Type of Review: Revision.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: National Service Exit Form (NSEF).

OMB Number: 3045–0015. *Agency Number:* None.

Affected Public: Individuals and notfor-profit institutions.

Total Respondents: 31,000. *Frequency:* Annually.

Average Time Per Response: 12 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 6200 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): 0. Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintenance): 0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 3, 1997.

Lance Potter,

Director, Office of Evaluation.
[FR Doc. 97–32286 Filed 12–9–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6050–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Information Systems Agency

Notice of Availability of the Consolidation and Regionalization Plan, Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), and Associated Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Defense Megacenters (DMCs) and Related Facilities

AGENCY: Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) is announcing that it has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) relating to the consolidation and regionalization of its 16 Defense Megacenters (DMCs) and related facilities in response to recommended action from the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR). This action will result in reduced costs to the Department of Defense (DOD) and improved operational efficiencies without compromising service quality. This notice announces the availability of the final EA and FONSI to concerned agencies and the public.

ADDRESSES: Requests to receive a copy of the EA or FONSI should be mailed to

Defense Information Systems Agency, Public Affairs Officer, 701 S.
Courthouse Rd., Arlington, VA 22204–2199. The documents may also be picked up at the same address between the hours of 9 AM and 4 PM EST, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays, by contacting Ms. Betsy Flood at (703) 607–6048/6900. Arrangements must be made in advance to pick up the documents, due to facility security requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Betsy Flood, Public Affairs Officer, at (703) 607–6048/6900.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) is a Department of Defense (DOD) combat support agency under the direction, authority and control of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (ASD[C31]). One of DISA's mission functions is to provide information processing support to the DOD, services, and agencies. In response to the QDR and continuing pressure to reduce federal spending, DISA has developed a proposal to consolidate and restructure operations and reduce operating costs. As input in the decision process of whether or not to implement this proposal, an EA has been prepared, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The following provides a summary of the proposal, the results of the EA and conclusions.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action consists of the following:

- a. Consolidate mainframe processing from 16 existing Defense Megacenters (DMCs) into six facilities, five plus one Unisys legacy processing site,
- b. Establish self-sustaining, regional support centers at each of the existing DMC sites with the exception of Sacramento, which is being closed as part of a Base Closure & Realignment (BRAC) 1995 action,
- c. Establish self-sustaining, regional support centers at four of the remaining CONUS Storefront locations, closing others that are not transferred back to the Services through other initiatives, and
- d. Reduce overhead staffing and allow for consolidation of overhead support functions.

These initiatives will hereafter be synonymous with the term Proposed Action.

Purpose and Need

With implementation of the Proposed action, DISA intends to:

- a. Implement the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) recommendation,
- b. Reduce costs to DOD by improving operational efficiency, while still providing equivalent or better service,
- c. Consolidate mainframe processing into six standardized processing sites, located at existing DISA occupied facilities, through a transparent workload migration process,
- d. Reorganize and reduce the management infrastructure needed to manage computer operations under the Defense Working Capital Fund, and
- e. Realize savings from more efficient operations already reflected in FY98 and outyear budgets and most recent Program Objective Memorandum (POM) submission.

Result of Action

Under the proposed action, mainframe processing will be performed at the following six sites: DMC Columbus, OH; DMC Mechanicsburg, PA; DMC Ogden, UT; Oklahoma City, OK; DMC St. Louis, MO and DMC San Antonio, TX (Unisys legacy processing site).

The above sites and 13 additional sites would serve as regional sites, with the requirements that workload fully supports the costs to operate each site. The 13 additional sites are the following: Bremertgon, WA; Chambersburg, PA; Charleston, SC; Dayton, OH; Denver, CO; Huntsville, AL; Indianapolis, IN; Jacksonville, FL; Montgomery, AL; Norfork, VA; Rock Island, IL; San Diego, CA and Warner Robins, GA

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Two alternatives to the proposed action were assessed in this study: The Outsourcing Alternative and the No-Action Alternative.

The outsourcing Alternative is based upon the Coopers & Lybrand study titled 'Strategy Options for Defense Information Services' (February 1996) which represents a potential industry response to outsourcing the mainframe processing workload of the 16 DMCs. This alternative assumes that all DMC technical support and computer operations functions would be contracted to a single commercial firm. Each of the 16 DMCs would be contracted out in order to allow the contractor to consolidate to six sites and achieve efficiencies. The ten remaining sites would be closed.

The No-Action Alternative is considered to be continuation of current

DISA management of all existing DMCs, storefronts and other associated facilities. This alternative includes the completion of optimization and BRAC initiatives that are currently underway as well as actions that are within the purview of DISA management, such as contract consolidation and software standardization.

Summary of Environmental Impacts

The Proposed Action is unlikely to have significant impacts on the environment, because DISA activities associated with each site generally occur indoors in computer, data processing or office surroundings. The operations have little, if any, interaction with the human or natural environment. Analyses of potential economic impacts demonstrated no meaningful change to the economic areas surrounding each of the sites from the increase or decrease in DISA employment.

Findings and Conclusions

The analyses conducted for this EA support the determination that there are no direct or indirect environmental impacts which should preclude DISA from implementing the Proposed Action. The potential for impacts resulting from DISA employment loss or gain is negligible in each of the economic areas associated with this action. Therefore, no mitigation is required or planned for the Proposed Action. Based on the EA, a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is issued. Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not required for the Proposed Action. This FONSI and the supporting EA fulfill the requirements of NEPA, DOD directive 6050.1, and the Council on Environmental Quality regulation implementing NEPA.

Beverly Sampson,

Chief Protocol Officer. [FR Doc. 97–32308 Filed 12–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–03–M

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Notice of Commission Meeting and Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the Delaware River Basin Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, December 17, 1997. The hearing will be part of the Commission's regular business meeting which is open to the public and scheduled to begin at 1:30 p.m. in the Goddard Conference Room of the Commission's offices at 25 State Police Drive, West Trenton, New Jersey.

An informal conference among the Commissioners and staff will be held at 11:00 a.m. at the same location and will include discussion of proposed amendments to the Commission's Ground Water Protected Area Regulations for Southeastern Pennsylvania and response document distribution and the 1998 Commission meeting schedule.

In addition to the subjects listed which are scheduled for public hearing at the business meeting, the Commission will also address the following: Minutes of the November 19, 1997 business meeting; announcements; General Counsel's Report; report on Basin hydrologic conditions; a resolution to adopt the current expense and capital budgets for Fiscal Year 1999; a resolution to adopt a Commission vision and mission statement entitled Charting the Future and public dialogue.

The subjects of the hearing will be as follows:

Applications for Approval of the Following Projects Pursuant to Article 10.3, Article 11 and/or Section 3.8 of the Compact

1. Mount Holly Water Company D-95-46 CP

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 88.7 million gallons (mg)/30 days of water to the applicant's Mansfield Water Supply Facility from new Well Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, and to limit the withdrawal from all Mansfield and Mount Holly water systems wells to 184 mg/30 days. The project is located in Mansfield Township, Burlington County, New Jersey.

2. New Jersey Turnpike Authority D-96-55 CP

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 3.6 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's Service Area 1N from new Well No. 1N–3, and to limit the existing withdrawal from all wells to 3.6 mg/30 days. The project is located in Oldmans Township, Salem County, New Jersey.

3. Perkasie Borough Authority D-97-12

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 21.6 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well No. 12, and to retain the existing withdrawal limit from all wells of 34.2 mg/30 days. The project is located in East Rockhill Township and Perkasie Borough, Bucks County, in the

Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

4. Horsham Water Authority D-97-16 CP

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 14.4 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well No. 40, and to retain the existing withdrawal limit from all wells of 83.36 mg/30 days. The project is located in Horsham Township, Montgomery County, in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

5. Milford Township Water Authority D-97–24 CP

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 3.46 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well No. 2, and to increase the existing withdrawal limit from all wells from 5.58 mg/30 days to 9.04 mg/30 days. The project is located in Milford Township, Bucks County, in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

6. Superior Water Company D-97-26 CP

An application to replace the withdrawal of ground water from Well No. 3 in the applicant's water supply system which has become an unreliable source of supply. The applicant requests that the withdrawal from replacement Well No. 10 be limited to 3.88 mg/30 days, and that the total withdrawal from all wells remain limited to 15 mg/30 days. The project is located in Douglass and New Hanover Townships, Montgomery County, in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

7. Borough of Delaware Water Gap D-97–32 CP

An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 9.15 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well Nos. 6 and 7, and to limit the withdrawal from all wells to 15 mg/30 days. The project is located in Delaware Water Gap Borough, Monroe County, Pennsylvania.

8. Borough of Quakertown D-97-36 CP

An application to replace the withdrawal of water from Well No. 15 in the applicant's water supply system which has become an unreliable source of supply, with new Well No. 15A. The applicant requests that the total withdrawal from all wells remain limited to 51.1 mg/30 days. The project is located in the Borough of