

SIAPs. This amendment also identifies the airport, its location, the procedure identification and the amendment number.

The Rule

This amendment to part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP as contained in the transmittal. Some SIAP amendments may have been previously issued by the FAA in a National Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting these SIAPs are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Air traffic control, Airports, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC on July 25, 1997.

Thomas E. Stuckey,

Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

Adoption of The Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, part 97 of the

Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

* * * *Effective August 14, 1997*

Birmingham, AL, Birmingham Intl, LOC RWY 23, AMDT 5, Cancelled

Birmingham, AL, Birmingham Intl, LOC RWY 18, Orig

Birmingham, AL, Birmingham Intl, ILS RWY 23, Orig

* * * *Effective September 11, 1997*

Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, GPS-A, Orig
Murrieta/Temequila, CA, French Valley, GPS RWY 18, Orig

Monte Vista, CO, Monte Vista Muni, GPS RWY 20, Orig

Oxford, CT, Waterbury-Oxford, ILS RWY 36, Amdt 11

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, VOR OR TACAN RWY 6, Orig

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, VOR OR TACAN RWY 15, Amdt 2

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, VOR OR TACAN RWY 24, Orig

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, VOR OR TACAN RWY 33, Orig

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, NDB or GPS RWY 6, Amdt 28

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, COPTER ILS 058, Amdt 2

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, ILS RWY 6, Amdt 34

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, ILS RWY 24, Amdt 8

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, ILS RWY 33, Amdt 7

Windsor Locks, CT, Bradley Intl, GPS RWY 15, Amdt 3

Marco Island, FL, Marco Island, LOC RWY 17, Orig

Brunswick, GA, Glynco Jetport, VOR/DME OR GPS-B, Amdt 7

Brunswick, GA, Glynco Jetport, NDB OR GPS RWY 7, Amdt 10

Brunswick, GA, Glynco Jetport, ILS RWY 7, Amdt 8

Bangor, ME, Bangor Intl, ILS RWY 15, Amdt 5

Baltimore, MD, Baltimore-Washington Intl, ILS RWY 10, Amdt 16

Jefferson City, MO, Jefferson City Meml, NDB RWY 12, Amdt 2

Jefferson City, MO, Jefferson City Meml, NDB RWY 30, Orig

Jefferson City, MO, Jefferson City Meml, ILS RWY 30, Amdt 4

Grants NM, Grants-Milan Muni, GPS RWY 31, Orig

Olean, NY, Chatteraugus County-Olean, NDB RWY 22, Amdt 12

Grand Forks, ND, Grand Forks Intl, VOR OR GPS RWY 17R, Amdt 5

Grand Forks, ND, Grand Forks Intl, VOR OR GPS RWY 35L, Amdt 6

Grand Forks, ND, Grand Forks Intl, LOC BC RWY 17R, Amdt 11

Grand Forks, ND, Grand Forks Intl, ILS RWY 35L, Amdt 10

Philipsburg, PA, Mid-State, VOR RWY 24, Amdt 15

Philipsburg, PA, Mid-State, ILS RWY 16, Amdt 6

Canadian, TX, Hemphill County, GPS RWY 4, Orig

Canadian, TX, Hemphill County, GPS RWY 22, Orig

Pennington Gap, VA, Lee County, NDB or GPS-A, Amdt 2, Cancelled

* * * *Effective November 6, 1997*

Silver Bay, MN, Silver Bay Muni, GPS RWY 25, Orig

Note: The FAA published the following procedure in Docket No. 28942, Amdt No. 1803 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (Vol 62, No. 121, page 33993, dated Tuesday, June 24, 1997) under Section 97.33 effective September 11, 1997, which is hereby rescinded:

San Martin, CA, South County Arprt of Santa Clara County, GPS RWY 32, Orig

[FR Doc. 97-20291 Filed 7-31-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

20 CFR Part 340

RIN 3220-AB32

Recovery of Benefits

AGENCY: Railroad Retirement Board.

ACTION: Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: The Railroad Retirement Board (Board) hereby amends part 340 of its regulations to reflect its authority to compromise debts provided that the amount recoverable does not exceed \$100,000 exclusive of interest. This amendment would conform the Board's regulations to present law.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This regulation will be effective August 1, 1997.

Comment Date: Comments due on or before September 30, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to the Secretary to the Board, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas W. Sadler, Senior Attorney,
Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North
Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611,
(312) 751-4513, TDD (312) 751-4701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 8(b) of Pub. L. 101-552, enacted November 15, 1990, amended section 3711 of title 31 of the United States Code to increase from \$20,000 to \$100,000 (or a higher amount if so prescribed by the Attorney General) the amount of a claim that an agency is authorized to compromise. Consistent with the change in the law, the Board is amending § 340.13 of its regulations under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act to reflect this change in law.

Because all Federal agencies must comply with Federal claims collection provisions the Board is publishing this rule as an interim final rule, rather than a proposed rule. However, any person wishing to comment on this rule may do so within 60 days of the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The Board, with the concurrence of the Office of Management and Budget, has determined that this is not a major rule for the purposes of Executive Order 12866. Therefore, no regulatory analysis is required. This rule does not involve any information collection requirements.

List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 340

Railroad employees, Railroad unemployment benefits.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, title 20, chapter II, part 340 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 340—RECOVERY OF BENEFITS

1. The authority for part 340 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 45 U.S.C. 362(l).

§ 340.13 Compromise of amounts recoverable.

2. Section 340.13, Compromise of amounts recoverable, is amended by removing "\$20,000." at the end of the first sentence and adding in lieu thereof "\$100,000, excluding interest, or such higher amount as the Attorney General may from time to time prescribe."

* * *

Dated: July 25, 1997.

By Authority of the Board, for the Board.

Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 97-20359 Filed 7-31-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**Food and Drug Administration****21 CFR Part 178**

[Docket No. 95F-0170]

Indirect Food Additives: Adjuvants, Production Aids, and Sanitizers

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of 2,4-dimethyl-6-(1-methylpentadecyl)phenol as an antioxidant and/or stabilizer in acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymers and in rigid polyvinyl chloride intended for food-contact applications. This action is in response to a petition filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp.

DATES: Effective August 1, 1997. Written objections and requests for a hearing by September 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark A. Hepp, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-215), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3098.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice published in the **Federal Register** of July 12, 1995 (60 FR 35913), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 5B4468) had been filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp., Seven Skyline Dr., Hawthorne, NY 10532-2188. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.2010 *Antioxidants and/or stabilizers for polymers* (21 CFR 178.2010) to provide for the safe use of 2,4-dimethyl-6-(1-methylpentadecyl)phenol as an antioxidant and/or stabilizer in acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymers and in rigid polyvinyl chloride intended for food-contact applications.

FDA has evaluated data in the petition and other relevant material. Based on this information, the agency concludes that the proposed use of the additive as an antioxidant and/or stabilizer in acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymers and in rigid polyvinyl chloride intended for food-contact applications is safe and that the additive will have the intended technical effect. Therefore, the

regulations in § 178.2010 should be amended as set forth below.

In accordance with § 171.1(h) (21 CFR 171.1(h)), the petition and the documents that FDA considered and relied upon in reaching its decision to approve the petition are available for inspection at the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition by appointment with the information contact person listed above. As provided in § 171.1(h), the agency will delete from the documents any materials that are not available for public disclosure before making the documents available for inspection.

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this action. FDA has concluded that the action will not have a significant impact on the human environment, and that an environmental impact statement is not required. The agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding, contained in an environmental assessment, may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. No comments were received during the 30-day comment period specified in the filing notice for comments on the environmental assessment submitted with the petition.

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may at any time on or before September 2, 1997, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written objections thereto. Each objection shall be separately numbered, and each numbered objection shall specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested shall specifically so state. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents shall be submitted and shall be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.