1421. Published FR 12–13–96— Review Period extended.

- EIS No. 960596, Draft EIS, NPS, AS, National Park of American Samoa, Implementation, General Management Plan, Islands of Tutulla, Ta'u and Ofu, Territory of American Samoa, *Due:* February 18, 1997, *Contact:* Alan Schmierer (415) 744–3968. Published FR 01–03–97 Correction to Telephone Number.
- EIS No. 970015, Final EIS, COE, VA, Lower Virginia Peninsula Regional Raw Water Supply Plan, Permit Approval, Cohoke Mill Creek, King William County, VA, *Due:* March 26, 1997, *Contact:* Pamela K. Painter (757) 441–7654. Published FR 12–13–96— Review Period Extended.

Dated: February 4, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–3092 Filed 2–6–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 6560–50–U

[ER-FRL-5477-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 20, 1997 through January 24, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES at (202) 564– 7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–K65190–AZ Rating EC2, Eastern Roosevelt Lake Watershed Analysis Area Grazing Strategy and Associated Range Improvements Management Plan, Development and Implementation, Tonto National Forest, Tonto Basin Ranger District, Gila County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential air and water quality impacts and cumulative impacts. EPA requested that these issues be clarified in the FEIS.

ERP No. D–AFS–L65276–ID Rating EC2, Prince John Timber Sale Project, Implementation, Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District, Valley County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water

quality impacts and requested that the FEIS discuss alternatives that do not enter roadless areas.

ERP No. D–AFS–L82015–ID Rating EC2, St. Joe Noxious Weed Control Project, Implementation, St. Maries River, St. Joe River and Little North Fork Clearwater River, Benewah, Shoshone and Latah Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential misuse and over-application of herbicides. EPA requested that the alternative analysis be expanded.

ERP No. D–BLM–J01075–WY Rating EC2, North Rochelle Mine, Application for Federal Coal Lease (WYW127221), Special-Use-Permits and NPDES Permit, Campbell County, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the need for additional information, data and discussion of air quality impacts. Additional information is requested in the FEIS to address theses concerns.

ERP No. D–BLM–J60018–UT Rating EO2, Price Coalbed Methane Gas Resources Project, Construction, Federal and Non-Federal Lands, Permit-to-Drill Application, Right-of-Way Grants and COE Section 404 Permits, Carbon and Emery Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection to the proposed action due to potential air quality, water quality (including groundwater and wetlands) impacts and the lack of adequate habitat preservation and protection measures. EPA requested that the above issues be clarified in the FEIS.

ERP No. D–DOE–K08052–00 Rating EC2, Navajo Transmission Project (NTP), Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Right-of-Way Grants, EPA NPDES, COE, FAA, FWS and FHW Permits Issuance, NV, NM and AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetland impacts, pollution prevention issues and Native American sacred sites. EPA requested that the FEIS clarify these issues.

ERP No. D–DOE–L09812–WA Rating EO2, Hanford Remedial Action, Implementation, Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, Hanford Site lies in the Pasco Basin of the Columbia Plateau, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections particularly with regard to contaminated soil issues, inconsistent risk assessments, inaccurate cost information and the failure to properly describe the relationship between agreements reached under CERCLA and RCRA and those described in the NEPA process. EPA requested that these and other issues be fully clarified in the FEIS. ERP No. D-FAA-K51037-CA Rating EO2, Metropolitan Oakland International Airport (MOIA), Airport Development Program (ADP), Airport Layout Plan Approval, Funding and COE Section 404 and 10 Permits Issuance, Port of Oakland, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection due to potential inconsistency with the general conformity (air quality), section 404 requirements (wetlands) and the lack of a hazardous waste minimization program. EPA requested clarification and or mitigation of these issues.

ERP No. D–NOA–L39054–WA Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Commencement Bay Restoration Plan, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, CZMA and NPDES Applications, Puget Sound, Pierce County, WA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-NOA-L91001-AK Rating EC2, Juneau Consolidated Facility, Implementation, Fisheries Management Operation, "Vision for 2005", Juneau, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential impacts to hydrology, wetlands, air quality and fish/wildlife services. EPA requested that additional clarification information be included in the FEIS and that appropriate mitigation be provided.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61213-00 Rating LO, Nez Perce National Historical Park and Big Hole National Battefield General Management Plan, Implementation, Asotin and Okanogan Counties, WA; Wallowa County, OR; Idaho, Lewis, Nez Perce, Clearwater and Clank Counties, ID; and Blaine, Yellowstone and Beaverhead Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-USN-K11075-CA Rating EC2, Naval Medical Center Oakland, Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, in the City of Oakland, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to biological resources particularly riparian and wetland resources and other water quality issues.

ERP No. D–USN–L11031–WA Rating LO, Puget Sound Naval Station, Sand Point, Disposal and Reuse,

Implementation, King County, WA. Summary: Following EPA's preliminary review, EPA found no significant statutory or jurisdictional issues from its perspective.

ERP No. DS-FTA-K40208-CA Rating LO, South Sacramento Corridor

Transportation Improvements, Union Pacific Railroad Corridor from the 16th Street Station to Meadaview Road, New Information concerning Alternatives Evaluation and the Impacts Assessment Process, Funding and US COE Permit, City and County of Sacramento, CA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. DS–NOA–E64007–00 Rating LO, Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, US Waters, Amendment 9 concerning Reduction of Unwanted Bycatch of Juvenile Red Snapper with Ancillary Benefits to Other Finfish Species, Implementation, MXG.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L40192–ID Packsaddle Timber Sale and Road Construction Project, Implementation, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Sandpoint Ranger District, Bonner County, ID.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–AFS–L65193–OR Paw Timber Sale, Timber Harvest and Road Construction, Implementation, Umpqua National Forest, Diamond Lake Ranger District, Douglas County, OR.

Summary: Review of the FEIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–AFS–L65201–OR Eagle Creek Timber Sale and Road Construction, Implementation, Mt. Hood National Forest, Zigzag and Estacada Ranger Districts, Clackamas County, OR.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ÈRP No. F–AFS–L65241–ID Fish Bate Timber Sale, Implementation, North Fork Clearwater River, Clearwater National Forest, North Fork Ranger District, Clearwater County, ID.

Summary: Review of the FEIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–AFS–L65253–OR Trail System and Off-Highway Vehicle Management and Development, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest and Crooked River National Grassland, Crook, Grant, Jefferson, Harney and Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: Review of the FEIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–AFS–L65269–OR Augusta Timber Sale, Implementation, Willamette National Forest, Blue River Ranger District, Willamette Meridian, Blue River, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA previous concerns have been resolved. Therefore EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F–FHW–L40194–WA WA–3/ WA–304 Bremerton Ferry Terminal to the vicinity of Gorst Highway Improvement Project, Implementation, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Bremerton, Kitsap County, WA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–FRC–K05054–NV Blue Diamond South Pumped Storage Hydroelectric (FERC. No. 10756) Project, Issuance of License for Construction, Operation and Maintain, Right-of-Way Grant and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Clark County, NV.

Summary: Review of the FEIS was not deemed necessary. No formal letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–NPS–L65229–AK Brooks River Area Development, Use and Management Plan, Implementation, Katmai National Park, AK.

Summary: Review of the FEIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–SFW–L99006–WA Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Issuance of a Permit for Incidental Take of Federally-Listed Species and Implementation of the Multi-Species Plan for Lands Managed by WDNR, WA.

Summary: Review of the FEIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-BIA-L35003-WA Swinomish Marina and Support Facilities Development, New Information concerning Design Changes, Approval, COE Section 10/404 Permits and EPA NPDES Permit Issuance, Swinomish Indian Reservation, Skagit County, WA.

Summary: EPA commends the cooperative efforts of the Swinomish Tribal Community to develop an improved environmentally acceptable project in response to previous issues raised. EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

Dated: February 4, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–3093 Filed 2–6–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

February 3, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÅ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments April 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0407. Title: Application for Extension of Broadcast Construction Permit or to Replace Expired.

Type of Review: Revision of currently approved collection Construction Permit.

Form Number: FCC 307.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 700.