#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration** 

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-174-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Fokker F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time visual inspection of the rear cargo door and luggage auxiliary structure for corrosion, repetitive borescope inspections of the rear cargo door, and removal and repair of any corrosion found during the inspections. This proposal would also require the drilling of drain holes and application of a corrosion preventive and sealing compound inside the rear cargo door, and modification of the rear cargo door to aid in future routine borescope inspections. This proposal is prompted by reports of corrosion being found in the affected areas on several of the affected airplanes. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such corrosion, which could result in structural failure of the cargo door and loss of the door during flight, and consequent rapid decompression, aerodynamic instability, and/or damage to other fuselage structures.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96-NM-174-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Dulin, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2141; fax (425) 227–1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 96–NM–174–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–174–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

## Discussion

The Rijksluchtvaartdienst (RLD), which is the airworthiness authority for the Netherlands, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Fokker F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. The RLD advises that corrosion has been found inside the rear cargo door during the replacement of the door hinge on several of the affected airplanes. In one instance, corrosion was so severe that a number of parts required replacement. The location of the rear cargo door is such that toilet fluids may enter the door, and

the insulation blankets may absorb these fluids, which could cause a continuous corrosive environment inside the door. This condition, if not detected and corrected in a timely manner, could result in structural failure of the cargo door and loss of the door during flight, which could result in rapid decompression, aerodynamic instability, and/or damage to other fuselage structures.

## **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Fokker has issued Service Bulletin F28–52–111, dated March 12, 1994, which describes procedures for the following:

- —A one-time visual inspection of the rear cargo door and auxiliary structure for corrosion:
- Removal and repair of any corrosion;
  Drilling drain holes and applying a corrosion preventive and sealing compound inside the rear cargo door; and
- Modification of the rear cargo door to provide inspection holes for borescope inspections.

The RLD classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Dutch airworthiness directive BLA No. 1995–126 (A), dated November 30, 1995, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the Netherlands.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in the Netherlands and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the RLD has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the RLD, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

# Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require a one-time visual inspection of the rear cargo door and luggage auxiliary structure for corrosion, repetitive borescope inspections of the rear cargo door, and removal and repair of any corrosion found during the inspections.

This proposed AD would also require the drilling of drain holes and application of a corrosion preventive and sealing compound inside the rear cargo door, and modification of the rear cargo door to aid in the future routine borescope inspections. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously, except for the repetitive borescope inspections and follow-on actions, which would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the F28 Maintenance Manual.

## **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 37 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 13 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed initial inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. The FAA has no way of determining how many repetitive inspections the owners/operators would incur over the life of the affected airplanes. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the initial inspection proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$28,860, or \$780 per airplane.

It would take approximately 27 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed modification, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be supplied by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the modification proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$59,940, or \$1,620 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT

Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Fokker: Docket 96-NM-174-AD.

Applicability: All F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1. This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent corrosion in the rear cargo door, which could result in structural failure of the cargo door and loss of the door during flight, and consequent rapid decompression, aerodynamic instability, and/or damage to other fuselage structures, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 2 years after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this AD, in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin F28–52–111, dated March 12, 1994.

- (1) Perform a one-time visual inspection of the rear cargo door and luggage auxiliary structure for corrosion. If any corrosion is found, prior to further flight, remove and repair it
- (2) Drill drain holes and apply a corrosion preventive and sealing compound inside the rear cargo door.
- (3) Modify the rear cargo door to provide inspection holes for borescope inspections.
- (b) Within 6,000 hours time-in-service (TIS) or 3 years after accomplishing the visual inspection required by paragraph (a)(1) of this AD, whichever occurs first; and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 6,000 hours TIS or 3 years, whichever occurs first: Perform a borescope inspection of the rear cargo door for corrosion in accordance with Chapter 52–30–2 of the F28 Maintenance Manual. If any corrosion is detected, prior to further flight, remove and repair it in accordance with the maintenance manual.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished. Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 23, 1997.

## Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 97–14183 Filed 5–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-17-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Aviat Aircraft Inc. Models S–2A, S–2B, and S–2S Airplanes (formerly Pitts Models S–2A, S–2B, and S–2S airplanes)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 96–09–08 R1 applicable to certain Aviat