Comment date: December 22, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

44. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric Co.; Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER98-899-000]

Take notice that on December 2, 1997, the California Independent System Operator (ISO) tendered for filing three executed Utility Distribution Company Operating Agreements (Agreement or UDC agreements) with Pacific Gas & Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company (UDCs). ISO requests that this filing be made effective no later than January 1, 1998, to enable the California Independent System Operator to coordinate facilities, procedures, and practices with the utility distribution companies that will be connected to the ISO and which plan to participate in the market for energy and ancillary services through the ISO.

These Agreements govern the facilities at the interface between the ISO and the UDCs and establish maintenance coordination standards, load shedding, emergency electrical planning, and information sharing and gathering procedures between the ISO and the UDCs. The Agreement also includes Schedules outlining for each utility the facilities that comprise the interface as well as the specific responsibilities that will be delegated by the ISO to each UDC.

Copies of this filing have been served upon all parties in these proceedings. However, because the Schedules for each agreement are so voluminous copies of the Schedules are being filed only with the Commission. Parties wishing to see the Schedules may do so at the ISO Office, 151 Blue Ravine Road, Folsom, CA or at the office of Cameron McKenna LLP, 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC, or may request copies of the Schedules.

Comment date: January 5, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

45. Nevada Power Company

[Docket No. OA96-188-004]

Take notice that on November 12, 1997, Nevada Power Company (Nevada Power) tendered for filing, in Docket OA96-188-000, revised tariff sheets which specify the on-peak and off-peak hours of non-firm point-to-point transmission service compliance with the Commission's order dated October 17, 1997. Nevada Power requests a waiver of the 60 day notice requirement and requests that the revised tariff

sheets be effective as of the date of the

Comment date: December 23, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

46. Commonwealth Edison Company; Commonwealth Edison Company of Indiana, Inc.

[Docket No. OA97-459-000]

Take notice that on November 21, 1997, Commonwealth Edison Company and Commonwealth Edison Company of Indiana, Inc. (collectively ComEd), tendered for filing with the Commission proposed revisions to ComEd's written procedures implementing the Standards of Conduct set forth at 18 CFR 37.4.

A copy of this filing has been served on the persons on the official service list in this docket.

Comment date: December 23, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

47. Public Service Company of Colorado

[Docket No. TX98-2-000]

On November 21, 1997, Public Service Company of Colorado (PS Colorado), 1225 17th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202, filed with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission an** application requesting that the Commission order the Missouri Basin Power Project, including its Project Manager, Basin Electric Power Cooperative, Tri-State Generation & Transmission Association, Western Area Power Administration—Rocky Mountain Region, and the Rocky Mountain Generation Cooperative to provide transmission services pursuant to Section 211 of the Federal Power Act.

PS Colorado requests 140 MW of firm point-to-point transmission capacity in . 1999, 360 MW in 2000, 330 MW in 2001, and 305 MW in 2002, increased to account for transmission losses. PS Colorado requests service from points in Wyoming, Nebraska, New Mexico, Utah and western Colorado to points in

eastern Colorado.

Comment date: January 2, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-32769 Filed 12-15-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Non-Project Use of Project **Lands and Waters**

December 10, 1997.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. Type of Application: Non-Project Use of Project Lands and Waters.
- b. Project Name: Catawba-Wateree Project.
- c. Project No.: FERC Project No. 2232-353.
 - d. Date Filed: October 17, 1997.
- e. Applicant: Duke Energy Corporation.
- f. Location: Catawba County, North Carolina, Greenwood Subdivision, Lake Norman in Mountain Creek Township.
- g. Filed pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)
- h. Applicant Contact: Mr. E.M. Oakley, Duke Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 1006 (EC12Y), Charlotte, NC 28201-1006, (704) 382-5778.
- i. FERC Contact: Brian Romanek, (202) 219-3076.
- j. Comment Date: January 21, 1998.
- k. Description of the filing: Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) proposes to grant an easement of 0.79 acre of project land to Mr. W.C. Edwards for a private residential marina to serve a proposed residential development to be known as Greenwood subdivision. The marina would consist of one access ramp and 24 floating boat slips. All slips would be constructed of treated wood with encapsulated styrofoam used for floatation. The wood decking would be supported by stationary wood pilings.

Also, as a part of this proposal, Duke requests reclassification of shoreline needed to build the above-mentioned marina. The subject shoreline is presently classified in the Commission approved Shoreline Management Plan as "Project Operations." The shoreline

and adjoining property are no longer used by Duke as a lake maintenance facility. Duke requests that we reclassify the shoreline to "Future Commercial/Residential".

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–32714 Filed 12–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2188-030]

Montana Power Company; Notice of Intent To Hold Technical Modeling Workshop at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Oak Ridge, Tennessee to Discuss the Modeling of Thermal Impacts Associated With the Madison Development Part of the Missouri-Madison Hydroelectric Project Proposed for Relicensing

December 10, 1997.

On January 22 and 23, 1998, a technical modeling workshop at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee will be conducted to discuss the modeling of thermal impacts associated with the Madison Development. The model was used to evaluate alternatives considered in Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) considering issuance of a new license for the Missouri Madison Project. The Notice of Availability of the DEIS appeared in the **Federal Register** on October 3, 1997 (62 FR 51855).

The workshop is scheduled as follows:

8:30 am-4:30 pm, January 22, Bldg. 1503, ORNL

8:30 am-12:00 pm, January 23, Bldg. 1503, ORNL

Workshop attendees should contact: Mark Bevelhimer ((423) 576–0266 or mbs@ornl.gov) to confirm attendance and obtain lodging options and local directions to the workshop.

Anyone wishing to submit questions or comments regarding the model of thermal impacts to discuss at the workshop should address them to: Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

Written correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: Missouri-Madison Hydroelectric Project No. 2188–030.

For further information, please contact Mr. R. Feller at (202) 219–2796. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–32713 Filed 12–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5935-5]

Office of Research and Development; Met One Instruments, Inc.: Application for Equivalent Method; Determination

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of application.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing that Met One Instruments, Inc. has submitted an application for equivalent method determinations on their PM10 Beta Attenuation Ambient Particle Monitors, Models BAM 1020 and GBAM 1020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank F. McElroy, Human Exposure and Atmospheric Sciences Division (MD– 46), National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

2622.

Notification is given that an application has been received to determine if a new PM10 monitoring method should be designated by the Administrator of the EPA as an equivalent method under 40 CFR part 53. The application was received on September 12, 1997 from Met One Instruments, Inc., 1600 Washington Blvd., Grants Pass, Oregon 97526 proposing that their PM10 Beta Attenuation Ambient Particle Monitors, Models BAM 1020, BAM 1020-1, GBAM 1020, and GBAM 1020-1 be designated as an equivalent method. If, after appropriate technical study, the Administrator determines that this method should be so designated, a document thereof will be published in a subsequent issue of the Federal Register.

Henry L. Longest II,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 97–32787 Filed 12–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Privacy Act of 1974; Publication of Proposed New Routine Uses

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). ACTION: Amendment of system of records to include new routine uses.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), the