Fitchburg states that it has served copies of its filing on the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities and all parties listed on the official service list in Fitchburg's original open access transmission tariff proceeding, Docket No. OA97–6–000. In addition, Fitchburg states that as of the date of its filing, it had no transmission customers under its open access transmission tariff.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Maine Electric Power Company

[Docket No. OA97-649-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1997, Maine Electric Power Company (MEPCo) tendered for filing pursuant to Sections 205 and 206 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791, et seq.), Part 35 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations (18 CFR Part 35), and FERC Order Nos. 888 and 888– A, a revised open-access transmission tariff. MEPCo requests that the Commission allow the revised tariff to become effective on May 13, 1997 to comport with Order No. 888–A.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Electric Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. OA97-650-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1997, Electric Energy, Inc. tendered for filing changes to its Open-Access Transmission Tariff to reflect changes to the Commission Pro Forma tariffs in Order No. 888–A, Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open-Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities; Recovery of Stranded Costs by Public Utilities and Transmitting Utilities, 78 FERC ¶ 61,220, 62 Fed Reg 12274 (March 14, 1997).

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Kentucky Utilities Company

[Docket No. OA97-656-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1997, Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) tendered for filing its Transmission Services (TS) Tariff in compliance with FERC Order No. 888–A.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. OA97-657-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1997, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing its Open Access Transmission Tariff (Tariff) in accordance with the Commission's requirements in Order No. 888–A.

The Tariff reflects the terms and conditions contained in the Order No. 888–A pro forma tariff, with certain exceptions contemplated by Order No. 888–A and originally provided for in Entergy Services' July 9, 1996 tariff filing, which was accepted by the Commission in, as well as the certain of the modifications ordered by the Commission in American Electric Power Service Corp., et al., 78 FERC ¶ 61,070 (1997).

Copies of the Tariff have been served on all current transmission service customers of Entergy Services, all parties in Docket Nos. ER95–112–000, ER96–586–000, and OA96–158–000, and applicable state commissions.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Cinergy Services, Inc., The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co. and PSI Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. OA97-632-000]

Take notice that on July 11, 1997, Cinergy Services Inc. (Cinergy), on behalf of The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and PSI Energy, Inc., filed a revised open-access tariff required to conform Cinergy's open-access tariff with Order No. 888–A. In accordance with Order No. 888–A, Cinergy proposes an effective date of May 13, 1997, for the revised tariff.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Interstate Power Company

[Docket No. OA97-633-000]

Take notice that on July 11, 1997, in compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Order No. 888–A, Interstate Power Company (IPW) hereby submits its Pro Forma Open Access Transmission Tariff Compliance filing. IPW respectfully requests an effective date of July 12, 1997.

Comment date: August 13, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–20026 Filed 7–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2114-032]

Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

July 24, 1997.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA was prepared for the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County (licensee) application to replace the turbines at its Wanapum Development.

In summary, the DEA examines the environmental impacts of two alternatives for replacing the turbines at the Wanapum Development: (1) Licensee's proposed action: replacement of 10 Kaplan turbines; and (2) no-action. These alternatives are described in detail on pages one and two of the DEA.

The DEA recommends approval of the licensee's request to replace the Wanapum turbines as proposed. The DEA concludes that implementation of this alternative would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

This DEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL). As such, the DEA is OHL staff's preliminary analysis of FWS's recommendation for turbine replacement at the Priest Rapids Project. No final conclusions have been made by the Commission regarding this matter.

Should you wish to provide comments on the DEA, they should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice. Comments should be addressed to: Ms. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please include the project number (2114–032) on any comments filed.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–19976 Filed 7–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5865-5]

Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a proposed prospective purchaser agreement associated with the Bonne Terre Superfund Site, located in St. Francois County, Missouri, was executed by the Agency on May 30, 1997, and concurred upon by the United States Department of Justice on July 4, 1997. This agreement is subject to final approval after the comment period. The Prospective Purchaser Agreement would resolve certain potential EPA claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), against Kenneth and Shirley David, the prospective purchasers ("the purchasers").

The settlement would require the purchasers to perform cleanup actions at the property which include establishing and maintaining a protective cover over potentially contaminated soil on-site. The purchasers must record a deed restriction limiting the use of the property to industrial and commercial uses and must provide EPA access to the Site.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement. **DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before August 29, 1997. **ADDRESSES:** Comments should reference the "Bonne Terre Superfund Site Prospective Purchaser Agreement" and should be forwarded to Jack Generaux, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

The proposed settlement is available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. A copy of the proposed agreement may be obtained from Jack Generaux, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cozad, Senior Associate Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551–7587.

Dated: July 18, 1997.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 97–20059 Filed 7–29–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The FDIC, as part of its continuing effort to reduce Paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). Currently, the FDIC is soliciting comments concerning an information collection titled "Acquisition Services Information Requirements."

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 29, 1997. ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to Steven F. Hanft, FDIC Clearance Officer, (202) 898–3907, Office of the Executive Secretary, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429. All comments should refer to "Acquisition Services Information Requirements." Comments may be hand-delivered to Room F–400, 1776 F Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429, on business days between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. [FAX number (202) 898–3838; Internet address: comments@fdic.gov].

A copy of the comments may also be submitted to the OMB desk officer for the FDIC: Alexander Hunt, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, D.C. 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven F. Hanft, at the address identified above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposal to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

Title: Acquisition Services Information Requirements.

OMB Number: 3064–0072. Frequency of Response: Occasional. Affected Public: Contractors and

vendors who wish to do business with the FDIC.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 45 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 1.050 hours.

General Description of Collection: The collection involves the submission of information on various forms by contractors and vendors who wish to do business with the FDIC. The information is used to evaluate bids and proposals from offerors, to award contracts, to make purchases of goods and services, and to monitor contracts that support FDIC's mission.

Request for Comment

Comments are invited on: (A) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the FDIC's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the estimates of the burden of the information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the collection should be modified prior to submission to OMB for review and approval. Comments submitted in response to this notice also will be summarized or