Arcata Corporation; Estate of Hilding Lovenborg; Theodore Lovenborg; Palmer Westbrook, Inc.; John Palmer Westbrook; Robert H. Stanhurst, Inc.; Robert H. Stanhurst; Smith River Farms, Inc.; Harry Harms; Robert K. Hastings; Stephen Hastings; Crockett United Lily Growers, Inc., formerly known as United Lily Growers, Inc.; Davy Crockett; E. Joyce Crockett; and the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. The settlement was entered into under the authority granted EPA in Section 122(h) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), and requires the Respondents to pay a total of \$675,000 (\$405,000 to the U.S. EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund and \$270,000 to the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control) in settlement of past response costs incurred by EPA and DTSC in connection with the Site.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the following location: U.S. EPA Region 9 Records Center, 95 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, California.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 4, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement as set forth in the Agreement for Recovery of Response Costs, CERCLA Docket No. 98–01, is available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency at the address provided above. A copy of the Agreement may be obtained from Kim Muratore (SFD-7-B), U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, California, 94105. Comments regarding the proposed settlement should be addressed to Ms. Muratore at the address provided above, and should reference the Del Norte Superfund Site, EPA CERCLA Docket No. 98-01.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Muratore (415) 744–2373 at the above listed address.

Dated: March 26, 1998.

#### Michael Feeley,

Acting Director, Superfund Division, EPA Region 9.

[FR Doc. 98–8656 Filed 4–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-40031; FRL-5782-2]

## Conditional Exemptions from TSCA Section 4 Test Rules

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is granting conditional exemptions from Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) section 4 test rule requirements to certain manufacturers of chemical substances subject to these rules.

**DATES:** These conditional exemptions are effective on April 2, 1998.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD (202) 554–0551, e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document grants conditional exemptions from TSCA section 4 test rule requirements to all manufacturers of the chemical substances identified below that submitted exemption applications in accordance with 40 CFR 790.80. In each case, EPA has received a letter of intent to conduct the testing from which exemption is sought. Accordingly, the Agency has conditionally approved these exemption applications because the conditions set out in 40 CFR 790.87 have been met. All conditional exemptions thus granted are contingent upon successful completion of testing and submission of data by the

test sponsors according to the requirements of the applicable test rule.

If the test requirements are not met and EPA terminates a conditional exemption under 40 CFR 790.93, the Agency will notify each holder of an affected conditional exemption by certified mail or by a **Federal Register** document. This conditional approval applies to all manufacturers that submitted exemption applications for testing of the chemical substances named in the final test rules listed below from January 1, 1997, through December 31, 1997. Any application received after December 31, 1997, will be addressed separately.

Testing reimbursement periods have terminated (sunset) for certain chemicals and for these chemicals, exemption notices are no longer required. In accordance with 40 CFR 790.45, before the end of the reimbursement period, persons subject to a test rule and required to comply with the requirements of the test rule, must submit either a letter of intent to test or an exemption application. "Reimbursement period," as defined in 40 CFR 791.3, refers to a period that begins when the data from the last nonduplicative test to be completed under a test rule is submitted to EPA, and ends after an amount of time equal to that which had been required to develop that data or after 5 years, whichever is later.

Exemption applications that were received by EPA for diethylene glycol butyl ether (CAS No. 112-34-5), diethylenetriamine (CAS No. 111–40–0), and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (CAS No. 149-30-4) were not required at the time they were submitted because the chemicals have a completed testing program, the reimbursement periods have sunset, and the chemicals are no longer subject to TSCA section 4 reporting requirements. Exemption applications received by EPA after the chemical's sunset date do not appear in this document. Conditional exemptions granted in 1997 are listed below:

Chemicals	CAS No.	40 CFR citation	Company
Tributyl phosphate	67–63–0	799.2325	ICI Paints North America, Strongville, OH The Dexter Corporation, Windsor Locks, CT 3V Inc., Georgetown, SC

As provided in 40 CFR 790.80, processors are not required to apply for an exemption or conduct testing unless EPA so specifies in a test rule or in a special **Federal Register** document.

## List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Hazardous substances.

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 2601,2603. Dated: March 25, 1998.

#### Charles M. Auer,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 98–8658 Filed 4–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

March 26, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before May 4, 1998. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications

Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

ORB Control No.: 3060–0633. Title: Sections 73.1230, 74.165, 74.432, 74.564, 74.664, 74.765, 74.832, 74.965, 74.1265, Station License. Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 10,000. Estimated Time Per Response: .083 hours.

Frequency of Response:
Recordkeeping requirement.
Cost to Respondents: \$14,000.
Total Annual Burden: 830 hours.
Needs and Uses: Section 73.1230
requires that the station license and any other instrument of station
authorization for an AM, FM or TV station be posted in a conspicuous place at the place the licensee considers to be the principal control point of the transmitter. Section 74.165 requires that the instrument of authorization for an experimental broadcast station be available at the transmitter site.

Section 74.432(j) (remote pickup broadcast station) and 74.832(j) (low power auxiliary station) requires that the license of a remote pickup broadcast/low power auxiliary station shall be retained in the licensee's files, posted at the transmitter, or posted at the control point of the station. These sections also require the licensee to forward the station license to the FCC in the case of permanent discontinuance of the station.

Section 74.564 (aural broadcast auxiliary stations) require that the station license and any other instrument of authorization be posted in the room where the transmitter is located, or if operated by remote control, at the operating position.

Section 74.664 (television broadcast auxiliary stations) require that the station license and any other instrument of authorization be posted in the room where the transmitter is located. Section 74.765 (low power TV, TV translator and TV booster) and 74.1265 (FM translator stations and FM booster stations), require that the station license and any other instrument of authorization be retained in the station's files. In addition, the call sign of the station, together with the name, address

and telephone number of the licensee or the local representative of the licensee, and the name and address of the person and place where the station records are maintained, shall be displayed at the transmitter site on the structure supporting the transmitting antenna.

Section 74.965 requires that the instrument of authorization for an Instructional Television Fixed Service (ITFS) station be available at each transmitter. If the station is operated unattended, the call sign and name of the licensee shall be displayed such that it may be read within the vicinity of the transmitter enclosure or antenna structure. The data is used by FCC staff in field investigations to ensure that a station is licensed and operating in the manner specified in the license. The information posted at the transmitter site in accordance with Section 74.765 and 74.1265 would be used by the public and FCC staff to know to whom the transmitter is licensed.

ORB Control No.: 3060-0789.

*Title:* Modified Alternative Plan, CC Docket No. 90–571, Order ("1997 Suspension Order").

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other forprofit.

*Number of Respondents:* 30 respondents; 35 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: .25–15 hours (avg).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, third party disclosure requirement.

Cost to Respondents: \$0.

Total Annual Burden: 472 hours.

Needs and Uses: In the Order issued in CC Docket No. 90–571, the Commission suspended enforcement of the coin sent-paid requirement until August 26, 1998. The Commission required that payphones be made accessible to TRS

("Telecommunications Relay Services") users during the suspension period pursuant to the Alternative Plan as set forth in the Telecommunications Relay Services, Memorandum Opinion and Order, CC Docket No. 90–571, 10 FCC Rcd 10927 (1995), and modified by this Order

Federal Communications Commission.

# Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–8571 Filed 4–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P