currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7315.11.00 through 7619.90.00. HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

# **Clarification of Cash Deposit Instructions**

Since final results for a more current review period, April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995, were also published on December 4, 1996, the cash deposit instructions contained in that notice (61 FR 64322) supersede the cash deposit instructions contained in the December 4, 1996, final results for the reviews covering April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994 (61 FR 64328) and will apply to all shipments of subject merchandise to the United States entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 4. 1996. The dumping margins resulting from the April 1, 1992 though March 31, 1993 POR and the April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994 POR will have no effect on the cash deposit rate for any firm. The results of the 1993–1994 review will be used for liquidation of shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994 POR only. The results of the 1992–1993 review will be used for liquidation of shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993 PÔR only.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated: May 13, 1997.

# Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–13811 Filed 5–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [C-533-083]

# Notice of Court Decision: Certain Iron Metal Castings From India

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration/Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of court decision.

**SUMMARY:** On May 7, 1997, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the International Trade

Administration's remand determination regarding the application of Item (d) of the Illustrative List of Export Subsidies (Annex I of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures) to the Indian Government's International Price Reimbursement Scheme.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 27, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Herring or Robert Copyak, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2786 or (202) 482– 2209

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

In the 1985 administrative review of Certain Iron-Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 55 FR 50747 (December 10, 1990), the Department had interpreted Item (d) of the Illustrative List of Exports Subsidies as requiring that under the Indian Government's International Price Reimbursement Scheme (IPRS), ocean freight be included in the determination of the international price of pig iron. Under the IPRS program, the Indian Government rebates to castings exporters the difference between the price of domestically-sourced pig iron and the international price. However, in Creswell Trading Co. v. United States, Slip Op. 96–137 (CIT Aug. 15, 1996), the court again remanded the final results of the 1985 review and, among other things, directed the Department to exclude ocean freight in determining the international price of pig iron. The Department's subsequent remand determination reflected the Court's instructions and was affirmed in Creswell Trading Co. v. United States, Slip Op. 97–54 (CIT May 7, 1997).

In its decision in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(e) the Department must publish a notice of a court decision which is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's opinion in Creswell Trading Co. v. *United States*, Slip Op. 97–54 (CIT May 7, 1997), constitutes a decision not in harmony with the Department's final results of countervailing duty administrative review. Publication of this notice fulfills the Timken requirement.

Accordingly, the Department will continue to suspend liquidation pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or, if appealed, upon a "conclusive" court decision.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

## Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–13809 Filed 5–23–97; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[C-559-001]

Certain Refrigeration Compressors From the Republic of Singapore; Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration/Import Administration/ Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for its preliminary results in the administrative review of the agreement suspending the countervailing duty investigation on certain refrigeration compressors from the Republic of Singapore. The review covers the period April 1, 1995, through March 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 27, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Bolling or Jean Kemp, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results to no later than December 2, 1997, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). (See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the Department of Commerce).

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the URAA (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: May 20, 1997.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 97–13812 Filed 5–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Minority Business Development Agency

# Notice: Solicitation of Business Development Center Applications

AGENCY: Minority Business Development Agency, Commerce. ACTION: Notice; solicitation of business development center applications for Atlanta, Chicago I, Chicago II, Austin, Manhattan/Bronx, Nassau/Suffolk, Queens, Orange County, Phoenix and Las Vegas.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Executive Order 11625 and 15 U.S.C. 1512, the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) is soliciting competitive applications from organizations to operate the Minority Business Development Centers (MBDC) listed in this document.

The purpose of the MBDC Program is to provide business development assistance to persons who are members of groups determined by MBDA to be socially or economically disadvantaged, and to business concerns owned and controlled by such individuals. To this end, MBDA funds organizations to identify and coordinate public and private sector resources on behalf of minority individuals and firms; to offer a full range of client services to minority entrepreneurs; and to serve as a conduit of information and assistance regarding minority business.

In accordance with the Interim Final Policy published in the Federal Register on May 31, 1996, the cost-share requirement for the MBDCs listed in this notice has been increased to 40%. The Department of Commerce will fund up to 60% of the total cost of operating an MBDC on an annual basis. The MBDC operator is required to contribute at least 40% of the total project cost (the "cost-share requirement"). Cost-sharing contributions may be in the form of cash, client fees, third party in-kind contributions, non-cash applicant contributions or combinations thereof. In addition to the traditional sources of an MBDC's cost-share contribution, the 40% may be contributed by local, state and private sector organizations. It is anticipated that some organizations may apply jointly for an award to operate the

center. For administrative purposes, one

organization must be designated as the recipient organization.

ADDRESSES: Completed application packages should be submitted to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Minority Business Development Agency, MBDA Executive Secretariat, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5073, Washington, D.C. 20230.

**DATES:** The closing date for applications for each MBDC is July 7, 1997.

PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE: A pre-application conference will be held for each MBDC. Contact the appropriate regional office for further information.

Proper identification is required for entrance into any federal building. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following are MBDCs for which applications are solicited: Atlanta; Chicago I, Chicago II, Austin, Manhattan/Bronx, Nassau/Suffolk, Queens, Orange County, Phoenix, and Las Vegas:

 MBDC Application: Atlanta. Metropolitan Area Serviced: Atlanta, Georgia.

Award Number: 04–10–97007–01. Pre-Application Conference: For the exact date, time and place, contact the Atlanta Regional Office at (404) 730–3300.

For Further Information and an Application Package, Contact: Robert Henderson, Regional Director.

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from October 1, 1997 to October 31, 1998, is estimated at \$471,927. The total Federal amount is \$283,156 and is composed of \$276,250 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$6,906. The application must include a minimum cost share of 40%, \$188,771 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$471,927.

 MBDC Application: Chicago I, South. Metropolitan Area Serviced: Chicago, Illinois.

Award Number: 05–10–97001–01
Pre-Application Conference: For the exact date, time and location, contact the Chicago Regional Office at (312) 353–0182.

For Further Information and an Application Package, Contact: David Vega, Regional Director. The boundaries for Chicago I, South are designated as follows:

• Northern Boundary: bounds on the north by the City of Chicago dividing line of Madison Street.

• Southern Boundary: bounds south by the City of Chicago dividing line of Madison Street Southwest to Harlem Avenue (Route 43); south to Interstate 55 (Stevenson Expressway) Southwest to Route 80; including Grundy and Will Counties but excluding Kankakee, Livingston and LaSalle Counties.

- Eastern Boundary: bounds on the east by Lake Michigan and the Indiana State Line.
- Western Boundary: bounds on the west by (Route 39); excluding Boone County.

Cities within this geographic area include, but are not limited to: Oak Lawn, Cicero, Summit, Bridgeview, Evergreen Park, Blue Island, Calumet City, Mokena, Harvey, Chicago Heights and Joliet, Illinois.

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from October 1, 1997 to October 31, 1998, is estimated at \$460,834. The total Federal amount is \$276,500 and is composed of \$269,756 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$6,744. The application must include a minimum cost share of 40%, \$184,334 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$460,834.

3. MBDC Application: Chicago II, North. Metropolitan Area Serviced: Chicago, Illinois.

Award Number: 05–10–97001–02. Pre-Application Conference: For the exact date, time and location, contact the Chicago Regional Office at (312) 353–0182.

For Further Information and an Application Package, Contact: David Vega, Regional Director. The boundaries for Chicago II, North are designated as follows:

- Northern Boundary: bounds on the north by the Wisconsin State Line.
- Southern Boundary: bounds on the south by the City of Chicago dividing line of Madison Street then Southwest on Harlem Avenue (Route 43); South to Route 55 (Stevenson Expressway); Southwest to Interstate 80; excluding Lasalle County.
- Western Boundary: bounds on the west by Interstate 39; excluding Boone County.
- Eastern Boundary: bounds on the east by Lake Michigan and the Indiana State Line.

Cities within this geographic area include, but are not limited to: Maywood, Brookfield, Oak Park, Bolingbrook, Bellwood, DesPlaines, Palatine, Skokie, Waukegan and Elgin, Illinois.

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from October 1, 1997 to October 31, 1998, is estimated at \$460,834. The total Federal amount is \$276,500 and is composed of \$269,756 plus the Audit