concerning individuals associated with the applications and/or proposals, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.847–849, Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases; Digestive Diseases and Nutrition; and Kidney Diseases, Urology and Hematology Research, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: July 24, 1997.

#### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Committee Management Officer, NIH. [FR Doc. 97–20158 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

**National Institutes of Health** 

#### National Institute of Mental Health; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meetings of the National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel:

*Agenda/Purpose:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

Committee Name: National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel.

Date: August 8, 1997.

Time: 8:30 a.m.

*Place:* Bethesda Marriott Hotel, 5151 Pooks Hill Road, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Michael D. Hirsch, Parklawn, Room 9–101, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone: 301, 443– 3936.

Committee Name: National Institute of Mental Health Special Emphasis Panel. Date: August 11, 1997.

Time: 9 a.m.

*Place:* Georgetown Holiday Inn, 2101 Wisconsin Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20007.

Contact Person: Donna Ricketts, Parklawn, Room 9–101, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone: 301, 443–3936.

The meetings will be closed in accordance with the provisions set forth in secs. 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C. Applications and/or proposals and the discussions could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications and/or proposals, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

This notice is being published less than fifteen days prior to the meetings due to the urgent need to meet timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Numbers 93.242, 93.281, 93.282)

Dated: July 24, 1997.

### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Committee Management Officer, NIH. [FR Doc. 97–20159 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4228-C-02]

Notice of Funding Availability for HOPE VI Public Housing Demolition for Fiscal Year 1997; Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of funding availability; correction.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects and clarifies information that was provided in the notice of funding availability (NOFA) for fiscal year (FY) 1997 for Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) under the HOPE VI funding for the demolition of obsolete Public Housing units without revitalization, where the demolition would otherwise not occur due to lack of available resources. Specifically, this notice (1) clarifies the meaning of the phrase "capital reserves" and removes reference to the operating reserves in the description of the threshold factor III. C., Need for Demolition Funding, and in the rating factor IV. A., Extent of PHA Need for Funding for the Demolition; (2) corrects the references to the modernization indicator in the PHMAP regulation and the rating for factor IV. D., Extent of PHA's Capability and Readiness to Perform the Demolition; and (3) clarifies that there are two 10 point elements in rating factor IV.B., Extent of Impact of Demolition of Building on PHA and Surrounding Neighborhood.

DATES: This notice does not affect the deadline date provided in the June 3, 1997 NOFA. Applications must still be received in Headquarters on or before August 4, 1997, by 4 p.m. eastern time. Applicants that have already submitted applications before the publication of this notice may, however, submit changes to the amount used for "capital reserves" in factors III C and IV A (now "leftover CIAP funds") to respond to the clarification provided in this notice, within 14 days of the publication of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Milan Ozdinec, Director, Office of Urban Revitalization, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 4142, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202)

401–8812 (this is not a toll free number). Hearing- or speech-impaired individuals may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–TDDY, which is a toll-free number. The NOFA and this correction are also available on the HUD Home Page, at the World Wide Web at http://www.hud.gov. HUD also will post frequently-asked questions and answers on the Home Page throughout the application preparation period.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 3, 1997. (62 FR 30402). HUD published in

1997, (62 FR 30402), HUD published in the **Federal Register** the Notice of Funding Availability for Fiscal Year 1997 for HOPE VI funding for the demolition of obsolete public housing units. The NOFA announced the availability of up to \$30 million in HOPE VI for funding the demolition only. This notice amends the June 3, 1997 NOFA for the following reasons:

- (1) The Department wants to clarify what was intended by the term "capital reserves", which was used in the description of the threshold and rating factors, since it is not a defined term used in either the regulations or handbooks for the Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP) or the Comprehensive Grant program (CGP). This correction uses a different term—"leftover CIAP funds"—which it defines.
- (2) The chart published in the NOFA for factor IV. D., Extent of PHA's Capability and Readiness to Perform the Demolition, on how the Department would rate the PHMAP score for timeliness of modernization, a subindicator of the modernization indicator, was ambiguous. In PHMAP, the subindicator timeliness of modernization can only be rated A for pass, or F for fail. However, the chart published in the NOFA assigned points based on range of ratings—A, B, C or D. Subsequently, the Department has decided to use the complete PHMAP indicator for modernization, which more accurately measures all aspects of a PHA's capability to manage its modernization program. In PHMAP, the modernization indicator can be scored A, B, C, D, E or F. This wider range of ratings will provide a larger number of PHAs the opportunity to receive points for the factor in the NOFA and will correspond to the range of ratings published in the NOFA chart. (For the purposes of this NOFA, only PHAs with scores of A, B, C, or D will be given points.)

In addition, we have eliminated references to rating the PHA's management of its public housing development funds. The chart

published in the NOFA for this factor only referenced the PHMAP indicator for modernization; it did not include any measurement of a PHA's development capability. Furthermore, many PHAs no longer have public housing development funds and it would be necessary to forgo measuring development capability in some PHAs and while measuring it in others. Therefore, it has been determined that the Department will use a PHA's demonstrated capability in modernization alone to score this factor.

(3) Factor IV.B., Extent of Impact of Demolition of Building on PHA and Surrounding Neighborhood, was specific with respect to 10 points of a 20 point factor, but the NOFA needed to be explicit about the remaining 10 points being awarded for impact on the PHA.

Accordingly, FR Doc. 97–14384, the Notice of Funding Availability for HOPE VI Public Housing Demolition—Fiscal Year 1997, published in the **Federal Register** on June 3, 1997 (62 FR 30402), is amended as follows:

1. On page 30404, first column, under Section III, paragraph C., Need for Demolition Funding, the second paragraph is removed and the following paragraph is added in its place, to read as follows:

'A non-CGP PHA must demonstrate that it does not have adequate leftover CIAP funds (for the purpose of this NOFA, the phrase leftover CIAP funds means funds remaining from previous modernization programs that are subject to reprogramming after completion of all approved work items in the program) to perform the demolition without affecting current emergency or critical needs that it currently has. The PHA must enumerate any current leftover CIAP funds and then describe the amount of these funds that it anticipates will be used for emergency and/or critical needs in FY 1997. A PHA must provide the specific dollar amount of the leftover CIAP funds, an itemized list of the emergency and/or critical needs work items, and the individual and total cost of these work items accompanied by a narrative demonstrating the gravity of the critical needs that it is going to use its funds to correct.

2. On Page 30404, third column, Section IV, paragraph A., Extent of PHA Need for Funding for the Demolition, is amended by removing the third, fourth and fifth paragraphs and adding, in their place, the following:

"Element 1. CGP PHAs will be rated depending on the amount of CGP funds remaining after taking into consideration grant funds used for emergency and/or critical needs. A nonCGP PHA will be rated depending on the amount of leftover CIAP funds remaining after taking into consideration leftover CIAP funds used for emergency and/or critical needs.

A CGP PHA must provide a comparison of the total cost of demolition of the targeted development, with the amount remaining in the FY 1997 annual comprehensive grant award after funding emergency and/or critical needs for FY 1997. Even though the PHA has work items approved in the annual statement, the Department expects a PHA to expend any dollars remaining in the CGP grant after it funds any emergency and/or critical needs to partially or fully fund the proposed demolition before undertaking other non-emergency or non-critical needs work items.

A CIAP PHA is to use the amount of leftover CIAP funds at the time of the HOPE VI application as the basis of the computation for this element. That is, a CIAP PHA is to compare the total cost of demolition of the targeted development with the amount of leftover CIAP funds remaining after funding emergency and/or critical needs for FY 1997 as described previously.

A CGP PHA that cannot fund the total cost of the demolition with the remaining CGP funds and a non-CGP PHA that cannot fund the total cost of the demolition with its leftover CIAP funds or those PHAs that can only fund a small percentage (i.e., 0 percent to 25 percent) of the cost of demolition will receive between 16–25 points."

Percent of proposed demolition cost able to be funded with CGP funds or lefover CIAP funds	Points awarded
76–100	0–5
51–75	6–10
26–50	11–15
25–0	16–25

3. On Page 30405, first column, Section IV, paragraph B., Extent of Impact of Demolition of Building on PHA and Surrounding Neighborhood, is amended by adding the following sentence after the heading:

"This is a two part rating factor: extent of impact of demolition on the development and/or the PHA; and the extent of impact of the demolition on the surrounding neighborhood. Each of the elements will receive a score of 10 points."

4. On Page 30405, third column, Section IV, paragraph D., Extent of PHA's Capability and Readiness to Perform the Demolition, is amended by removing the entire paragraph and adding a new paragraph D, to read as follows:

"D. Extent of PHA's Capability and Readiness to Perform the Demolition. [10 points]

Based on the latest HUD records (including the PHA's PHMAP modernization score) the PHA will be scored on the extent of the PHA's ability to begin immediately after approval and to effectively carry out the proposed demolition (e.g., the PHA has a request for proposal (RFP) prepared and ready to issue).

This criterion is divided into two factors—*capability*, which has a maximum of 8 points, and *readiness* to perform the demolition, which has a maximum of 2 points.

HUD will consider the extent to which the PHA with any active capital funding under CIAP or CGP programs has shown its capability to adequately manage the program. The PHA's capability will be judged by the immediate past performance in the expenditure and obligation of funds, contract administration, quality of physical work and budget controls for the modernization (CIAP or CGP) program. For this criterion the capability of the PHA will be measured by the latest PHMAP score for the modernization indicator, as follows:

Points awarded		Capability	
8	Latest score	Modernization of A.	PHMAP
6	Latest score	Modernization of B.	PHMAP
4	Latest score	Modernization of C.	PHMAP
2	Latest score	Modernization of D.	PHMAP

The readiness of the PHA will be determined by whether the PHA has a draft RFP that is in compliance with § 85.36 for the demolition contract prepared at the time of its response to this NOFA. The PHA must have included in its application a copy of the draft RFP to document its contention. A PHA with a draft RFP will receive the maximum score for this element, 2 points. A PHA without a draft RFP will receive 0 points. The PHA's score on readiness is to be combined with its score on modernization capability to give the total score on the rating factor."

Dated: July 28, 1997.

## **Kevin Emanuel Marchman**,

Acting, Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 97–20317 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–33–P