.507 Special cost or pricing areas.

15.507-1 Defective cost or pricing data.

(a) If, before agreement on price, the contracting officer learns that any cost or pricing data submitted are inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent, the contracting officer shall immediately bring the matter to the attention of the prospective contractor, whether the defective data increase or decrease the contract price. The contracting officer shall consider any new data submitted to correct the deficiency, or consider the inaccuracy, incompleteness, or noncurrency of the data when negotiating the contract price. The price negotiation memorandum shall reflect the adjustments made to the data or the corrected data used to negotiate the contract price.

(b)(1) If, after award, cost or pricing data are found to be inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent as of the date of final agreement on price or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties given on the contractor's or subcontractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, the Government is entitled to a price adjustment, including profit or fee, of any significant amount by which the price was increased because of the defective data. This entitlement is ensured by including in the contract one of the clauses prescribed in 15.508 (b) and (c) and set forth in the provision at 52.215-22, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, and 52.215-23, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications. The clauses give the Government the right to a price adjustment for defects in cost or pricing data submitted by the contractor, a

prospective subcontractor, or an actual subcontractor.

(2) In arriving at a price adjustment, the contracting officer shall consider the time by which the cost or pricing data became reasonably available to the contractor, and the extent to which the Government relied upon the defective data.

(3) The clauses referred to in paragraph (b)(1) of this subsection recognize that the Government's right to a price adjustment is not affected by any of the following circumstances:

(i) The contractor or subcontractor was a sole source supplier or otherwise was in a superior bargaining position;

(ii) The contracting officer should have known that the cost or pricing data in issue were defective even though the contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the contracting officer;

(iii) The contract was based on an agreement about the total cost of the contract and there was no agreement about the cost of each item procured under such contract; or

(iv) Cost or pricing data were required, however, the prime contractor or subcontractor did not submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data relating to the contract.

(4) Subject to paragraphs (b) (5) and (6) of this subsection, the contracting officer shall allow an offset for any understated cost or pricing data submitted in support of price negotiations, up to the amount of the Government's claim for overstated pricing data arising out of the same pricing action (e.g., the initial pricing of the same contract or the pricing of the same change order).

(5) An offset shall be allowed only in an amount supported by the facts and if the contractor—

(i) Certifies to the contracting officer that, to the best of the contractor's knowledge and belief, the contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and

(ii) Proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the date of agreement on price but were not submitted. Such offsets need not be in the same cost groupings (e.g., material, direct labor, or indirect costs).

(6) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(i) The understated data was known by the contractor to be understated when the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data was signed; or

(ii) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been

submitted before the date of agreement on price.

(7)(i) In addition to the price adjustment amount, the Government is entitled to interest on any overpayments. The Government is also entitled to penalty amounts on certain of these overpayments. Overpayment occurs only when payment is made for supplies or services accepted by the Government. Overpayments do not result from amounts paid for contract financing, as defined in 32.902.

(ii) In calculating the interest amount due, the contracting officer shall—

(A) Determine the defective pricing amounts that have been overpaid to the contractor;

(B) Consider the date of each overpayment (the date of overpayment for this interest calculation shall be the date payment was made for the related completed and accepted contract items; or for subcontract defective pricing, the date payment was made to the prime contractor, based on prime contract progress billings or deliveries, which included payments for a completed and accepted subcontract item); and

(C) Apply the underpayment interest rate(s) in effect for each quarter from the time of overpayment to the time of repayment, utilizing rate(s) prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2).

(iii) In arriving at the amount due for penalties on contracts where the submission of defective cost or pricing data was a knowing submission, the contracting officer shall obtain an amount equal to the amount of overpayment made. Before taking any contractual actions concerning penalties, the contracting officer shall obtain the advice of counsel.

(iv) In the price reduction modification or demand, the contracting officer shall separately include—

(A) The repayment amount;

(B) The penalty amount (if any);

(C) The interest amount through a specified date; and

(D) A statement that interest will continue to accrue until repayment is made.

(c) If, after award, the contracting officer learns or suspects that the data furnished were not accurate, complete, and current, or were not adequately verified by the contractor as of the time of negotiation, the contracting officer shall request an audit to evaluate the accuracy, completeness, and currency of the data. The Government may evaluate the profit-cost relationships only if the audit reveals that the data certified by the contractor were defective. The contracting officer shall not reprice the contract solely because the profit was

greater than forecast or because a contingency specified in the submission failed to materialize.

(d) For each advisory audit received based on a postaward review that indicates defective pricing, the contracting officer shall make a determination as to whether or not the data submitted were defective and relied upon. Before making such a determination, the contracting officer should give the contractor an opportunity to support the accuracy, completeness, and currency of the data in question. The contracting officer shall prepare a memorandum documenting both the determination and any corrective action taken as a result. The contracting officer shall send one copy of this memorandum to the auditor and, if the contract has been assigned for administration, one copy to the administrative contracting officer (ACO). A copy of the memorandum or other notice of the contracting officer's determination shall be provided to the contractor.

(e) If both the contractor and subcontractor submitted, and the contractor certified, or should have certified, cost or pricing data, the Government has the right, under the clauses at 52.215-22, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, and 52.215-23, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, to reduce the prime contract price if it was significantly increased because a subcontractor submitted defective data. This right applies whether these data supported subcontract cost estimates or supported firm agreements between subcontractor and contractor.

(f) If Government audit discloses defective subcontractor cost or pricing data, the information necessary to support a reduction in prime contract and subcontract prices may be available only from the Government. To the extent necessary to secure a prime contract price reduction, the contracting officer should make this information available to the prime contractor or appropriate subcontractors, upon request. If release of the information would compromise Government security or disclose trade secrets or confidential business information, the contracting officer shall release it only under conditions that will protect it from improper disclosure. Information made available under this paragraph shall be limited to that used as the basis for the prime contract price reduction. In order to afford an opportunity for corrective action, the contracting officer should give the prime contractor reasonable advance notice before

determining to reduce the prime contract price.

(1) When a prime contractor includes defective subcontract data in arriving at the price but later awards the subcontract to a lower priced subcontractor (or does not subcontract for the work), any adjustment in the prime contract price due to defective subcontract data is limited to the difference (plus applicable indirect cost and profit markups) between the subcontract price used for pricing the prime contract, and either the actual subcontract price or the actual cost to the contractor, if not subcontracted, provided the data on which the actual subcontract price is based are not themselves defective.

(2) Under cost-reimbursement contracts and under all fixed-price contracts except firm-fixed-price contracts, and fixed-price contracts with economic price adjustment, payments to subcontractors that are higher than they would be had there been no defective subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be the basis for disallowance or nonrecognition of costs under the clauses prescribed in 15.508 (b) and (c). The Government has a continuing and direct financial interest in such payments that is unaffected by the initial agreement on prime contract price.

15.507-2 Make-or-buy programs.

(a) *General.* The prime contractor is responsible for managing contract performance, including planning, placing, and administering subcontracts as necessary to ensure the lowest overall cost and technical risk to the Government. When make-or-buy programs are required, the Government may reserve the right to review and agree on the contractor's make-or-buy program when necessary to ensure negotiation of reasonable contract prices, satisfactory performance, or implementation of socioeconomic policies. Consent to subcontracts and review of contractors' purchasing systems are separate actions covered in part 44.

(b) Definitions.

Buy item means an item or work effort to be produced or performed by a subcontractor.

Make item means an item or work effort to be produced or performed by the prime contractor or its affiliates, subsidiaries, or divisions.

Make-or-buy program means that part of a contractor's written plan for a contract identifying those major items to be produced or work efforts to be performed in the prime contractor's facilities and those to be subcontracted.

(c) *Acquisitions requiring make-or-buy programs.* (1) Contracting officers may require prospective contractors to submit make-or-buy program plans for negotiated acquisitions requiring cost or pricing data whose estimated value is \$10 million or more, except when the proposed contract is for research or development and, if prototypes or hardware are involved, no significant follow-on production is anticipated.

(2) Contracting officers may require prospective contractors to submit make-or-buy programs for negotiated acquisitions whose estimated value is under \$10 million only if the contracting officer—

(i) Determines that the information is necessary; and

(ii) Documents the reasons in the contract file.

(d) *Solicitation requirements.* When prospective contractors are required to submit proposed make-or-buy programs, the solicitation shall include—

(1) A statement that the program and required supporting information must accompany the offer; and

(2) A description of factors to be used in evaluating the proposed program, such as capability, capacity, availability of small, small disadvantaged, and women-owned small business concerns for subcontracting, establishment of new facilities in or near labor surplus areas, delivery or performance schedules, control of technical and schedule interfaces, proprietary processes, technical superiority or exclusiveness, and technical risks involved.

(e) *Program requirements.* To support a make-or-buy program, the following information shall be supplied by the contractor in its proposal:

(1) *Items and work included.* The information required from a contractor in a make-or-buy program shall be confined to those major items or work efforts that normally would require company management review of the make-or-buy decision because they are complex, costly, needed in large quantities, or require additional facilities to produce. Raw materials, commercial items (see 2.101), and off-the-shelf items (see 46.101) shall not be included, unless their potential impact on contract cost or schedule is critical. As a rule, make-or-buy programs should not include items or work efforts estimated to cost less than 1 percent of the total estimated contract price or any minimum dollar amount set by the agency.

(2) The offeror's program should include or be supported by the following information:

(i) A description of each major item or work effort.

(ii) Categorization of each major item or work effort as "must make," "must buy" or "can either make or buy."

(iii) For each item or work effort categorized as "can either make or buy," a proposal either to "make" or to "buy."

(iv) Reasons for categorizing items and work efforts as "must make" or "must buy," and proposing to "make" or to "buy" those categorized as "can either make or buy." The reasons must include the consideration given to the evaluation factors described in the solicitation and be in sufficient detail to permit the contracting officer to evaluate the categorization or proposal.

(v) Designation of the plant or division proposed to make each item or perform each work effort, and a statement as to whether the existing or proposed new facility is in or near a labor surplus area.

(vi) Identification of proposed subcontractors, if known, and their location and size status (see also subpart 19.7 for subcontracting plan requirements).

(vii) Any recommendations to defer make-or-buy decisions when categorization of some items or work efforts is impracticable at the time of submission.

(viii) Any other information the contracting officer requires in order to evaluate the program.

(f) *Evaluation, negotiation, and agreement.* Contracting officers shall evaluate and negotiate proposed make-or-buy programs as soon as practicable after their receipt and before contract award.

(1) When the program is to be incorporated in the contract and the design status of the product being acquired does not permit accurate precontract identification of major items or work efforts, the contracting officer shall notify the prospective contractor in writing that these items or efforts, when identifiable, shall be added under the clause at 52.215–21, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program.

(2) Contracting officers normally shall not agree to proposed "make items" when the products or services are not regularly manufactured or provided by the contractor and are available—quality, quantity, delivery, and other essential factors considered—from another firm at equal or lower prices or when they are regularly manufactured or provided by the contractor, but available—quality, quantity, delivery, and other essential factors considered—from another firm at lower prices. However, the contracting officer may agree to these as "make items" if an overall lower Governmentwide cost would result or it is otherwise in the

best interest of the Government. If this situation occurs in any fixed-price incentive or cost-plus-incentive-fee contract, the contracting officer shall specify these items in the contract and state that they are subject to paragraph (d) of the clause at 52.215–21, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program (see 15.508(a)). If the contractor proposes to reverse the categorization of such items during contract performance, the contract price shall be subject to equitable reduction.

(g) *Incorporating make-or-buy programs in contracts.* The contracting officer may incorporate the make-or-buy program in negotiated contracts for—

(1) Major systems (see part 34) or their subsystems or components, regardless of contract type; or

(2) Other supplies and services if—

(i) The contract is a cost-reimbursable contract, or a cost-sharing contract in which the contractor's share of the cost is less than 25 percent; and

(ii) The contracting officer determines that technical or cost risks justify Government review and approval of changes or additions to the make-or-buy program.

15.507–3 Forward pricing rate agreements.

(a) When certified cost or pricing data are required, offerors are required to describe any forward pricing rate agreements (FPRA's) in each specific pricing proposal to which the rates apply and to identify the latest cost or pricing data already submitted in accordance with the agreement. All data submitted in connection with the agreement, updated as necessary, form a part of the total data that the offeror certifies to be accurate, complete, and current at the time of agreement on price for an initial contract or for a contract modification.

(b) Contracting officers will use FPRA rates as bases for pricing all contracts, modifications, and other contractual actions to be performed during the period covered by the agreement. Conditions that may affect the agreement's validity shall be reported promptly to the ACO. If the ACO determines that a changed condition invalidates the agreement, the ACO shall notify all interested parties of the extent of its effect and status of efforts to establish a revised FPRA.

(c) Contracting officers shall not require certification at the time of agreement for data supplied in support of FPRA's or other advance agreements. When a forward pricing rate agreement or other advance agreement is used to price a contract action that requires a certificate, the certificate supporting that contract action shall cover the data

supplied to support the FPRA or other advance agreement, and all other data supporting the action.

(d) When an FPRA is invalid, the contractor should submit and negotiate a new proposal to reflect the changed conditions. If an FPRA has not been established or has been invalidated, the ACO will issue a forward pricing rate recommendation (FPRR) to buying activities with documentation to assist negotiators. In the absence of a FPRA or FPRR, field pricing information will include support for rates utilized.

(e) The ACO may negotiate continuous updates to the FPRA. The FPRA will provide specific terms and conditions covering notification, application, and data requirements for systematic monitoring to assure the validity of the rates.

15.507-4 Should-cost review.

(a) *General.* (1) Should-cost reviews are a specialized form of cost analysis. Should-cost reviews differ from traditional evaluation methods because they do not assume that a contractor's historical costs reflect efficient and economical operation. Instead, these reviews evaluate the economy and efficiency of the contractor's existing work force, methods, materials, facilities, operating systems, and management. These reviews are accomplished by a multi-functional team of Government contracting, contract administration, pricing, audit, and engineering representatives. The objective of should-cost reviews is to promote both short and long-range improvements in the contractor's economy and efficiency in order to reduce the cost of performance of Government contracts. In addition, by providing rationale for any recommendations and quantifying their impact on cost, the Government will be better able to develop realistic objectives for negotiation.

(2) There are two types of should-cost reviews—program should-cost review (see paragraph (b) of this subsection) and overhead should-cost review (see paragraph (c) of this subsection). These should-cost reviews may be performed together or independently. The scope of a should-cost review can range from a large-scale review examining the contractor's entire operation (including plant-wide overhead and selected major subcontractors) to a small-scale tailored review examining specific portions of a contractor's operation.

(b) *Program should-cost review.* (1) Program should-cost review is used to evaluate significant elements of direct costs, such as material and labor, and associated indirect costs, usually

associated with the production of major systems. When a program should-cost review is conducted relative to a contractor proposal, a separate audit report on the proposal is required.

(2) A program should-cost review should be considered, particularly in the case of a major system acquisition (see part 34), when—

- (i) Some initial production has already taken place;
- (ii) The contract will be awarded on a sole-source basis;
- (iii) There are future-year production requirements for substantial quantities of like items;
- (iv) The items being acquired have a history of increasing costs;
- (v) The work is sufficiently defined to permit an effective analysis and major changes are unlikely;
- (vi) Sufficient time is available to plan and adequately conduct the should-cost review; and

(vii) Personnel with the required skills are available or can be assigned for the duration of the should-cost review.

(3) The contracting officer should decide which elements of the contractor's operation have the greatest potential for cost savings and assign the available personnel resources accordingly. The expertise of on-site Government personnel should be used, when appropriate. While the particular elements to be analyzed are a function of the contract work task, elements such as manufacturing, pricing and accounting, management and organization, and subcontract and vendor management are normally reviewed in a should-cost review.

(4) In acquisitions for which a program should-cost review is conducted, a separate program should-cost review team report, prepared in accordance with agency procedures, is required. The contracting officer shall consider the findings and recommendations contained in the program should-cost review team report when negotiating the contract price. After completing the negotiation, the contracting officer shall provide the ACO a report of any identified uneconomical or inefficient practices, together with a report of correction or disposition agreements reached with the contractor. The contracting officer shall establish a follow-up plan to monitor the correction of the uneconomical or inefficient practices.

(5) When a program should-cost review is planned, the contracting officer should state this fact in the acquisition plan or acquisition plan updates (see subpart 7.1) and in the solicitation.

(c) *Overhead should-cost review.* (1) An overhead should-cost review is used to evaluate indirect costs, such as fringe benefits, shipping and receiving, facilities and equipment, depreciation, plant maintenance and security, taxes, and general and administrative activities. It is normally used to evaluate and negotiate an FPRA with the contractor. When an overhead should-cost review is conducted, a separate audit report is required.

(2) The following factors should be considered when selecting contractor sites for overhead should-cost reviews:

- (i) Dollar amount of Government business.
- (ii) Level of Government participation.
- (iii) Level of noncompetitive Government contracts.
- (iv) Volume of proposal activity.
- (v) Major system or program.
- (vi) Corporate reorganizations, mergers, acquisitions, or takeovers.
- (vii) Other conditions (e.g., changes in accounting systems, management, or business activity).

(3) The objective of the overhead should-cost review is to evaluate significant indirect cost elements in-depth, and identify and recommend corrective actions regarding inefficient and uneconomical practices. If it is conducted in conjunction with a program should-cost review, a separate overhead should-cost review report is not required. However, the findings and recommendations of the overhead should-cost team, or any separate overhead should-cost review report, shall be provided to the ACO. The ACO should use this information to form the basis for the Government position in negotiating an FPRA with the contractor. The ACO shall establish a follow-up plan to monitor the correction of the uneconomical or inefficient practices.

15.507-5 Estimating systems.

(a) Using an acceptable estimating system for proposal preparation benefits both the Government and the contractor by increasing the accuracy and reliability of individual proposals. Cognizant audit activities, when it is appropriate to do so, shall establish and manage regular programs for reviewing selected contractors' estimating systems or methods, in order to reduce the scope of reviews to be performed on individual proposals, expedite the negotiation process, and increase the reliability of proposals. The results of estimating system reviews shall be documented in survey reports.

(b) The auditor shall send a copy of the estimating system survey report and

a copy of the official notice of corrective action required to each contracting office and contract administration office having substantial business with that contractor. Significant deficiencies not corrected by the contractor shall be a consideration in subsequent proposal analyses and negotiations.

15.508 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-21, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that a make-or-buy program will be incorporated in the contract. If a less economical "make" or "buy" categorization is selected for one or more items of significant value, the contracting officer shall use the clause with—

(1) Its Alternate I, if a fixed-price incentive contract is contemplated; or

(2) Its Alternate II, if a cost-plus-incentive-fee contract is contemplated.

(b) The contracting officer shall, when contracting by negotiation, insert the clause at 52.215-22, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required from the contractor or any subcontractor (see 15.503-4).

(c) The contracting officer shall, when contracting by negotiation, insert the clause at 52.215-23, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required from the contractor or any subcontractor (see 15.503-4) for the pricing of contract modifications, and the clause prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section has not been included.

(d) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-24, Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations and contracts when the clause prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section is included.

(e) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-25, Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts when the clause prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section is included.

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-26, Integrity of Unit Prices, in solicitations and contracts for other than—

(1) Acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold;

(2) Construction or architect-engineer services under part 36;

(3) Utility services under part 41;

(4) Service contracts where supplies are not required;

(5) Acquisitions of commercial items; and

(6) Contracts for petroleum products. The contracting officer shall insert the clause with its Alternate I when contracting without full and open competition or when prescribed by agency regulations.

(g) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-27, Termination of Defined Benefit Pension Plans, in solicitations and contracts for which it is anticipated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determinations will be subject to part 31.

(h) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-30, Facilities Capital Cost of Money, in solicitations expected to result in contracts that are subject to the cost principles for contracts with commercial organizations (see subpart 31.2).

(i) If the prospective contractor does not propose facilities capital cost of money in its offer, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-31, Waiver of Facilities Capital Cost of Money, in the resulting contract.

(j) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-39, Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions, in solicitations and contracts for which it is anticipated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determinations will be subject to part 31.

(k) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-40, Notification of Ownership Changes, in solicitations and contracts for which it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determination will be subject to subpart 31.2.

(l) Considering the hierarchy at 15.502, the contracting officer may insert the provision at 52.215-41, Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations if it is reasonably certain that cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data will be required. This provision also provides instructions to offerors on how to request an exception. The contracting officer shall—

(1) Use the provision with its Alternate I to specify a format for cost or pricing data other than the format required by Table 15-2 of this section;

(2) Use the provision with its Alternate II if copies of the proposal are to be sent to the ACO and contract auditor;

(3) Use the provision with its Alternate III if submission via electronic media is required; and

(4) Replace the basic provision with its Alternate IV if cost or pricing data are not expected to be required because an exception may apply, but information other than cost or pricing data is required as described in 15.503-3.

(m) Considering the hierarchy at 15.502, the contracting officer may insert the clause at 52.215-42, Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts if it is reasonably certain that cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data will be required for modifications. This clause also provides instructions to contractors on how to request an exception. The contracting officer shall—

(1) Use the clause with its Alternate I to specify a format for cost or pricing data other than the format required by Table 15-2 of this section;

(2) Use the clause with its Alternate II if copies of the proposal are to be sent to the ACO and contract auditor;

(3) Use the clause with its Alternate III if submission via electronic media is required; and

(4) Replace the basic clause with its Alternate IV if cost or pricing data are not expected to be required because an exception may apply, but information other than cost or pricing data is required as described in 15.503-3.

Table 15-2.—Instructions for Submitting Cost or Pricing Data

This document provides instructions for preparing a contract pricing proposal when cost or pricing data are required.

Notices

- There is a clear distinction between submitting cost or pricing data and merely making available books, records, and other documents without identification. The requirement for submission of cost or pricing data is met when all accurate cost or pricing data reasonably available to the offeror have been submitted, either actually or by specific identification, to the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative. As later information comes into your possession, it should be promptly submitted to the Contracting Officer demonstrating how the information relates to your price proposal. The requirement for submission of cost or pricing data continues up to the time of agreement on price, or an earlier date agreed upon between the parties if applicable.

- By submitting your proposal, you grant the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine records that formed the basis for the pricing proposal. That examination can take place at any time before award. It may include those books, records, documents, and other types of factual information (regardless of form or whether the information is specifically

referenced or included in the proposal as the basis for pricing) that will permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price.

General Instructions

1. You must provide the following information on the first page of your pricing proposal:

- (a) Solicitation, contract and/or modification number;
- (b) Name and address of offeror;
- (c) Name and telephone number of point of contact;
- (d) Name of contract administration office (if available);
- (e) Type of contract action (that is, new contract, change order, price revision/redetermination, letter contract, unpriced order, or other);
- (f) Proposed cost, profit or fee, and total;
- (g) Whether you will require the use of Government property in the performance of the contract, and, if so, what property;

(h) Whether your organization is subject to cost accounting standards, whether the proposal is consistent with your established estimating and accounting principles and procedures and FAR part 31, Cost Principles, and, if not, an explanation;

- (i) The following statement:

This proposal reflects our estimates and/or actual costs as of this date and conforms with the instructions in FAR 15.503-5(b)(1) and Table 15-2. By submitting this proposal, we grant the Contracting Officer and authorized representative(s) the right to examine, at any time before award, those records, which include books, documents, accounting procedures and practices, and other data, regardless of type and form or whether such supporting information is specifically referenced or included in the proposal as the basis for pricing, that will permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price.

- (j) Date of submission; and

(k) Name, title and signature of authorized representative.

2. In submitting your proposal, you must include an index, appropriately referenced, of all the cost or pricing data and information accompanying or identified in the proposal. In addition, you must annotate any future additions and/or revisions, up to the date of agreement on price, or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties, on a supplemental index.

3. As part of the specific information required, you must submit, with your proposal, cost or pricing data (that is, data that are verifiable and factual and otherwise as defined at FAR 15.501). You must clearly identify this data as "Cost or Pricing Data." In addition, you must submit with your proposal any information reasonably required to explain your estimating process, including—

a. The judgmental factors applied and the mathematical or other methods used in the estimate, including those used in projecting from known data; and

b. The nature and amount of any contingencies included in the proposed price.

4. You must show the relationship between contract line item prices and the total contract price. You must attach cost-element

breakdowns for each proposed line item, using the appropriate format prescribed in the "Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries" section of this table. You must furnish supporting breakdowns for each cost element, consistent with your cost accounting system.

5. When more than one contract line item is proposed, you must also provide summary total amounts covering all line items for each element of cost.

6. Whenever you have incurred costs for work performed before submission of a proposal, you must identify those costs in your cost/price proposal.

7. If you have reached an agreement with Government representatives on use of forward pricing rates/factors, identify the agreement, include a copy, and describe its nature.

8. As soon as practicable after final agreement on price or an earlier date agreed to by the parties, but before the award resulting from the proposal, you must, under the conditions stated in FAR 15.506-2, submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

Cost Elements

Depending on your system, you must provide breakdowns for the following basic cost elements, as applicable:

A. *Materials and services.* Provide a consolidated priced summary of individual material quantities included in the various tasks, orders, or contract line items being proposed and the basis for pricing (vendor quotes, invoice prices, etc.). Include raw materials, parts, components, assemblies, and services to be produced or performed by others. For all items proposed, identify the item and show the source, quantity, and price. Conduct price analyses of all subcontractor proposals. Conduct cost analyses for all subcontracts when cost or pricing data are submitted by the subcontractor. Include these analyses as part of your own cost or pricing data submissions for subcontracts expected to exceed the appropriate threshold in 15.503-4. Submit the subcontractor cost or pricing data as part of your own cost or pricing data as required in subparagraph A(2) of this table. These requirements also apply to all subcontractors if required to submit cost or pricing data.

(1) *Adequate Price Competition.* Provide data showing the degree of competition and the basis for establishing the source and reasonableness of price for those acquisitions (such as subcontracts, purchase orders, material order, etc.) exceeding, or expected to exceed, the appropriate threshold set forth at 15.503-4 priced on the basis of adequate price competition. For interorganizational transfers priced at other than the cost of comparable competitive commercial work of the division, subsidiary, or affiliate of the contractor, explain the pricing method (see 31.205-26(e)).

(2) *All Other.* Obtain cost or pricing data from prospective sources for those acquisitions (such as subcontracts, purchase orders, material order, etc.) exceeding the threshold set forth in 15.503-4 and not otherwise exempt, in accordance with 15.503-1(b) (i.e., adequate price competition,

commercial items, prices set by law or regulation or waiver). Also provide data showing the basis for establishing source and reasonableness of price. In addition, provide a summary of your cost analysis and a copy of cost or pricing data submitted by the prospective source in support of each subcontract, or purchase order that is the lower of either \$10,000,000 or more, or both more than the pertinent cost or pricing data threshold and more than 10 percent of the prime contractor's proposed price. The Contracting Officer may require you to submit cost or pricing data in support of proposals in lower amounts. Subcontractor cost or pricing data must be accurate, complete and current as of the date of final price agreement, or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties, given on the prime contractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data. The prime contractor is responsible for updating a prospective subcontractor's data. For standard commercial items fabricated by the offeror that are generally stocked in inventory, provide a separate cost breakdown, if priced based on cost. For interorganizational transfers priced at cost, provide a separate breakdown of cost elements. Analyze the cost or pricing data and submit the results of your analysis of the prospective source's proposal. When submission of a prospective source's cost or pricing data is required, it must be included along with your own cost or pricing data submission, as part of your initial pricing proposal. You must also submit any other cost or pricing data obtained from a subcontractor, either actually or by specific identification, along with the results of any analysis performed on that data.

B. *Direct Labor.* Provide a time-phased (e.g., monthly) breakdown of labor hours, rates, and cost by appropriate category, and furnish bases for estimates.

C. *Indirect Costs.* Indicate how you have computed and applied your indirect costs, including cost breakdowns. Show trends and budgetary data to provide a basis for evaluating the reasonableness of proposed rates. Indicate the rates used and provide an appropriate explanation.

D. *Other Costs.* List all other costs not otherwise included in the categories described above (e.g., special tooling, travel, computer and consultant services, preservation, packaging and packing, spoilage and rework, and Federal excise tax on finished articles) and provide bases for pricing.

E. *Royalties.* If royalties exceed \$1,500, you must provide the following information on a separate page for each separate royalty or license fee:

- (1) Name and address of licensor.

- (2) Date of license agreement.

- (3) Patent numbers.

- (4) Patent application serial numbers, or other basis on which the royalty is payable.

- (5) Brief description (including any part or model numbers of each contract item or component on which the royalty is payable).

- (6) Percentage or dollar rate of royalty per unit.

- (7) Unit price of contract item.

- (8) Number of units.

- (9) Total dollar amount of royalties.
 (10) If specifically requested by the Contracting Officer, a copy of the current license agreement and identification of

applicable claims of specific patents (see FAR 27.204 and 31.205-37).

F. Facilities Capital Cost of Money. When you elect to claim facilities capital cost of money as an allowable cost, you must submit

Form CASB-CMF and show the calculation of the proposed amount (see 31.205-10).

Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries

A. NEW CONTRACTS (INCLUDING LETTER CONTRACTS)

Cost elements (1)	Proposed contract estimate—total cost (2)	Proposed contract estimate—unit cost (3)	Reference (4)
----------------------	--	---	------------------

Column and Instruction

- (1) Enter appropriate cost elements.
 (2) Enter those necessary and reasonable costs that, in your judgment, will properly be incurred in efficient contract performance. When any of the costs in this column have

already been incurred (e.g., under a letter contract), describe them on an attached supporting page. When preproduction or startup costs are significant, or when specifically requested to do so by the Contracting Officer, provide a full identification and explanation of them.

(3) Optional, unless required by the Contracting Officer.

(4) Identify the attachment in which the information supporting the specific cost element may be found. Attach separate pages as necessary.

B. CHANGE ORDERS, MODIFICATIONS, AND CLAIMS

Cost elements (1)	Estimated cost of all work deleted (2)	Cost of deleted work already performed (3)	Net cost to be deleted (4)	Cost of work added (5)	Net cost of change (6)	Reference (7)
----------------------	---	---	-------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	------------------

Column and Instruction

- (1) Enter appropriate cost elements.
 (2) Include the current estimates of what the cost would have been to complete the deleted work not yet performed (not the original proposal estimates), and the cost of deleted work already performed.
 (3) Include the incurred cost of deleted work already performed, using actuals incurred if possible, or, if actuals are not available, estimates from your accounting records. Attach a detailed inventory of work, materials, parts, components, and hardware

already purchased, manufactured, or performed and deleted by the change, indicating the cost and proposed disposition of each line item. Also, if you desire to retain these items or any portion of them, indicate the amount offered for them.

(4) Enter the net cost to be deleted, which is the estimated cost of all deleted work less the cost of deleted work already performed. Column (2) – Column (3) = Column (4).

(5) Enter your estimate for cost of work added by the change. When nonrecurring costs are significant, or when specifically requested to do so by the Contracting Officer,

provide a full identification and explanation of them. When any of the costs in this column have already been incurred, describe them on an attached supporting schedule.

(6) Enter the net cost of change, which is the cost of work added, less the net cost to be deleted. Column (5) – Column

(4) = Column (6). When this result is negative, place the amount in parentheses.

(7) Identify the attachment in which the information supporting the specific cost element may be found. Attach separate pages as necessary.

C. PRICE REVISION/REDETERMINATION

Cutoff date (1)	Number of units completed (2)	Number of units to be completed (3)	Contract amount (4)	Redetermination proposal amount (5)	Difference (6)	Cost elements (7)	Incurred cost—preproduction (8)	Incurred cost—completed units (9)	Incurred cost—work in process (10)	Total incurred cost (11)	Estimated cost to complete (12)	Estimated total cost (13)	Reference (14)
--------------------	----------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	-------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------

(Use as applicable)

Column and Instruction

- (1) Enter the cutoff date required by the contract, if applicable.
 (2) Enter the number of units completed during the period for which experienced costs of production are being submitted.
 (3) Enter the number of units remaining to be completed under the contract.
 (4) Enter the cumulative contract amount.
 (5) Enter your redetermination proposal amount.
 (6) Enter the difference between the contract amount and the redetermination proposal amount. When this result is negative, place the amount in parentheses. Column (4) – Column (5) = Column (6).

(7) Enter appropriate cost elements. When residual inventory exists, the final costs established under fixed-price-incentive and fixed-price-redeterminable arrangements should be net of the fair market value of such inventory. In support of subcontract costs, submit a listing of all subcontracts subject to repricing action, annotated as to their status.

(8) Enter all costs incurred under the contract before starting production and other nonrecurring costs (usually referred to as startup costs) from your books and records as of the cutoff date. These include such costs as preproduction engineering, special plant rearrangement, training program, and any identifiable nonrecurring costs such as initial rework, spoilage, pilot runs, etc. In the event

the amounts are not segregated in or otherwise available from your records, enter in this column your best estimates. Explain the basis for each estimate and how the costs are charged on your accounting records (e.g., included in production costs as direct engineering labor, charged to manufacturing overhead). Also show how the costs would be allocated to the units at their various stages of contract completion.

(9) Enter in Column (9) the production costs from your books and records (exclusive of preproduction costs reported in Column (8)) of the units completed as of the cutoff date.

(10) Enter in Column (10) the costs of work in process as determined from your records

or inventories at the cutoff date. When the amounts for work in process are not available in your records but reliable estimates for them can be made, enter the estimated amounts in Column (10) and enter in Column (9) the differences between the total incurred costs (exclusive of preproduction costs) as of the cutoff date and these estimates. Explain the basis for the estimates, including identification of any provision for experienced or anticipated allowances, such as shrinkage, rework, design changes, etc. Furnish experienced unit or lot costs (or labor hours) from inception of contract to the cutoff date, improvement curves, and any other available production cost history pertaining to the item(s) to which your proposal relates.

(11) Enter total incurred costs (Total of Columns (8), (9), and (10)).

(12) Enter those necessary and reasonable costs that in your judgment will properly be incurred in completing the remaining work to be performed under the contract with respect to the item(s) to which your proposal relates.

(13) Enter total estimated cost (Total of Columns (11) and (12)).

(14) Identify the attachment in which the information supporting the specific cost element may be found. Attach separate pages as necessary.

Subpart 15.6—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

15.601 Definition.

Day, as used in this subpart, has the meaning set forth at 33.101.

15.602 Applicability.

This subpart applies to competitive proposals, as described in 6.102(b), and a combination of competitive procedures, as described in 6.102(c). The procedures in 15.606, 15.607, 15.608, and 15.609, with reasonable modification, should be followed for sole source acquisitions and acquisitions described in 6.102(d) (1) and (2).

15.603 Notifications to unsuccessful offerors.

(a) *Preaward notices*—(1) *Preaward notices of exclusion from competitive range*. The contracting officer shall notify offerors promptly in writing when their proposals are excluded from the competitive range or otherwise eliminated from the competition. The notice shall state the basis for the determination and that a proposal revision will not be considered.

(2) *Preaward notices for small business set-asides*. In addition to the notice in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, when using a small business set-aside (see subpart 19.5), upon completion of negotiations and determinations of responsibility, but prior to award, the contracting officer shall notify each

offeror in writing of the name and location of the apparent successful offeror. The notice shall also state that

(i) The Government will not consider subsequent revisions of the offeror's proposal; and

(ii) No response is required unless a basis exists to challenge the small business size status of the apparent successful offeror. The notice is not required when the contracting officer determines in writing that the urgency of the requirement necessitates award without delay or when the contract is entered into under the 8(a) program (see subpart 19.805–2).

(b) *Postaward notices*. (1) Within 3 days after the date of contract award, the contracting officer shall provide written notification to each offeror whose proposal was in the competitive range but was not selected for award (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(5) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(c)) or had not been previously notified under paragraph (a) of this section. The notice shall include—

(i) The number of offerors solicited;
(ii) The number of proposals received;
(iii) The name and address of each offeror receiving an award;

(iv) The items, quantities, and any stated unit prices of each award (if the number of items or other factors makes listing any stated unit prices impracticable at that time, only the total contract price need be furnished in the notice). However, the items, quantities, and any stated unit prices of each award shall be made publicly available, upon request; and

(v) In general terms, the reason(s) the offeror's proposal was not accepted, unless the price information in paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section readily reveals the reason. In no event shall an offeror's cost breakdown, profit, overhead rates, trade secrets, manufacturing processes and techniques, or other confidential business information be disclosed to any other offeror.

(2) Upon request, the contracting officer shall furnish the information described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section to unsuccessful offerors in solicitations using simplified acquisition procedures in part 13.

(3) Upon request, the contracting officer shall provide the information in paragraph (b)(1) of this section to unsuccessful offerors that received a preaward notice of exclusion from the competitive range.

15.604 Award to successful offeror.

The contracting officer shall award a contract to the successful offeror by furnishing the executed contract or other notice of the award to that offeror.

(a) If the award document includes information that is different than the latest signed proposal, as amended by the offeror's written correspondence, both the offeror and the contracting officer shall sign the contract award.

(b) When an award is made to an offeror for less than all of the items that may be awarded and additional items are being withheld for subsequent award, each notice shall state that the Government may make subsequent awards on those additional items within the proposal acceptance period.

(c) If the Optional Form 307 (OF 307), Contract Award, is not used to award the contract, the first page of the award document shall contain the Government's acceptance statement from Block 15 of that form, exclusive of the Item 3 reference language, and the contracting officer's signature. In addition, if the award document includes information that is different than the signed proposal, as amended by the offeror's written correspondence, the first page shall include the contractor's agreement statement from Block 14 of the OF 307 and the signature of the contractor's authorized representative.

15.605 Preaward debriefing of offerors.

Offerors excluded from the competitive range or otherwise excluded from the competition before award may request a debriefing before award (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(6)(A) and 41 U.S.C. 253b (f)–(h)).

(a)(1) The offeror may request a preaward debriefing by submitting a written request for debriefing to the contracting officer within 3 days after receipt of the notice of exclusion from the competition.

(2) At the offeror's request, this debriefing may be delayed until after award. If delayed until after award, the debriefing shall include all information normally provided in a postaward debriefing (see 15.606(d)). However, if an offeror requests a delayed debriefing under this section, the date the offeror knew or should have known the basis of a protest for the purposes of 4 CFR 21.2(a)(2) shall be the date the offeror received notice of its exclusion from the competition.

(3) If the offeror does not submit a timely request, the offeror need not be given either a preaward or a postaward debriefing. Offerors are entitled to no more than one debriefing for each proposal.

(b) The contracting officer shall make every effort to debrief the unsuccessful offeror as soon as practicable, but may refuse the request for a debriefing if, for compelling reasons, it is not in the best

interests of the Government to conduct a debriefing at that time. The rationale for delaying the debriefing shall be documented in the contract file. If the contracting officer delays the debriefing, it shall be provided no later than the time postaward debriefings are provided under 15.606. In that event, the contracting officer shall include the information at 15.606(d) in the debriefing.

(c) Debriefings may be done orally, in writing, or by any other method acceptable to the contracting officer.

(d) The contracting officer should normally chair any debriefing session held. Individuals who conducted the evaluations shall provide support.

(e) At a minimum, preaward debriefings shall include—

(1) The agency's evaluation of significant elements in the offeror's proposal;

(2) A summary of the rationale for eliminating the offeror from the competition; and

(3) Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed in the process of eliminating the offeror from the competition.

(f) Preaward debriefings shall not disclose—

(1) The number of offerors;

(2) The identity of other offerors;

(3) The content of other offerors' proposals;

(4) The ranking of other offerors;

(5) The evaluation of other offerors; or

(6) Any of the information prohibited in 15.606(e).

(g) An official summary of the debriefing shall be included in the contract file.

15.606 Postaward debriefing of offerors.

(a)(1) An offeror, upon its written request received by the agency within 3 days after the date on which that offeror has received notice of contract award, shall be debriefed and furnished the basis for the selection decision and contract award.

(2) To the maximum extent practicable, the debriefing should occur within 5 days after receipt of the written request.

(3) An offeror that was notified of exclusion from the competition (15.605(a)), but failed to submit a timely request, is not entitled to a debriefing. Offerors that requested a postaward debriefing in lieu of a preaward debriefing, or whose debriefing was delayed for compelling reasons beyond contract award, shall be debriefed within this time period.

(4)(i) Untimely debriefing requests may be accommodated.

(ii) When accommodating a request for delayed debriefing pursuant to 15.605(a)(ii), the date the offeror knew or should have known the basis of its protest for purposes of 4 CFR 21.2(a)(2) shall be the date on which the offeror received notice of its exclusion from competition.

(iii) When accommodating any untimely debriefing request, the date the offeror knew or should have known the basis for its protest for the purposes of 4 CFR 21.2(a)(2) shall be the earlier of the date on which the offeror received notice of its exclusion from further competition or the date the offeror received notice of award.

(b) Debriefings of successful and unsuccessful offerors may be done orally, in writing, or by any other method acceptable to the contracting officer.

(c) The contracting officer should normally chair any debriefing session held. Individuals who conducted the evaluations shall provide support.

(d) At a minimum, the debriefing information shall include—

(1) The Government's evaluation of the significant weaknesses or deficiencies in the offeror's proposal, if applicable;

(2) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating, if applicable, of the successful offeror and the debriefed offeror (including unit prices);

(3) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during the source selection;

(4) A summary of the rationale for award;

(5) For acquisitions of commercial end items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror; and

(6) Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed.

(e) The debriefing shall not include point-by-point comparisons of the debriefed offeror's proposal with those of other offerors. Moreover, the debriefing shall not reveal any information exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) including—

(1) Trade secrets;

(2) Privileged or confidential manufacturing processes and techniques;

(3) Commercial and financial information that is privileged or confidential, including cost

breakdowns, profit, indirect cost rates, and similar information; and

(4) The names of individuals providing reference information about an offeror's past performance.

(f) An official summary of the debriefing shall be included in the contract file.

15.607 Protests against award.

(a) Protests against award in negotiated acquisitions shall be handled in accordance with part 33. Use of agency protest procedures that incorporate the alternative dispute resolution provisions of Executive Order 12979 is encouraged for both preaward and postaward protests.

(b) If a protest causes the agency, within 1 year of contract award, to—

(1) Issue a new solicitation on the protested contract award, the contracting officer shall provide the information in paragraph (c) of this section to all prospective offerors for the new solicitation; or

(2) Issue a new request for revised proposals on the protested contract award, the contracting office shall provide the information in paragraph (c) of this section to offerors that were in the competitive range and are requested to submit revised proposals.

(c) The following information will be provided to appropriate parties:

(1) Information provided to unsuccessful offerors in any debriefings conducted on the original award about the successful offeror's proposal; and

(2) Other nonproprietary information that would have been provided to the original offerors.

15.608 Discovery of mistakes.

Mistakes in a contractor's proposal that are disclosed after award shall be processed substantially in accordance with the procedures for mistakes in bids at 14.407–4.

15.609 Forms.

(a) Optional Form 307, Contract Award, may be used to award negotiated contracts. If the form or the Standard Form 26 is not used, the award document shall incorporate the agreement and award language from the form.

(b) Standard Form 26, Award/Contract, also may be used to award negotiated contracts in which the signature of both parties on a single document is appropriate.

PART 16—TYPES OF CONTRACTS

11. Section 16.306 is amended by revising paragraph (c) as follows:

16.306 Cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts.

* * * * *

(c) *Limitations.* No cost-plus-fixed-fee contract shall be awarded unless all limitations in 16.301–3 are complied with.

* * * *

PART 36—CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECT-ENGINEER CONTRACTS

12. Section 36.520 is added to read as follows:

36.520 Contracting by negotiation.

The contracting officer shall insert in solicitations for construction the provision at 52.236–28, Preparation of Offers—Construction, when contracting by negotiation.

PART 42—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

13. Section 42.1502 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

42.1502 Policy.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, agencies shall prepare an evaluation of contractor performance for each contract in excess of \$1,000,000 (regardless of the date of contract award) and for each contract in excess of \$100,000 beginning not later than January 1, 1998 (regardless of the date of contract award), at the time the work under the contract is completed. * * *

14. Subpart 42.17 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 42.17—Forward Pricing Rate Agreements

42.1701 Procedures.

(a) Negotiation of forward pricing rate agreements (FPRAAs) may be requested by the contracting officer or the contractor or initiated by the administrative contracting officer (ACO). In determining whether or not to establish such an agreement, the ACO should consider whether the benefits to be derived from the agreement are commensurate with the effort of establishing and monitoring it. Normally, FPRAAs should be negotiated only with contractors having a significant volume of Government contract proposals. The cognizant contract administration agency shall determine whether an FPRA will be established.

(b) The ACO shall obtain the contractor's proposal and require that it include cost or pricing data that are accurate, complete, and current as of the date of submission. The ACO shall invite the cognizant contract auditor and contracting offices having a significant interest to participate in

developing a Government objective and in the negotiations. Upon completing negotiations, the ACO shall prepare a price negotiation memorandum (PNM) (see 15.506–3) and forward copies of the PNM and FPRA to the cognizant auditor and to all contracting offices that are known to be affected by the FPRA. A Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data shall not be required at this time (see 15.507–3(c)).

(c) The FPRA shall provide specific terms and conditions covering expiration, application, and data requirements for systematic monitoring to assure the validity of the rates. The agreement shall provide for cancellation at the option of either party and shall require the contractor to submit to the ACO and to the cognizant contract auditor any significant change in cost or pricing data.

PART 43—CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

43.301 [Amended]

15. Section 43.301 is amended in paragraph (a)(1) by removing "shall" and inserting "may".

PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

16. Section 52.212–1 is amended by revising the provision date and paragraph (f) to read as follows:

52.212–1 Instructions to Offerors—Commercial Items.

* * * * *

Instructions to Offerors—Commercial Items (Date)

* * * * *

(f) *Late offers.* Offers or modifications of offers received at the address specified for the receipt of offers after the exact time specified for receipt of offers are "late." Late proposals, modifications, and final revisions may be accepted by the Contracting Officer provided—

(1) The Contracting Officer extends the due date for all offerors; or

(2) The Contracting Officer determines in writing on the basis of a review of the circumstances that the lateness was caused by actions, or inactions, of the Government; or

(3) In the judgment of the Contracting Officer, the offeror demonstrates by submission of factual information that the circumstances causing the late submission were beyond the immediate control of the offeror.

* * * * *

17. Section 52.215–1 is added to read as follows:

52.215–1 Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition.

As prescribed in 15.209(a), insert the following provision:

Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition (Date)

(a) *Definitions.* (1) *Time,* if stated as a number of days, is calculated using calendar days, unless otherwise specified, and will include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. However, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, then the period shall include the next working day.

(2) *In writing or written* is any worded or numbered expression which can be read, reproduced, and later communicated, and includes electronically transmitted and stored information.

(3) *Proposal revision* is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date at the request of the Contracting Officer as the result of discussions.

(4) *Discussions* are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.

(5) *Proposal modification* is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation's closing date and time, made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

(b) *Amendments to solicitations.* If this solicitation is amended, all terms and conditions that are not amended remain unchanged. Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by the date and time specified in the amendment(s).

(c) *Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.* (1) Unless other methods (e.g., electronic commerce or facsimile) are permitted in the solicitation, proposals and modifications to proposals shall be submitted in paper media in sealed envelopes or packages (i) addressed to the office specified in the solicitation, and (ii) showing the time and date specified for receipt, the solicitation number, and the name and address of the offeror. Offerors using commercial carriers should ensure that the proposal is marked on the outermost wrapper with the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this provision.

(2) The first page of the proposal must show—

(i) The solicitation number;
(ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the offeror (and electronic address if available);

(iii) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;

(iv) Names, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation; and

(v) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

(3) Offerors are responsible for submitting proposals, and any modifications or final

revisions, to the Government office designated in the solicitation on time. Unless the solicitation states a specific time, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, at the designated Government office on the date that proposals or revisions are due. Proposals, modifications, or final revisions, that are received in the designated Government office after the time for receipt are "late." Late proposals, modifications, and final revisions may be accepted by the Contracting Officer provided—

(i) The Contracting Officer extends the due date for all offerors; or

(ii) The Contracting Officer determines in writing on the basis of a review of the circumstances that the lateness was caused by actions, or inactions, of the Government; or

(iii) In the judgment of the Contracting Officer, the offeror demonstrates by submission of factual information that the circumstances causing the late submission were beyond the immediate control of the offeror.

(4) Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the offeror may propose to provide any item or combination of items.

(5) Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in English and in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise permitted by the solicitation.

(6) Offerors may submit modifications to their proposals at any time before the solicitation closing date and time, and may submit modifications in response to an amendment, or to correct a mistake at any time before award.

(7) Offerors may submit revised proposals only if requested by the Contracting Officer.

(8) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Withdrawals are effective upon receipt of notice by the Contracting Officer.

(d) Period for acceptance of proposals.

Proposals in response to this solicitation will be valid for the number of days specified on the solicitation cover sheet (unless a different period is proposed by the offeror).

(e) *Restriction on disclosure and use of data.* Offerors that include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes, shall—

(1) Mark the title page with the following legend:

This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed—in whole or in part—for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of—or in connection with—the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets]; and

(2) Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend:

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal.

(f) *Contract award.* (1) The Government intends to award a contract or contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and subfactors in the solicitation.

(2) The Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government's interest.

(3) The Government may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.

(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except communications as described in FAR 15.406(a)). Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint.

(5) The Government reserves the right to make an award on any item for a quantity less than the quantity offered, at the unit cost or prices offered, unless the offeror specifies otherwise in the proposal.

(6) The Government reserves the right to make multiple awards if, after considering the additional administrative costs, it is in the Government's best interest to do so.

(7) Communications with offerors after receipt of a proposal do not necessarily constitute a rejection or counteroffer by the Government.

(8) The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or subline items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

(9) A written award or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.

(10) The Government may disclose the following information in postaward debriefings to other offerors:

(i) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating of the successful offeror;

(ii) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during source selection;

(iii) A summary of the rationale for award; and

(iv) For acquisitions of commercial end items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror.

(End of provision)

Alternate I (DATE). As prescribed in 15.209(a), substitute the following paragraph (f)(4) for paragraph (f)(4) of the basic provision:

(f)(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract after conducting discussions with offerors whose proposals have been determined to be within the competitive range. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint.

52.215-2 [Amended]

18. Section 52.215-2 is amended in the introductory text by removing the reference "15.106(b)" and inserting "15.209(b)".

19. Sections 52.215-3 through 52.215-8 are revised to read as follows:

52.215-3 Request for Information or Solicitation for Planning Purposes.

As prescribed in 15.209(c), insert the following provision:

Request for Information or Solicitation for Planning Purposes (Date)

(a) The Government does not intend to award a contract on the basis of this solicitation or to otherwise pay for the information solicited except as provided in subsection 31.205-18, Bid and proposal costs, of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(b) Although "proposal" and "offeror" are used in this Request for Information, your response will be treated as information only. It shall not be used as a proposal.

(c) This solicitation is issued for the purpose of: [state purpose].

(End of provision)

52.215-4 Type of Business Organization.

As prescribed in 15.209(d), insert the following provision:

Type of Business Organization (Date)

The offeror or respondent, by checking the applicable box, represents that—

(a) It operates as an individual, a partnership, a nonprofit organization, a joint venture, or a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of _____.

(b) If the offeror or respondent is a foreign entity, it operates as an individual, a partnership, a nonprofit organization, a joint venture, or a corporation, registered for business in _____ (country).

(End of provision)

52.215-5 Facsimile Proposals.

As prescribed in 15.209(e), insert the following provision:

Facsimile Proposals (Date)

(a) **Definition.** *Facsimile proposal*, as used in this provision, means a proposal, revision or modification of a proposal, or withdrawal of a proposal that is transmitted to and received by the Government via facsimile machine.

(b) Offerors may submit facsimile proposals as responses to this solicitation. Facsimile proposals are subject to the same rules as paper proposals.

(c) The telephone number of receiving facsimile equipment is: [insert telephone number].

(d) If a facsimile proposal received by the Contracting Officer is unreadable to the degree that conformance to the essential requirements of the solicitation cannot be ascertained from the document—

(1) The Contracting Officer immediately shall notify the offeror and permit the offeror to resubmit the proposal;

(2) The method and time for resubmission shall be prescribed by the Contracting Officer after consultation with the offeror; and

(3) The resubmission shall be considered as if it were received at the date and time of the original unreadable submission for the purpose of determining timeliness, provided the offeror complies with the time and format requirements for resubmission prescribed by the Contracting Officer.

(e) The Government reserves the right to make award solely on the facsimile proposal. However, if requested to do so by the Contracting Officer, the apparently successful offeror promptly shall submit the complete original signed proposal.

(End of provision)

52.215-6 Place of Performance.

As prescribed in 15.209(f), insert the following provision:

Place of Performance (Date)

(a) The offeror or respondent, in the performance of any contract resulting from this solicitation, intends, does not intend [*check applicable block*] to use one or more plants or facilities located at a different address from the address of the offeror or respondent as indicated in this proposal or quotation.

(b) If the offeror or respondent checks “intends” in paragraph (a) of this provision, it shall insert in the following spaces the required information:

Place of Performance (Street Address, City, State, Zip Code)

Name and Address of Owner and Operator of the Plant County, or Facility if Other than Offeror

(End of provision)

52.215-7 Annual Representations and Certifications—Negotiation.

As prescribed in 15.209(g), insert the following provision:

Annual Representations and Certifications—Negotiation (Date)

The offeror certifies that annual representations and certifications [*check the appropriate block*]:

(a) Dated _____ [insert date of signature on submission] that are incorporated herein by reference, have been submitted to the contracting office issuing this solicitation and that the submittal is current, accurate, and complete as of the date of this proposal, except as follows [insert changes that affect only this proposal; if “none,” so state]:

(b) Are enclosed.

(End of provision)

52.215-8 Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format.

As prescribed in 15.209(h), insert the following clause:

Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format (Date)

Any inconsistency in this solicitation or contract shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order:

(a) The Schedule (excluding the specifications).

(b) Performance requirements (including the specifications and special terms and conditions negotiated for the contract).

(c) Other documents, exhibits, and attachments.

(d) Contract clauses.

(e) Representations and other instructions.

(End of clause)

52.215-9 through 52.215-20 [Removed and Reserved]

20. Sections 52.215-9 through

52.215-20 are removed and reserved.

21. Sections 52.215-21 through
52.215-27 are revised to read as follows:

52.215-21 Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program.

As prescribed in 15.508(a), insert the following clause:

Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program (Date)

(a) The Contractor shall perform in accordance with the make-or-buy program incorporated in this contract. If the Contractor proposes to change the program, the Contractor shall, reasonably in advance of the proposed change, (1) notify the Contracting Officer in writing, and (2) submit justification in sufficient detail to permit evaluation. Changes in the place of performance of any “make” items in the program are subject to this requirement.

(b) For items deferred at the time of negotiation of this contract for later addition to the program, the Contractor shall, at the earliest possible time—

(1) Notify the Contracting Officer of each proposed addition; and

(2) Provide justification in sufficient detail to permit evaluation.

(c) Modification of the make-or-buy program to incorporate proposed changes or additions shall be effective upon the Contractor’s receipt of the Contracting Officer’s written approval.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (Date). As prescribed in 15.508(a)(1) add the following paragraph (d) to the basic clause:

(d) If the Contractor desires to reverse the categorization of “make” or “buy” for any item or items designated in the contract as subject to this paragraph, it shall—

(1) Support its proposal with cost or pricing data when permitted and necessary to support evaluation, and

(2) After approval is granted, promptly negotiate with the Contracting Officer an equitable reduction in the contract price in accordance with paragraph (k) of the Incentive Price Revision—Firm Target clause or paragraph (m) of the Incentive Price Revision—Successive Targets clause of this contract.

Alternate II (Date). As prescribed in 15.508(a)(2), add the following paragraph (d) to the basic clause:

(d) If the Contractor desires to reverse the categorization of “make” or “buy” for any item or items designated in the contract as subject to this paragraph, it shall—

(1) Support its proposal with cost or pricing data to permit evaluation; and

(2) After approval is granted, promptly negotiate with the Contracting Officer an equitable reduction in the contract’s total estimated cost and fee in accordance with paragraph (e) of the Incentive Fee clause of this contract.

52.215-22 Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data.

As prescribed in 15.508(b), insert the following clause:

Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data (Date)

(a) If any price, including profit or fee, negotiated in connection with this contract, or any cost reimbursable under this contract, was increased by any significant amount because—

(1) the Contractor or a subcontractor furnished cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data;

(2) a subcontractor or prospective subcontractor furnished the Contractor cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in the Contractor’s Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data; or

(3) any of these parties furnished data of any description that were not accurate, the price or cost shall be reduced accordingly and the contract shall be modified to reflect the reduction.

(b) Any reduction in the contract price under paragraph (a) of this clause due to defective data from a prospective subcontractor that was not subsequently awarded the subcontract shall be limited to the amount, plus applicable overhead and profit markup, by which—

(1) The actual subcontract; or

(2) The actual cost to the Contractor, if there was no subcontract, was less than the prospective subcontract cost estimate submitted by the Contractor; provided, that the actual subcontract price was not itself affected by defective cost or pricing data.

(c)(1) If the Contracting Officer determines under paragraph (a) of this clause that a price or cost reduction should be made, the Contractor agrees not to raise the following matters as a defense:

(i) The Contractor or subcontractor was a sole source supplier or otherwise was in a superior bargaining position and thus the price of the contract would not have been modified even if accurate, complete, and current cost or pricing data had been submitted.

(ii) The Contracting Officer should have known that the cost or pricing data in issue were defective even though the Contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the Contracting Officer.

(iii) The contract was based on an agreement about the total cost of the contract and there was no agreement about the cost of each item procured under the contract.

(iv) The Contractor or subcontractor did not submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(2)(i) Except as prohibited by subdivision (c)(2)(ii) of this clause, an offset in an amount determined appropriate by the Contracting Officer based upon the facts shall be allowed against the amount of a contract price reduction if—

(A) The Contractor certifies to the Contracting Officer that, to the best of the Contractor's knowledge and belief, the Contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and

(B) The Contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the date of agreement on the price of the contract (or price of the modification), or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties, and that the data were not submitted before such date.

(ii) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated when the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data was signed; or

(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the date of agreement on price or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties.

(d) If any reduction in the contract price under this clause reduces the price of items for which payment was made prior to the date of the modification reflecting the price reduction, the Contractor shall be liable to and shall pay the United States at the time such overpayment is repaid—

(1) Simple interest on the amount of such overpayment to be computed from the date(s) of overpayment to the Contractor to the date the Government is repaid by the Contractor at the applicable underpayment rate effective for each quarter prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2); and

(2) A penalty equal to the amount of the overpayment, if the Contractor or

subcontractor knowingly submitted cost or pricing data that were incomplete, inaccurate, or noncurrent.

(End of clause)

52.215-23 Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

As prescribed in 15.508(c), insert the following clause:

Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Date)

(a) This clause shall become operative only for any modification to this contract involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, except that this clause does not apply to any modification if an exception under FAR 15.503-1 applies.

(b) If any price, including profit or fee, negotiated in connection with any modification under this clause, or any cost reimbursable under this contract, was increased by any significant amount because (1) the Contractor or a subcontractor furnished cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, (2) a subcontractor or prospective subcontractor furnished the Contractor cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in the Contractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or (3) any of these parties furnished data of any description that were not accurate, the price or cost shall be reduced accordingly and the contract shall be modified to reflect the reduction. This right to a price reduction is limited to that resulting from defects in data relating to modifications for which this clause becomes operative under paragraph (a) of this clause.

(c) Any reduction in the contract price under paragraph (b) of this clause due to defective data from a prospective subcontractor that was not subsequently awarded the subcontract shall be limited to the amount, plus applicable overhead and profit markup, by which—

(1) The actual subcontract; or

(2) The actual cost to the Contractor, if there was no subcontract, was less than the prospective subcontract cost estimate submitted by the Contractor; provided, that the actual subcontract price was not itself affected by defective cost or pricing data.

(d)(1) If the Contracting Officer determines under paragraph (b) of this clause that a price or cost reduction should be made, the Contractor agrees not to raise the following matters as a defense:

(i) The Contractor or subcontractor was a sole source supplier or otherwise was in a superior bargaining position and thus the price of the contract would not have been modified even if accurate, complete, and current cost or pricing data had been submitted.

(ii) The Contracting Officer should have known that the cost or pricing data in issue were defective even though the Contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the Contracting Officer.

(iii) The contract was based on an agreement about the total cost of the contract

and there was no agreement about the cost of each item procured under the contract.

(iv) The Contractor or subcontractor did not submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(2)(i) Except as prohibited by subdivision (d)(2)(ii) of this clause, an offset in an amount determined appropriate by the Contracting Officer based upon the facts shall be allowed against the amount of a contract price reduction if—

(A) The Contractor certifies to the Contracting Officer that, to the best of the Contractor's knowledge and belief, the Contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and

(B) The Contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the date of agreement on the price of the contract (or price of the modification), or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties, and that the data were not submitted before such date.

(ii) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated when the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data was signed; or

(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the date of agreement on price, or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties.

(e) If any reduction in the contract price under this clause reduces the price of items for which payment was made prior to the date of the modification reflecting the price reduction, the Contractor shall be liable to and shall pay the United States at the time such overpayment is repaid—

(1) Simple interest on the amount of such overpayment to be computed from the date(s) of overpayment to the Contractor to the date the Government is repaid by the Contractor at the applicable underpayment rate effective for each quarter prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2); and

(2) A penalty equal to the amount of the overpayment, if the Contractor or subcontractor knowingly submitted cost or pricing data that were incomplete, inaccurate, or noncurrent.

(End of clause)

52.215-24 Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data.

As prescribed in 15.508(d), insert the following clause:

Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data (Date)

(a) Before awarding any subcontract expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later; or before pricing any subcontract modification involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.503-1 applies.

(b) The Contractor shall require the subcontractor to certify in substantially the

form prescribed in FAR 15.506-2 that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the data submitted under paragraph (a) of this clause were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on the negotiated price of the subcontract or subcontract modification.

(c) In each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, when entered into, the Contractor shall insert either—

(1) The substance of this clause, including this paragraph (c), if paragraph (a) of this clause requires submission of cost or pricing data for the subcontract; or

(2) The substance of the clause at FAR 52.215-25, Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

(End of clause)

52.215-25 Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

As prescribed in 15.508(e), insert the following clause:

Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Date)

(a) The requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this clause shall—

(1) Become operative only for any modification to this contract involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4; and

(2) Be limited to such modifications.

(b) Before awarding any subcontract expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later; or before pricing any subcontract modification involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.503-1 applies.

(c) The Contractor shall require the subcontractor to certify in substantially the form prescribed in FAR 15.506-2 that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the data submitted under paragraph (b) of this clause were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on the negotiated price of the subcontract or subcontract modification.

(d) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (d), in each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.503-4 on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later.

(End of clause)

52.215-26 Integrity of Unit Prices.

As prescribed in 15.508(f), insert the following clause:

Integrity of Unit Prices (Date)

(a) Any proposal submitted for the negotiation of prices for items of supplies shall distribute costs within contracts on a basis that ensures that unit prices are in proportion to the items' base cost (e.g.,

manufacturing or acquisition costs). Any method of distributing costs to line items that distorts unit prices shall not be used. For example, distributing costs equally among line items is not acceptable except when there is little or no variation in base cost. Nothing in this paragraph requires submission of cost or pricing data not otherwise required by law or regulation.

(b) When requested by the Contracting Officer, the Offeror/Contractor shall also identify those supplies that it will not manufacture or to which it will not contribute significant value.

(c) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause, less paragraph (b), in all subcontracts for other than: acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold; construction or architect-engineer services under FAR Part 36; utility services under FAR Part 41; services where supplies are not required; commercial items; and petroleum products. (End of clause)

Alternate I (Date). As prescribed in 15.508(f), substitute the following paragraph (b) for paragraph (b) of the basic clause:

(b) The Offeror/Contractor shall also identify those supplies that it will not manufacture or to which it will not contribute significant value.

52.215-27 Termination of Defined Benefit Pension Plans.

As prescribed in 15.508(g), insert the following clause:

Termination of Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Date)

The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing when it determines that it will terminate a defined benefit pension plan or otherwise recapture such pension fund assets. If pension fund assets revert to the Contractor or are constructively received by it under a termination or otherwise, the Contractor shall make a refund or give a credit to the Government for its equitable share as required by FAR 31.205-6(j)(4). The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirement of FAR 15.508(c).

(End of clause)

52.215-30 [Amended]

22. Section 52.215-30 is amended in the introductory text by removing the reference "15.904(a)" and inserting "15.508(h)".

52.215-31 [Amended]

23. Section 52.215-31 is amended in the introductory text by removing the reference "15.904(b)" and inserting "15.508(i)".

24. Sections 52.215-39 through 52.215-42 are revised to read as follows:

52.215-39 Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions.

As prescribed in 15.508(j), insert the following clause:

Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions (Date)

The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing when it determines that it will terminate or reduce a PRB plan. If PRB fund assets revert, or inure, to the Contractor or are constructively received by it under a plan termination or otherwise, the Contractor shall make a refund or give a credit to the Government for its equitable share as required by FAR 31.205-6(o)(6). The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirements of FAR 15.508(c). The resulting adjustment to prior years' PRB costs will be determined and applied in accordance with FAR 31.205-6(o). (End of clause)

52.215-40 Notification of Ownership Changes.

As prescribed in 15.508(k), insert the following clause:

Notification of Ownership Changes (Date)

(a) The Contractor shall make the following notifications in writing:

(1) When the Contractor becomes aware that a change in its ownership has occurred, or is certain to occur, that could result in changes in the valuation of its capitalized assets in the accounting records, the Contractor shall notify the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) within 30 days.

(2) The Contractor shall also notify the ACO within 30 days whenever changes to asset valuations or any other cost changes have occurred or are certain to occur as a result of a change in ownership.

(b) The Contractor shall—

(1) Maintain current, accurate, and complete inventory records of assets and their costs;

(2) Provide the ACO or designated representative ready access to the records upon request;

(3) Ensure that all individual and grouped assets, their capitalized values, accumulated depreciation or amortization, and remaining useful lives are identified accurately before and after each of the Contractor's ownership changes; and

(4) Retain and continue to maintain depreciation and amortization schedules based on the asset records maintained before each Contractor ownership change.

(c) The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirement of FAR 15.508(k). (End of clause)

52.215-41 Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data.

As prescribed in 15.508(l), insert the following provision:

Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data (Date)

(a) *Exceptions from cost or pricing data.* (1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, offerors may submit a written request for

exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, but only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable.

(i) *Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.* If the price is controlled under law by periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, attach a copy of the controlling document, unless it was previously submitted to the contracting office.

(ii) For a commercial item exception, the offeror shall submit, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold in the commercial market that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for this acquisition. Such information may include—

(A) For catalog items, a copy of or identification of the catalog and its date, or the appropriate pages for the offered items, or a statement that the catalog is on file in the buying office to which the proposal is being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists (published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller. Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in quantities similar to the proposed quantities;

(B) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition, describe the nature of the market;

(C) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service or Information Technology Service Multiple Award Schedule contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.

(2) The offeror grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this provision, and the reasonableness of price. Access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant solely to the offeror's determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or marketplace.

(b) *Requirements for cost or pricing data.* If the offeror is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data, the following applies:

(1) The offeror shall prepare and submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in accordance with FAR Table 15-2.

(2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before contract award (except for unpriced actions such as letter contracts), the offeror shall submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, as prescribed by FAR 15.506-2.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(l), substitute the following paragraph (b)(1) for paragraph (b)(1) of the basic provision:

(b)(1) The offeror shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in the following format:

Alternate II (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(l), add the following paragraph (c) to the basic provision:

(c) When the proposal is submitted, also submit one copy each to: (1) The Administrative Contracting Officer, and (2) the Contract Auditor.

Alternate III (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(l), add the following paragraph (c) to the basic provision (if Alternate II is also used, redesignate as paragraph (d)).

(c) Submit the cost portion of the proposal via the following electronic media: [Insert media format, e.g., electronic spreadsheet format, electronic mail, etc.]

Alternate IV (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(l), replace the text of the basic provision with the following:

(a) Submission of cost or pricing data is not required.

(b) Provide information described below: [Insert description of the information and the format that are required, including access to records necessary to permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price in accordance with 15.503-3.]

52.215-42 Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

As prescribed in 15.508(m), insert the following clause:

Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Date)

(a) *Exceptions from cost or pricing data.* (1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data for modifications under this contract, for price adjustments expected to exceed the threshold set forth at FAR 15.503-4 on the date of the agreement on price or the date of the award, whichever is later, the Contractor may submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, but only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable—

(i) *Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.* If the price is controlled under law by periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, attach a copy of the controlling document, unless it was previously submitted to the contracting office.

(ii) *Information on modifications of contracts or subcontracts for commercial items.* (A) If—

(1) The original contract or subcontract was granted an exception from cost or pricing data requirements because the price agreed upon was based on adequate price competition or prices set by law or regulation, or was a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of a commercial item; and

(2) The modification (to the contract or subcontract) is not exempted based on one of these exceptions, then the Contractor may provide information to establish that the modification would not change the contract or subcontract from a contract or subcontract

for the acquisition of a commercial item to a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of an item other than a commercial item.

(B) For a commercial item exception, the Contractor shall provide, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price of the modification. Such information may include—

(1) For catalog items, a copy of or identification of the catalog and its date, or the appropriate pages for the offered items, or a statement that the catalog is on file in the buying office to which the proposal is being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists (published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller. Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in quantities similar to the proposed quantities.

(2) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition, describe the nature of the market.

(3) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service or Information Technology Service Multiple Award Schedule contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.

(2) The Contractor grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this clause, and the reasonableness of price. Access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant solely to the Contractor's determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or marketplace.

(b) *Requirements for cost or pricing data.* If the Contractor is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data, the following applies:

(1) The Contractor shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in accordance with FAR Table 15-2.

(2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before award (except for unpriced actions), the Contractor shall submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, as prescribed by FAR 15.506-2.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(m), substitute the following paragraph (b)(1) for paragraph (b)(1) of the basic clause.

(b)(1) The Contractor shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments prepared in the following format:

Alternate II (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(m), add the following paragraph (c) to the basic clause:

(c) When the proposal is submitted, also submit one copy each to: (1) The Administrative Contracting Officer, and (2) the Contract Auditor.

Alternate III (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(m), add the following paragraph (c) to the basic clause (if Alternate II is also used, redesignate as paragraph (d)):

(c) Submit the cost portion of the proposal via the following electronic media: [Insert media format]

Alternate IV (DATE). As prescribed in 15.508(m), replace the text of the basic clause with the following:

(a) Submission of cost or pricing data is not required.

(b) Provide information described below: [Insert description of the information and the format that are required, including access to records necessary to permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price in accordance with 15.503-3.]

25. Section 52.236-28 is added to read as follows:

52.236-28 Preparation of Proposals—Construction.

As prescribed in 36.520, insert the following provision:

Preparation of Proposals—Construction (Date)

(a) Proposals must be (1) submitted on the forms furnished by the Government or on copies of those forms, and (2) manually signed. The person signing a proposal must initial each erasure or change appearing on any proposal form.

(b) The proposal form may require offerors to submit proposed prices for one or more items on various bases, including—

- (1) Lump sum price;
- (2) Alternate prices;
- (3) Units of construction; or
- (4) Any combination of paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(3) of this provision.

(c) If the solicitation requires submission of a proposal on all items, failure to do so may result in the proposal being rejected without further consideration. If a proposal on all items is not required, offerors should insert the words "no proposal" in the space provided for any item on which no price is submitted.

(d) Alternate proposals will not be considered unless this solicitation authorizes their submission.

(End of provision)

PART 53—FORMS

26. Section 53.213 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

53.213 Simplified acquisition procedures (SFs 18, 30, 44, 1165, and 1449, and OFs 336, 347 and 348).

* * * * *

(a) *SF 18 (REV. 6/95), Request for Quotations, or SF 1449 (10/95 Ed.), Solicitation/Contract/Order for Commercial Items.* SF 18 is prescribed for use in obtaining price, cost, delivery, and related information from suppliers as specified in 13.107. SF 1449, as prescribed in 53.212, or other agency forms/automated formats, may also be used to obtain price, cost, delivery, and related information from suppliers as specified in 13.107.

* * * * *

27. Section 53.214 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a) and the first sentence of paragraph (d) to read as follows:

53.214 Sealed bidding.

* * * * *

(a) *SF 26, Award/Contract.* SF 26 is prescribed for use in awarding sealed bid contracts for supplies or services in which bids were obtained on SF 33, Solicitation, Offer, and Award, as specified in 14.408-1(d)(1). * * *

* * * * *

(d) *SF 1447 (5/88), Solicitation/Contract.* SF 1447 is prescribed for use in soliciting supplies or services and for awarding contracts that result from the bids. * * *

28. Section 53.215-1 is revised to read as follows:

53.215-1 Solicitation and receipt of proposals and quotations.

The following forms are prescribed, as stated in the following paragraphs, for use in contracting by negotiation (except for construction, architect-engineer services, or acquisitions made using simplified acquisition procedures):

(a) *SF 26 (REV. 4/85), Award/Contract.* SF 26, prescribed in 53.214(a), may be used in entering into negotiated contracts in which the signature of both parties on a single document is appropriate, as specified in 15.609(b).

(b) *SF 30 (REV. 10/83), Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract.* SF 30, prescribed in 53.243, may be used for amending requests for proposals and for amending requests for information, as specified in 15.210(c).

(c) *SF 33 (REV. 4/85), Solicitation, Offer, and Award.* SF 33, prescribed in 53.214(c), may be used in connection with the solicitation and award of negotiated contracts. Award of such contracts may be made by either OF 307, SF 33, or SF 26, as specified in 53.214(c) and 15.609 (a) and (b).

(d) *OF 17 (REV. 12/93), Offer Label.* OF 17 may be furnished with each request for proposals to facilitate identification and handling of proposals, as specified in 15.210(d).

(e) *OF 307 (XX/97), Contract Award.* OF 307 may be used to award negotiated contracts as specified in 15.609(a).

(f) *OF 308 (XX/97), Solicitation and Offer-Negotiated Acquisition.* OF 308 may be used to support solicitation of negotiated contracts as specified in 15.210(a). Award of such contracts may be made by OF 307, as specified in 15.609(a).

(g) *OF 309 (XX/97), Amendment of Solicitation.* OF 309 may be used to amend solicitations of negotiated contracts, as specified in 15.210(b).

29. Section 53.243 is amended by revising the introductory paragraph to read as follows:

53.243 Contract modifications (SF 30).

SF 30 (REV 10/83), Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract. SF 30 is prescribed for use in—

(a) Amending invitation for bids, as specified in 14.208;

(b) Modifying purchase and delivery orders, as specified in 13.503(b); and

(c) Modifying contracts, as specified in 42.1203(f), 43.301, 49.602-5, and elsewhere in this chapter. The form may also be used to amend solicitations for negotiated contracts, as specified in 15.210(c). Pending the publication of a new edition of the form, Instruction (b), Item 3 (effective date), is revised in paragraphs (3) and (5) as follows:

* * * * *

30. Sections 53.302-307 through 53.302-309 are added to read as follows:

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-U

53.302-307 Optional Form 307, Contract Award.

CONTRACT AWARD				PAGE	OF	PAGES
1. CONTRACT NUMBER	2. EFFECTIVE DATE	3. SOLICITATION NUMBER	4. REQUISITION/PROJECT NUMBER			
5. ISSUED BY	CODE	6. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 5)		CODE		
7. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	CODE	8. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY				
9. DUNS NUMBER		10. SUBMIT INVOICES (4 copies unless otherwise specified) TO				
		<input type="checkbox"/> ITEM 5	<input type="checkbox"/> ITEM 6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ITEM 8	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
11. TABLE OF CONTENTS						
(X) SEC.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(S)	(X) SEC.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(S)	
PART I - THE SCHEDULE						
A	SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM		I	CONTRACT CLAUSES		
B	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS		J	LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACH.		
C	DESCRIPTION/SPECS./WORK STATEMENT		K	REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS		
D	PACKAGING AND MARKING		L	INSTRS., CONDS., AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS		
E	INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE		M	EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD		
F	DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE					
G	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA					
H	SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS					
12. BRIEF DESCRIPTION						
13. TOTAL AMOUNT OF CONTRACT						
14. CONTRACTOR'S AGREEMENT. Contractor agrees to furnish and deliver the items or perform services to the extent stated in this document for the consideration stated. The rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be subject to and governed by this document and any documents attached or incorporated by reference.						
<input type="checkbox"/> a. CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT AND RETURN FOUR COPIES TO THE ISSUING OFFICE. (Check if applicable)						
b. SIGNATURE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN						
c. NAME OF SIGNER						
d. TITLE OF SIGNER						
e. DATE						
15. AWARD. The Government hereby accepts your offer on the solicitation identified in item 3 above as reflected in this award document. The rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be subject to and governed by this document and any documents attached or incorporated by reference.						
a. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)						
b. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER						
c. DATE						

OPTIONAL FORM 307 (REV.)

53.302–308 Optional Form 308, Solicitation and Offer—Negotiated Acquisition.

OPTIONAL FORM 308 ()

53.302-309 Optional Form 309, Amendment of Solicitation.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION (Negotiated Procurements)			PAGE	OF	PAGES
<p>NOTICE: Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment in writing, by the date and time specified for proposal submissions or the date and time specified in Block 6, whichever is later. IF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS NOT RECEIVED AT THE DESIGNATED LOCATION BY THE SPECIFIED DATE AND TIME, YOUR OFFER MAY BE REJECTED. If, by virtue of this amendment, you wish to change your offer, such change must make reference to the solicitation and this amendment and be received prior to the date and time specified in Block 6.</p>					
I. AMENDMENT					
1. SOLICITATION NUMBER	2. SOLICITATION DATE	3. AMENDMENT NUMBER	4. AMENDMENT DATE		
5. ISSUED BY		6. DUE DATE			
		THIS AMENDMENT DOES NOT CHANGE THE DATE BY WHICH OFFERS ARE DUE UNLESS A DATE AND TIME IS INSERTED BELOW.			
		a. DATE	b. TIME		
7. FOR INFORMATION, CALL (No collect calls).					
a. NAME	c. TELEPHONE		d. E-MAIL ADDRESS		
	AREA CODE	PHONE NUMBER			
8. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT					

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

II. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENT

In lieu of other written methods of acknowledgment, the offeror may complete Blocks 9 and 10 and return this amendment to the address in Block 5.

9. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR	10a. OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)
	10b. NAME OF SIGNER
	10c. TITLE OF SIGNER
	10d. DATE

OPTIONAL FORM 309 II

Chapter 1—[Amended]

31. In the following table, revise the references as follows:

Location	Remove	Insert
1.106	15.106	15.209.
1.106	15.404	15.2.
1.106	52.215-6	52.215-4.
1.106	52.215-11	52.215-1(c)(2)(iv).
1.106	52.215-19	52.215-1(d).
1.106	52.215-20	52.215-6.
2.1 (definition of "offer")	15.5	15.3.
15.104-3	52.215-12	52.215-1.
3.104-5(b)(1)	15.411	15.207.
3.104-5(b)(1)	52.215-12	52.215-1.
3.501-2(c)	15.804-6(f)	Table 15-2 of 15.508, (c)(8).
3.501-2(c)	15.803(d)	15.503-1(c)(i)(B).
5.204	15.404	15.201.
5.303(b)(2)	15.1002(e)	15.603(b).
6.302-1(d)(2)	"(See 15.402(g))"	
7.105(a)(3)(iii)	15.810	15.507-4.
7.105(b)(3)	15.6	15.4.
7.105(b)(11)	15.7	15.507-2.
7.306(b)	15.6	15.4.
9.306(j)	15.814	15.504-1(g).
12.206	15.6	15.4.
12.209	15.8	15.5.
12.301(c)(2)	15.6	15.4.
12.503(c)(2)	15.804	15.503.
12.504(a)(9)	"(See subpart 15.1)"	
12.504(c)(2)	15.8	15.5.
12.602(b)	15.6	15.4.
13.106-2(c)(3)	15.1003(b)(2)	15.805.
13.108(a)	15.402(e)	Delete "(see 15.402(e))".
14.103-1(d)	15.804-1	15.503-4(a)(1)(iii).
14.105	15.405	15.201(e).
14.201-7(a)	15.804-2(a)(1)	15.503-4(a)(1).
14.201-7(b)(1)	15.804-2(a)(1)	15.503-4(a)(1).
14.201-7(c)(1)	15.804-2(a)(1)	15.503-4(a)(1).
14.207	15.409	15.201.
14.404-2(g)	15.814	15.504-1(g).
14.408(a)	15.805-2	15.504-1(b).
14.408(b)	15.814	15.504-1(g).
14.503-1(c)(2)	15.413	52.215-1(e).
14.503-1(g)	15.1005 and 15.1006	15.605 and 15.606.
14.503-1(h)	15.412	15.208 (b) and (c).
16.104(b)	15.805-2	15.504-1(b).
16.301(a)(3)	15.903(d)	15.504-4(c)(4)(i).
16.306(c)(2)	15.903(d)	15.504-4(c)(4)(i).
16.505(b)(3)	15.804-1(b)(1)	15.503-1(c)(i).
16.603-2(c)	15.8	15.5.
17.106-1(c)	15.804-6	Table 15-2 of 15.508, (c)(8).
19.202-6(a)	15.805-2	15.502.
19.302(d)(1)	15.1002(b)(2)	15.603(b)(2).
19.501(h)(1)	15.1003(a)(2)	15.803(a)(2).
19.501(h)(2)	15.1003(a)(2)	15.803(a)(2).
19.806(a)	15.8	15.5.
24.202(b)	15.804-5(b)	15.503-3(c)(3).
25.405	15.1003	15.803.
25.901(b)	15.106-1(b)	15.209(b).
27.204-1(b)	15.804	15.503.
27.204-2	15.804	15.503.
27.407(a)	15.4 or 15.5	15.2 or 15.3.
27.407(b)	15.4 or 15.5	15.2 or 15.3.
28.101-4(b)	15.610(a)	15.406(a)(2).
31.000	15.805-3	15.504-1(c).
31.103(a)	15.805-3	15.504-1(c).
31.105(b)	15.805-3	15.504-1(c).
31.106-1	15.805-3	15.504-1(c).
31.109(g)	15.808	15.506-3.
31.204(b)	15.805-3	15.504-1(c).
31.205(j)(4)	15.804	15.503-4.
31.205-18 (c)(1)(i)(A)	15.804	15.503-4.
31.205-18 (c)(1)(i)(B)	15.804	15.503-4.
31.205-26(e)	15.804-1	15.503-1(b).

Location	Remove	Insert
31.205–42(c)	15.804–6(f)	
32.204	15.606	15.206.
33.103(f)(3)	15.1004	“15.605 or 15.606”.
33.104(c)(1)	15.1004	“15.605 or 15.606”.
33.207(d)	15.804–2(a)(1)(iii)	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii).
34.005–2(a)(2)	15.404	15.201.
35.007(d)	“(see 15.406–5(b))”	
35.007(e)	15.605(e)	15.404.
35.007(g)	15.409	15.201.
35.007(i)	15.5	15.3.
35.008(d)	15.10	15.6.
35.008	15.805	15.504–1(c).
36.212(b)(1)	15.804–1 and 15.804–2	15.503–2.
36.215	15.903(d)(1)(iii)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).
36.303–2	15.605	15.404.
36.402(b)(1)	15.804–1 and 15.804–2	15.503–2.
36.403(c)	15.903(d)(1)(iii)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).
36.606	15.903(d)(1)(iii)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).
36.607(b)	15.1004, 15.006(b) through (f), 15.1007, and 15.1006.	15.604, 15.606(b) through (f) 15.607, and 15.606
42.302(a)(4)	15.8	15.5.
42.302(a)(5)	15.809	15.507–3.
42.705–1(b)(2)	15.805–5(e).	
42.705–2(b)(2)(iii)	15.805–5(e).	
43.204(b)(4)	15.805	15.504–1(c).
44.202–2(a)(1)	15.7	15.507–2.
44.305–3(a)(1)	15.804	15.503.
45.103(b)(1)	15.804–1	15.503–2.
45.106(b)(2)(i)	15.804–1	15.503–2.
45.302–2(e)	15.9	15.504–4.
49.105(c)(15)	15.804–(h) and 15.804	15.503–4(a)(1) and 15.503–4.
49.110(a)	15.808(a) and 15.808(b)	15.506–3 for both.
50.203(c)	15.103	14.104–1(f).
52.212–2(a)(iii)	15.605	15.404.
52.214–26(e)	15.804–2(a)(1)	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii).
52.214–27(a)	15.804–2(a)(1) and 15.804–1	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii) and 15.503–1(b).
52.214–28(a)	15.804–2 (a) (1) and (2)	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii).
52.214–28(b)	15.804–2(a)(1) and 15.804–1	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii) and 15.503–1(b).
52.214–28(c)	15.804–4	15.506–2.
52.214–28(d)	15.804–2(a)(1)	15.503–4(a)(1)(iii).
52.214–34	“15.407(l)”	
52.214–35	“15.407(m)”	
52.244–1(g)	15.903(d)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).
52.244–2	15.903(d)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).
52.244–3(b)	15.903(d)	15.504–4(c)(4)(i).

[FR Doc. 97-12337 Filed 5-9-97; 3:27 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-U