

** If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of chrome-plated lug nuts from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

Countervailing duty proceedings	Period to be reviewed
Brazil: Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools C-351-406 Marchesan Implementos e Maquinas Agricolas "TATU" S.A.* *Marchesan has submitted a request for partial revocation of the order under 19 CFR 351.222(c)(3). The Department will examine the request for revocation to determine whether Marchesan meets the threshold requirements for revocation under 19 CFR 351.222(e)(2)(iii).	1/1/96-12/31/96
India: Certain Iron-Metal Castings. C-533-063 Calcutta Ferrous Ltd. Carnation Enterprise Pvt. Ltd. Carnation Industries Commex Corporation Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd. Delta Enterprises Dinesh Brothers (P) Ltd. Kajaria Iron Castings Ltd. Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works Metflow Nandikeshwari Iron Foundry Pvt. Ltd. Orissa Metal Industries Overseas Iron Foundry R.B. Agarwalla & Company R.B. Agarwalla & Co. Pvt. Ltd. RSI Limited Serampore Industries Pvt. Ltd. Shree Rama Enterprise Shree Uma Foundries Siko Exports SSL Exports Super Iron Foundry Uma Iron & Steel Victory Castings Ltd.	1/1/96-12/31/96

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(d) (sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For transition orders defined in section 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Secretary will apply paragraph (j)(1) of this section to any administrative review initiated in 1996 or 1998 (19 CFR 351.213(j)(1-2)).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in

accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b) and 355.34(b).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 21, 1997.

Louis Apple,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-31133 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-502]

Certain Welded Carbon Standard Steel Pipes and Tubes From India; Amendment of Final Results of New Shippers Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment of final results of new shippers antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 10, 1997, the Department of Commerce published the final results of its new shippers antidumping duty administrative review on certain welded carbon standard steel pipes and tubes from India. The review covered two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996. Because of ministerial errors made with respect to one manufacturer/exporter, we are publishing an amendment to the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c).

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 25, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristie Strecker or Greg Thompson, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3174 or (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

Background

On September 10, 1997 (62 FR 47632), the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon standard steel pipes and tubes from India (51 FR 9089, March 17, 1989). On September 23, 1997, we received a timely allegation from Rajinder Pipes Ltd. (Rajinder), pursuant to § 353.28 of the regulations, that we made ministerial errors in the final results.

Rajinder contended that in the margin calculations for the final results we incorrectly deducted inventory carrying costs incurred in India from U.S. price and failed to deduct advertising expenses from normal value. See Memorandum to the File from Kristie Strecker to Robin Gray (October 21, 1997). We agree with Rajinder that these were ministerial errors, and we have corrected these ministerial errors in these amended results in order to reflect our intent and our practice pursuant to § 353.28.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our correction of the ministerial errors, we have determined the margin for the period May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996 to be:

Company	Margin (percent)
Rajinder	18.25

The Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and normal value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions concerning the respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service. Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these amended final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Rajinder will be the rate indicated above; (2) for previously reviewed or

investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 7.08 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: November 19, 1997.

Robert S. LaRossa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-31134 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-549-401]

Certain Apparel From Thailand; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 3, 1997, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on Certain Apparel from Thailand for 1991. The Department of Commerce has now completed this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on the net bounty or grant, please see the "Final Results of Review" section. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated in that section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Copyak or Constance Cunningham, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Background

On September 3, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 46475) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on Certain Apparel from Thailand. Since the publication of the preliminary results, the following events have occurred. We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. On September 23, 1997, we received one comment from UNITE, formerly known as the