promotion partners. After undergoing a review process, DEC nominees are then selected and appointed to DEC membership by the Secretary of Commerce.

Membership Criteria

Each DEC is interested in nominating highly-motivated people active in the local exporting community.

Membership composition on the DECs include: exporters (such as representatives from manufacturing, the services industry, and export trading companies); bankers; U.S. Small Business Administration representatives; state and local officials; and other "partners" including international lawyers and accountants as well as representatives from world trade centers, chambers of commerce, export management companies, labor and freight forwarders.

Deadline

Applications for nomination to a DEC must be received by the designated local DEC representative by November 1, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nathanael Herman, International Trade Specialist, the Commercial Service, tel. 202–482–5956. Additional information about the DECs is also found on the National DEC Internet Home Page at http://www.ita.doc.gov/usfcs/usf/dec.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*, 15 U.S.C. 4721.

Dated: September 29, 1997.

Daniel J. McLaughlin,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Domestic Operations.

[FR Doc. 97–26985 Filed 10–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–FP–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-351-406]

Certain Agricultural Tillage Tool From Brazil; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 9, 1997, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil for

the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995 (62 FR 36771). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on the net subsidy for the reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 10, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lorenza Olivas or Gayle Longest, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to 19 CFR 355.22(a), this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. Accordingly, this review covers the producer/exporter of the subject merchandise Marchesan Implementos Agrícolas, S.A. (Marchesan). This review covers the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995, and five programs.

We published the preliminary results of review on July 9, 1997 (62 FR 36771) and invited interested parties to comment. We received no comments on our preliminary results.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"), effective January 1, 1995. In addition, all references to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 355 (April 1997). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with § 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain round shaped agricultural tillage tools (discs) with plain or notched edge, such as colters and furrow-opener blades. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under item numbers 8432.21.00, 8432.29.00, 8432.80.00 and 8432.90.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Programs

Programs Found To Be Not Used

In the preliminary results we found that the producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise did not apply for or receive benefits under the following programs:

1. Accelerated Depreciation for Brazilian-Made Capital Goods

- 2. Preferential Financing for Industrial Enterprises by Banco do Brasil (FST and EGF loans)
- 3. SUDENE Corporate Income Tax Reduction for Companies Located in the Northeast of Brazil
- 4. Preferential Financing under PROEX (formerly under Resolution 68 and 509 through FINEX)
- 5. Preferential Financing under FINEP We did not receive any comments on these programs from the interested parties, and our review of the record has not led us to change our findings from the preliminary results.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 C.F.R. 355.22(c)(4)(ii), we calculated an individual subsidy rate for the only producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995, we determine the net subsidy for Marchesan to be zero percent *ad valorem*.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, shipments of the subject merchandise from Marchesan exported on or after January 1, 1995, and on or before December 31, 1995. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of zero percent on all shipments of this merchandise from Marchesan, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. Pursuant to 19 CFR § 355.22(g), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered.

As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and Floral Trade Council v. United States, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993) (interpreting 19 CFR § 353.22(e), the antidumping regulation on automatic assessment, which is identical to 19 CFR § 355.22(g)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: October 3, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–27031 Filed 10–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-357-005]

Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat-Rolled Products From Argentina; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 17, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal **Register** the preliminary results of its 1991 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on cold-rolled carbon steel flat-rolled products (coldrolled steel) from Argentina. We have now completed this review and determine the total net subsidy to be 0.00 percent ad valorem for Propulsora and 1.84 percent ad valorem for all other companies. For further information on assessment of countervailing duties, see the Final Results of Review section of this notice. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 10, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Herring, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 17, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 38257) the preliminary results of its 1991 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on cold-rolled steel from Argentina (49 FR 18006; April 26, 1984). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

This review involves two producer/exporters, Sociedad Mixta Siderurgica (SOMISA) and Propulsora Siderurgica S.A.I.C. (Propulsora), which accounted for all exports of the subject merchandise from Argentina during the review period, and 20 programs. We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results; however, no comments were filed by any interested party.

On August 1, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the final results of changed circumstances countervailing duty reviews covering the orders on leather, wool, oil country tubular goods, and cold-rolled steel from Argentina (see Leather From Argentina, Wool From Argentina, Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, and Carbon Steel Cold-Rolled Flat Products From Argentina; Final Results of Changed Circumstances
Countervailing Duty Reviews (62 FR 41361)). In these changed circumstances

reviews, the Department determined that, based upon the ruling of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Ceramica Regiomontana v. United States, 64 F.3d 1579, 1582 (Fed. Cir. 1995), it does not have the authority to assess countervailing duties on entries of merchandise covered by this order occurring on or after September 20, 1991. As a result, the effective date of the revocation of this CVD order on cold-rolled flat products from Argentina is now September 20, 1991. (This order had already been revoked, effective January 1, 1995, pursuant to Section 753 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (see Revocation of Countervailing Duty Orders 60 FR 40568, August 9, 1995)). Therefore, the results of this administrative review will only apply to entries of the subject merchandise made between January 1, 1991 and September 19, 1991. (See Final Results of Review section of this notice).

Applicable Statute

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review include shipments of Argentine coldrolled carbon steel flat products, whether or not corrugated or crimped; whether or not painted or varnished and whether or not pickled; not cut, not pressed, and not stamped to nonrectangular shape; not coated or plated with metal; over 12 inches in width and under 0.1875 inches in thickness whether or not in coils; as currently provided for under the following item numbers of the HTS: 7209.11.00, 7209.12.00, 7209.13.00, 7209.14.00, 7209.21.00, 7209.22.00, 7209.23.00, 7209.24.00, 7209.31.00, 7209.32.00, 7209.33.00, 7209.34.00, 7209.41.00, 7209.42.00, 7209.43.00, 7209.44.00, 7209.90.00, 7210.70.00, 7211.30.50, 7211.41.70, 7211.49.50, 7211.90.00, 7212.40.50. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Calculation Methodology for Assessment and Cash Deposit Purposes

Pursuant to Ceramica Regiomontana, S.A. v. United States, 853 F. Supp. 431 (CIT 1994), Commerce is required to calculate a country-wide CVD rate, i.e., the all-other rate, by "weight-averaging