

RP97-369-000, GP97-3-000, GP97-4-000, and GP97-5-000.²

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals³ directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. The Commission issued a January 28, 1998 order in Docket No. RP98-39-001, *et al.* (January 28 Order),⁴ clarifying the refund procedures, stating that producers could request additional time to establish the uncollectability of royalty refunds, and that first seller may file requests for NGPA section 502(c) adjustment relief from the refund requirement and the timing and procedures for implementing the refunds, based on the individual circumstances applicable to each first seller.

Farmer states that it is an operator of natural gas production and also owns working interests in said wells along with numerous other working interest owners in Kansas, which was subject to that state's ad valorem tax during the period 1983 through 1988.

Farmer requests that the pipeline be directed to tender a revised statement of refunds to Farmer and separate statements to the other individual working interest owners. Farmer states that it will work with the pipeline to provide sufficient information to prepare separate statements. Farmer also requests an adjustment to its procedures to allow Farmer to place in an interest bearing fund over which Farmer would maintain control. Farmer requests that the Commission provide for protective language to clarify that any amounts paid would be refunded with interest.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties

to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8169 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-72-000]

John O. Farmer, Inc.; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 11, 1998, John O. Farmer, Inc. (Farmer), as an operator who owns 100 percent working interest in the Ackerman Ratzlaff, and Stewart Leases (First Seller),¹ filed a petition, pursuant to section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA), for an adjustment of the Commission's refund procedures [15 U.S.C. § 3142(c) (1982)] with respect to Farmer's Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to Northern Natural Gas Company. Farmer's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,² in Docket No. RP97-369-000, *et al.*,³ directed first Sellers to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. The Commission clarified the refund procedures in its Order Clarifying Procedures [82 FERC ¶ 61,059 (1998)], stating therein that procedures (first Sellers) could request additional time to establish the uncollectability of royalty refunds, and that first Sellers may file requests for NGPA Section 502(c) adjustment relief from the refund requirement and the timing and procedures for implementing the refunds, based on their individual circumstances.

Farmer requests that the Commission: 1) provide for protective language which would clarify that any amounts paid to the pipelines that are not ultimately

required to be paid would be refunded with interest; and 2) permit the disputed amounts to be placed in an interest bearing fund over which Farmer would maintain control.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriation action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8172 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-73-000]

John O. Farmer, Inc.; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 11, 1998, John O. Farmer, Inc. (Farmer) filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGNP) [15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982)], requesting relief from the Commission's refund procedures, with respect to Farmer's Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability, required by the Commission's September 10, 1997 order (in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*).¹ Farmer's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals² directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for

² See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

³ *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997).

⁴ 82 FERC ¶ 61,059 (1998).

¹ Attachments filed by Farmer indicate 100% ownership.

² *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754 (May 12, 1997) (Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230).

³ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g, issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

¹ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

² *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).

the period from 1983 to 1988. The Commission's September 10 order also provided that first sellers could, with the Commission's prior approval, amortize their Kansas ad valorem tax refunds over a 5-year period, although interest would continue to accrue on any outstanding balance.

Farmer states that it is an operator of natural gas production in Kansas which was subject to that state's ad valorem tax during the period 1983 through 1988. Farmer states that it also owns working interests in said wells along with numerous other working interest owners. With respect to this filing, Farmer states that Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams) has alleged that Farmer is obligated to refund certain amounts in accordance with Commission orders in these proceedings. Farmer states that on November 10, 1997, Williams tendered a schedule or statement of refunds to Farmer which provide the amount which Farmer is allegedly required to pay.

Farmer asserts that Williams has taken the position that Farmer as operator is responsible for the refunds attributable to all working interest owners. Farmer states that this position is contrary to the Commission's 1995 decision [71 FERC ¶ 61,185 (1995)], and therefore, Farmer requests that the pipeline purchaser be directed to tender a revised statement of refunds to Farmer and separate statements to the other individual working interest owners.

In addition, Farmer states that checks tendered by Farmer to the pipeline company for its working interest share contained certain language addressing the refunding to payer with interest any amounts ultimately not required to be paid by payor pursuant to court or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission order. Accordingly, Farmer requests that the conditional nature of the payments be expressly approved and that the Commission issue an order notifying the pipeline recipient that they will be required to refund to Farmer any amounts received, with interest, which are ultimately not required to be paid by Farmer.

Furthermore, Farmer states the Commission's January 28, 1998 "Order Clarifying Procedures", permits Farmer to pay any amounts in dispute into an escrow account "consistent with the types of escrow accounts that the Commission has approved in other proceedings." Farmer states that it has placed the outside working interest amounts, the disputed amounts and all interest in a separate interest bearing account. Farmer also states that because of the substantial expense involved and

the complexities in determining the specific amounts in dispute, Farmer requests modification of the escrow requirement to permit it to place the disputed amounts in an interest bearing fund over which it will maintain control. Farmer states that it agrees to disburse the funds solely in accordance with subsequent orders of the Commission in these proceedings. Farmer further states that no party will be harmed or disadvantaged by this approach, and at the same time, Farmer will be relieved of the burden and associated cost of establishing formal escrow accounts.

Lastly, Farmer states that the dispute arises as to the tax reimbursement payments from Williams of \$9,278.68 (Wheat Lease) and \$3,793.64 (Schiff Lease) made October 26, 1987 with respect to 1986 taxes, and payments of \$4,237.06 (Wheat Lease) and \$6,337.78 (Schiff Lease) made January 30, 1989 with respect to 1987 taxes and the interest thereon. Farmer states that it is its position that the revenue received for these leases during these years did not exceed the applicable maximum lawful price established by the NGPA. Farmer states that it has enclosed in its filing a worksheet prepared by Williams showing the amounts paid as well as the Orders from the State Corporation Commission of Kansas determining these wells qualify for classification under Section 108 of the NGPA.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8173 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-162-000]

Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 20, 1998, Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company, L.L.C. (Kentucky West) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheet to become effective April 1, 1998:

Second Revised Sheet No. 141

Kentucky West states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with Order No. 636-C. Kentucky West has revised its General Terms and Conditions Section 24.5 to provide that the longest contract term that a shipper exercising its right of first of refusal must match is five years.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8162 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-62-000]

Ned E. & Dorothy J. Lowry; Notice of Petition for Adjustment and Dispute Resolution Request

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 10, 1998, Ned E. & Dorothy J. Lowry (The Lowry's) filed a petition for adjustment