

description of the unit. The map accompanying the establishment notice clearly shows that the lands included in the purchase unit lie in Township 6 North, rather than Township 5, as stated in the July 1 notice. This notice corrects the township reference in the July 1 notice.

ADDRESSES: The map which depicts the land within the purchase unit and indicates the correct township number is available for public inspection in the Office of the Director, Lands Staff, 201 14th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Craven, Lands Staff, Forest Service, USDA, P.O. Box 96090, Washington, D.C. 20090-60690, telephone (202) 205-1248.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of July 1, 1997 (62 FR 35471), on page 35471, in the third column, in the second

paragraph, correct the land description to read as follows:

Lands lying in Township 6 North, Range 4 East, Franklin County, Washington, Meridian, Mississippi.

Dated: September 26, 1997.

Janice H. McDougle,

Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 97-26165 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or

countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 351.213 of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations (19 CFR 351.213 (1997)), that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of October 1997, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in October for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceeding	
Italy: Pressure Sensitive Tape, A-475-059	10/1/96-9/30/97
Japan:	
Steel Wire Rope, A-588-045	10/1/96-9/30/97
Tapered Roller Bearings, Over 4 Inches, A-588-604	10/1/96-9/30/97
Tapered Roller Bearings, Under 4 Inches, A-588-054	10/1/96-9/30/97
Malaysia: Extruded Rubber Thread, A-557-805	10/1/96-9/30/97
The People's Republic of China:	
Barium Chloride, A-570-007	10/1/96-9/30/97
Lock Washers, A-570-822	10/1/96-9/30/97
Shop Towels, A-570-003	10/1/96-9/30/97
Yugoslavia: Industrial Nitrocellulose, A-479-801	10/1/96-9/30/97
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Brazil: Tillage Tools, C-351-406	1/1/96-12/31/96
India: Iron-Metal Castings, C-533-063	1/1/96-12/31/96
Iran: In-Shell Pistachios, C-507-601	1/1/96-12/31/96
Sweden: Carbon Steel Products, C-401-401	1/1/96-12/31/96
Suspension Agreements	
Kazakhstan: Uranium, A-834-802	10/1/96-9/30/97
Kyrgyzstan: Uranium, A-835-802	10/1/96-9/30/97
Russia: Uranium, A-821-802	10/1/96-9/30/97
Uzbekistan: Uranium, A-844-802	10/1/96-9/30/97

In accordance with section 351.213 of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. The Department has changed its requirements for requesting reviews for countervailing duty orders. Pursuant to 771(9) of the Act, an interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by the order or suspension agreement for which they are requesting a view (Interim Regulations, 60 FR 25130, 25137 (May 11, 1995)). Therefore, for both

antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one

country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/

Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of October 1997. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of October 1997, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: September 26, 1997.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-26195 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-501]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 13, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey. The review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR) May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and the correction of certain ministerial errors, we have changed the preliminary results. The

final results are listed below in the section "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Riggle or Kris Campbell, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0650 and (202) 482-3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Background

This review covers two manufacturers/exporters to the United States of the subject merchandise, the Borusan Group (Borusan) and Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S. (Yucelboru). On May 13, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey* (62 FR 26286) (*Preliminary Results*). We received case and rebuttal briefs from the petitioners¹ and Borusan on June 19, 1997, and June 26, 1997, respectively. Yucelboru did not submit a case or rebuttal brief. On August 1, 1997, we requested comments from Borusan and the petitioners regarding how we intended to calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates for Borusan. Since Yucelboru's margin in the preliminary results was *de minimis*, we did not request comments from Yucelboru. On August 5, 1997, we received comments on the assessment rate from the petitioners.

The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube products with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness. These products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25,

7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. These products, commonly referred to in the industry as standard pipe and tube, are produced to various American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specifications, most notably A-120, A-53 or A-135.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Comparison of United States Price and Foreign Market Value

For both companies involved in this review, we calculated transaction-specific U.S. prices (USP) and compared them to foreign market values (FMV) based on either weighted-average home market prices or constructed values (CV). For price-to-price comparisons, we compared identical merchandise, where possible. Where there were no sales of identical merchandise in the home market to compare to U.S. sales, we made comparisons of similar merchandise based on the characteristics listed in the Department's antidumping questionnaire.

Where sales were made in the home market on a different weight basis from the U.S. market (e.g., theoretical versus actual weight), we converted all quantities to the same weight basis, using the conversion factors supplied by the company, before making our fair value comparisons.

We have determined that Turkey experienced a high rate of inflation throughout the POR, as measured by the wholesale price index (WPI) published in *International Financial Statistics*. (See Comment 1 below). Therefore, in accordance with our practice, and in order to avoid the distortions caused by the effects of this level of inflation on prices, we did not apply the Department's 90/60 day rule if we were unable to match sales within the same month. Rather, we resorted to CV as the basis of FMV. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey*, 62 FR 9737, 9738 (March 4, 1997) (*Rebar from Turkey*).

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.58, we made comparisons at the same level of trade, where possible (see Sales Comment 8 below). For Borusan, we determined that there was one U.S. level of trade (i.e., distributor) and three home market levels of trade: wholesaler/distributor, retailer, and end-user. Yucelboru had no level of trade distinctions in either market.

¹ The petitioners are Allied Tube & Conduit and Wheatland Tube Company.