design improvements. The proposed modification requirement is in consonance with these conditions.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 5 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD. It would take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection of the bottom flanges, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$900, or \$180 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

It would take approximately 32 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection of the fastener holes and proposed modification, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost between \$649 and \$3,056 per airplane, depending on the service kit purchased. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection of the fastener holes and modification proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be as low as \$12,845, or \$2,569 per airplane, and as high as \$24,880, or \$4,976 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the

location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Industrie: Docket 97-NM-197-AD.

Applicability: Model A320 series airplanes, on which Airbus Modification 20904 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–53–1008, dated March 31, 1995) has not been accomplished, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking on the bottom flanges of the longitudinal floor beams at frame 43, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Prior to the accumulation of 20,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a visual inspection for fatigue cracking of the longitudinal floor beams at frame 43, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320–53–1085, dated March 31, 1995
- (1) If no cracking is detected, repeat the visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 6,000 flight cycles.
- (2) If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.
- (b) Prior to the accumulation of 32,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,000 flight

cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, accomplish paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD. Accomplishment of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.

- (1) Perform a one-time eddy current (rotary probe) non-destructive test (NDT) inspection for fatigue cracking of the fastener holes on the longitudinal floor beams at frame 43, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320–53–1008, dated March 31, 1995. If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.
- (2) Modify the floor beam fasteners in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320–53–1008, dated March 31, 1995.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 96–236–089(B), dated October 23, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 7, 1998

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–9758 Filed 4–13–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-83-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness

directive (AD), applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) series airplanes, that currently requires a revision to the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit the use of mach trim and to add speed restrictions if the autopilot is disengaged or inoperative. That AD also requires installation of an associated placard. This proposed AD would add requirements for replacement of the horizontal stabilizer trim control unit (HSTCU) with a new HSTCU, and reactivation of the mach trim engage/disengage switch/light (if deactivated). Accomplishment of these actions would terminate the requirements of the existing AD. This proposed AD also would limit the applicability of the existing AD. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent deficiencies of the HSTCU, which could result in a noseup trim runaway when a single component in the mach trim circuit

DATES: Comments must be received by May 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-83-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Canadair Aerospace Group, P.O. Box 6087, Station Centreville, Quebec H3C 3G9, Canada. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Cuneo, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE– 172, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7506; fax (516) 568–2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97–NM–83–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-83-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

On July 24, 1995, the FAA issued AD 95-13-04, amendment 39-9325 (60 FR 38668, July 28, 1995), applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) series airplanes, to require a revision to the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit the use of mach trim and to add speed restrictions if the autopilot is disengaged or inoperative. That AD also requires installation of an associated placard. That action was prompted by deficiencies that were discovered during a review of vendor documentation of the horizontal stabilizer trim control unit (HSTCU). The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent such deficiencies, which could result in a nose-up trim runaway when a single component in the mach trim circuit fails.

Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule

In the preamble to AD 95-13-04, the FAA specified that the actions required by that AD were considered "interim action." The FAA indicated that it may consider further rulemaking action once a terminating modification was developed, approved, and available. The manufacturer now has developed such a modification (an improved HSTCU). and the FAA has determined that further rulemaking action is indeed necessary in order to address the unsafe condition and ensure the continued safe operation of those airplanes; this proposed AD follows from that determination.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Bombardier has issued Canadair Regional Jet Service Bulletin 601R-27-053, dated May 27, 1996; Revision A, dated August 26, 1996; and Revision B, dated February 21, 1997; which describes procedures for installation of a new HSTCU and reactivation of the mach trim engage/disengage switch/ light. The service bulletins also limit the effectivity listing of the airplanes. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. Transport Canada Aviation (TCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Canadian airworthiness directive CF-95-08R2, dated July 23, 1996, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Canada.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Canada and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, TCA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of TCA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would supersede AD 95–13–04 to continue to

require a revision to the AFM to prohibit the use of mach trim and to add speed restrictions if the autopilot is disengaged or inoperative, and installation of an associated placard.

This new proposed AD would add requirements for replacement of the HSTCU with a new unit, and reactivation of the mach trim engage/disengage switch/light (if deactivated). Accomplishment of these actions would constitute terminating action for the requirements of the existing AD. The replacement and reactivation would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

This proposed AD also limits the applicability of the existing AD to airplanes having certain serial numbers. The manufacturer has notified the FAA that for serial numbers 7113 and subsequent, the airplane will be modified during production.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 54 Bombardier Model CL–600–2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) series airplanes of U.S. registry that would be affected by this proposed AD.

The actions that are currently required by AD 95–13–04 take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$6,480, or \$120 per airplane.

The new actions that are proposed in this AD action would take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operator. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$9,720, or \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order

12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–9325 (60 FR 38668, July 28, 1995), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

Bombardier, Inc. (Formerly Canadair): Docket 97–NM–83–AD. Supersedes AD 95–13–04, Amendment 39–9325.

Applicability: Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) series airplanes, serial numbers 7003 through 7112 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not

been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent deficiencies of the horizontal stabilizer trim control unit (HSTCU), which could result in a nose-up trim runaway when a single component in the mach trim circuit fails, accomplish the following:

Restatement of Requirements of AD 95-13-04

- (a) Within 24 hours after August 14, 1995 (the effective date of AD 95–13–04, amendment 39–9325), accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this AD.
- (1) Install a placard adjacent to the primary flight display next to the airspeed limitation placard, to read:
 - "USE OF MACH TRIM IS PROHIBITED. IF THE AUTOPILOT IS DISENGAGED OR INOPERATIVE, RESTRICT SPEED TO 250 KIAS OR 0.7 MACH."
- (2) Revise the Limitations section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to include the following information. The requirements of this paragraph may be accomplished by inserting a copy of this AD, or Canadair Regional Jet Temporary Revision No. TR RJ/43, into the AFM.
 - "USE OF MACH TRIM IS PROHIBITED. IF THE AUTOPILOT IS DISENGAGED OR INOPERATIVE, RESTRICT SPEED TO 250 KIAS OR 0.7 MACH."
- Note 2: When the temporary revision has been incorporated in the general revisions of the AFM, the general revisions may be inserted in the AFM, provided the information contained in the general revision is identical to that specified in Canadair Regional Jet Temporary Revision No. TR RJ/43.
- (3) Revise the Limitations section of the FAA-approved AFM to include the following information. The requirements of this paragraph may be accomplished by inserting a copy of this AD into the AFM.

"Prior to the accomplishment of Bombardier Alert Service Bulletin S.B. A601R–27–054, dated June 12, 1995, when the Mach trim system is disengaged, the "MACH TRIM" caution message will be displayed on the Engine Indication and Crew Alerting System (EICAS), and the Mach trim engage/ disengage switch "INOP" legend will be illuminated. The EICAS message may be scrolled out of view prior to takeoff, but the switch "INOP" light will remain illuminated."

New Requirements of this Ad

(b) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the HSTCU with a new HSTCU having part number 601R92301–9, and reactivate the mach trim switch/light (if deactivated), in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 601R–27–053, dated May 27, 1996; Revision A, dated August 26, 1996; or Revision B, dated February 21, 1997. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD; after the modification has been

accomplished, the previously required AFM limitation may be removed.

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install any HSTCU having part number 601R92301-5, 601R92301-7, or 601R92301-951 on any airplane.

(d)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

(d)(2) Alternative methods of compliance approved previously in accordance with AD 93-13-04, amendment 39-9325, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive CF-95-08R2, dated July 23, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 7, 1998

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98-9755 Filed 4-13-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-85-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A. (CASA) Model CN-235 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain CASA Model CN-235 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the forward beam of the vertical stabilizer by the installation of a structural reinforcement plate. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness

information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent in-flight structural deformation or failure of the vertical stabilizer, resulting in reduced controllability of the airplane. **DATES:** Comments must be received by

May 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-85-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A., Getafe, Madrid, Spain. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following

statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-NM-85-AD." postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-85-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Dirección General de Aviación (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Spain, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain CASA Model CN-235 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that additional analysis by the manufacturer has shown that the existing structural design limits of the vertical stabilizer can be exceeded in certain required design load conditions. This condition, if not corrected, could cause in-flight structural deformation or failure of the vertical stabilizer, resulting in reduced controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

CASA has issued Service Bulletin SB-235–55–04, dated May 30, 1995, which describes procedures for modification of the forward beam of the vertical stabilizer. The modification involves installation of a structural reinforcement plate on the forward beam of the vertical stabilizer. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The DGAC classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Spanish airworthiness directive 08/96, dated December 9, 1996, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Spain.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Spain and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.