

## Office of Community Oriented Policing Services

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; Survey protocol: COPS MORE (Making Officer Redeployment Effective) '95.

The Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the emergency review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Emergency review and approval of this information collection is requested by March 8, 1997, and is only valid for 180 days. The Department of Justice is also using this notice to seek public comments for 60 days until May 2, 1997.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to OMB, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1590. Written comments may also be submitted to Stacy Curtis, Social Science Analyst, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, or via facsimile at (202) 616-5998.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: New collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Survey Protocol: COPS MORE (Making Officer Redeployment Effective) '95.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form: COPS 18/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: State, local and tribal law enforcement agencies that received a COPS MORE '95 grant and that were selected to participate in a phone survey. COPS MORE (Making Officer Redeployment Effective) '95 provided grant monies to selected law enforcement agencies that submitted grant applications requesting financial assistance for the purchase of equipment, hiring of civilians, and provision of overtime resulting in the redeployment of law enforcement officers to community oriented policing activities. The 1994 Crime Bill states that grants for equipment, technology, and support systems may not be awarded in FY 1998-2000 unless the Attorney General has certified that grants awarded in fiscal years 1995-1997 have resulted in an increase in the number of officers deployed in community policing. The survey in consideration covers all areas necessary to determine the effectiveness of COPS MORE '95 in meeting the above criteria.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: Survey Protocol: COPS MORE (making Officer Redeployment Effective) '95: Approximately 200 respondents, at 1.25 hours per response (including record-keeping).

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 250 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and

Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 25, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-5113 Filed 2-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree embodying a partial settlement in *United States v. Allied-Signal, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 93-6490 MRP, was lodged on February 18, 1997, with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The decree resolves the liability of the settling defendants for reimbursement of response costs incurred pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9601 *et seq.*, by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") at the North Hollywood Operable Unit ("NHO") of the San Fernando Valley Basin Superfund Site ("SFVB"), in the greater Los Angeles area. The settling defendants and third-party defendants, AlliedSignal, Inc.; Hawker Pacific, Inc.; Los Angeles By-Products Company, Inc.; California Car Hikers Service; Gordon N. and Peggy M. Wagner; Joseph W. Basinger; Parker-Hannifin Corporation; Inchcape, Inc.; Crown Disposal Company, Inc.; Western Waste Industries, Inc.; Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc.; E.I. DuPont de Nemours, Inc.; HR Textron, Inc.; AVX Filters Corporation; Price Pfister, Inc.; Nupla Corporation; Herman and Isabel Benjamin; and the Benjamin Family Trust, have agreed to pay a total of \$4,812,500 to the United States to resolve their liability for past and future NHO response costs and past SFVB Basin-wide costs through April 30, 1992.

The consent decree includes a covenant not to sue under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and under Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6973.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and

Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Allied-Signal, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1149. Commenters may request a public hearing in the affected area, pursuant to Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(d).

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California, Federal Building, Room 7516, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 "G" Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 "G" Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$23.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. Joel Gross

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 97-5154 Filed 2-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act and the Rivers and Harbors Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States of America v. Fina Oil and Chemical Company, Belaire Consulting Inc., Grace Drilling Company, Brown Water Marine Service, Inc., and Loyd W. Richardson Construction Corporation*, No. H-93-0691 (S.D. Tex.) and *United States of America v. Fina Oil and Chemical Company*, No. H-93-4012 (S.D. Tex.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas on February 20, 1997.

The proposed consent decree would resolve the United States allegations in these two enforcement actions: (1) that the Defendants have violated Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act ("RHA"), 33 U.S.C. § 403, by propwashing and otherwise damaging approximately 37.5 acres of seagrass habitat in the Laguna Madre near Corpus Christi, Texas; and (2) that Fina has violated Section 10 of the RHA, 33 U.S.C. § 403, by continuing to maintain a wellhead and associated structures in the Laguna Madre after

Fina's permit to do so was revoked by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

The proposed consent decree would: (1) require Fina to restore the 37 acres of seagrass meadows damaged during the installation of the wellhead, (2) require Fina to create an additional 37 acres of seagrass meadows to mitigate for the past lost ecological value of the damaged seagrass meadows, (3) require the non-Fina Defendants to contribute towards the cost of the restoration and mitigation projects, and (4) require the Defendants to pay civil penalties totaling \$2.28 million. As part of this settlement, the Corps' revocation of Fina's RHA permit would be vacated, and compliance with that permit would be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to the proposed consent decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Attention: Scott J. Jordan, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States v. Fina Oil and Chemical Company*, DJ Reference No. 90-5-1-6-486.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at either the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, 515 Rusk Street, Houston, Texas 77002, or at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for a copy of the consent decree may be mailed to the Consent Decree Library at the above address, and must include a check in the amount of \$12.75.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division,  
U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-5152 Filed 2-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. David Bowen Wallace, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3-93CV0838-P (consolidated with No. C:93-CV-0841-G) among the United States, the State of Texas, CTU of Delaware, Inc. ("CTU"), and the United Technologies Corporation ("UTC") was lodged on February 18, 1997, with the United

States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.

On April 30, 1992, the United States and the State of Texas filed Complaints under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended ("CERCLA") against more than seventy defendants, including CTU and UTC, for reimbursement of response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States and the State of Texas for response actions related to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Bio-Ecology Superfund Site ("Site") in Grand Prairie, Texas. The remediation of the Site was successfully completed in April 1993.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, CTU and UTC have agreed to pay the EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund \$1,600,000 or 14% of the \$11,201,300 in response costs incurred at the Site. The Consent Decree resolves the liability of CTU and UTC subject to the reservations of rights set forth in the Consent Decree. As part of the Consent Decree, CTU and UTC have agreed to dismiss any remaining counterclaims against the United States, including those against EPA. When the payment by CTU and UTC is combined with the payments already received from previous settlement agreements, the United States will have recovered \$10,642,842 or 95% of the total response costs at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States of America v. David Bowen Wallace et al.*, DOJ No. 90-11-3-204A.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, United States Courthouse, 1100 Commerce Street, Room 16G28, Dallas, Texas 75242; the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in