15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on August 5, 1998 (63 FR 41818); no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information for new aftermarket catalytic converter manufacturers is estimated to average 4 hours per year (combined average). Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Aftermarket catalytic converter manufacturers and re-conditioners and aftermarket converter installers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 12 new aftermarket catalytic converter manufacturers, 8 used catalytic converter re-conditioners and 17,000 aftermarket converter installers.

Frequency of Response: 3 reports per year for new aftermarket converter manufacturers and one prototype testing event per year; 2 reports per year for used aftermarket conditioners and 8,900 tests of individual converters; installers average 118 recordkeeping activities each year on a per sales transaction basis, with no reporting.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 65,788 hours, including startup hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$756,444, including annualized startup costs.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1292.05 and OMB Control No. 2060–0135 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460;

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 26, 1999.

#### Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 99–5492 Filed 3–4–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6240-5]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 8, 1999 through February 12, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (63 FR 17856).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-COE-B32011-RI Rating EO2, Providence River and Harbor Maintenance Dredging Project, To Restore the Navigation Efficiency, Providence River Shipping Channel, Narragansett Bay, R.I.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the Corps' preferred Narragansett Bay disposal alternatives (Site 3 and the Watchemoket Cove CAD Disposal Site) and suggested that the Corps give further consideration to disposal at other alternate sites including those in Rhode Island Sound. EPA requested additional information concerning fisheries impacts, current characteristics at the disposal sites, sediment erosion modeling, water quality, compliance with Clean Water Action Section 404 (b)(1) Guidelines, and time of year restrictions (dredge windows).

ERP No. D-FAA-B51016-CT Rating EC2, Sikorsky Memorial Airport, Proposed Runway 6-24 Improvements, Construction, Stratford, CT.

SUMMARY: EPA requested additional information about the runway

improvements concerning wetlands, endangered species, water quality and mitigation in order to fully evaluate the environmental acceptability of the project.

ERP No. D-NOA-A91065-00 Rating LO, Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish and Sharks, Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan.

Summary: Review of the draft EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the

preparing agency.

ERP No. D–UŠA–E11043–GA Rating EC2, U.S. Army/Fort Benning and The Consolidated Government of Columbus Proposed Land Exchange, Muscogee and Chattahoochee Counties, GA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the long-term ramifications of this deed transfer on biologically important species. Additional information will be necessary to determine the actual significance of this property exchange.

## **Final EISs**

ERP No. F-COE-J36049-00, East Grand Forks, Minnesota and Grand Forks, North Dakota Flood Control and Flood Protection, Red River Basin, MN and ND.

Summary: EPA continues to have concerns that this EIS does not take into account the potential reasonably foreseeable development of Devil Lake outlet, which could significantly affect the flow in the Red River. EPA believes the Corps should take a basin wide approach to its analysis including a discussion of the drainage of upper basin wetlands and how it could relate to the shift in flood peaks.

ERP No. F-FHW-B40081-NH Summary: The Final EIS contains a substantial amount of new information responsive to previously stated concerns about wetlands, water supply, water quality and air quality impacts associated with the project.

ERP No. F-FRC-B03009-ME, Maritimes Phase II Project, Construct and Operate an Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Endangered Species Act (ESA) and NPDE's permits, US Canada border at Woodland (Burleyville) Maine and Westbrook Maine.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the incomplete analysis of direct and secondary impacts and tie-ins to the pipeline, impacts to vernal pools, and elements of contingency plans developed for directional drilling applications.

ERP No. F-FTA-E40774-FL, Central Florida Light Rail Transit System Transportation Improvement to the North/South Corridor Project, Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) and Minimum Operable Segment (MOS), Orange and Seminole Counties, FL

Summary: EPA's review found that concerns raised on the Draft EIS were adequately addressed in the Final EIS document.

ERP No. F-USN-K11064-CA, Mare Island Naval Shipyard Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Valley, Solano County, CA.

Summary: EPA had a lack of

objections to this project. ERP No. F-USN-K11087-CA, Long Beach Complex Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, NPDES Permit, in the City of Long Beach and Los Angeles County,

Summary: EPA had no objection to this project.

Dated: March 2, 1999.

#### B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 99-5503 Filed 3-4-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6240-4]

# **Environmental Impact Statements: Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed February 22, 1999 Through February 26, 1999 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 990058, Draft EIS, BOP, KY, McCreary, County Federal Correctional Facility, Construction and Operation, McCreary County, KY, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: James B. Jones (202) 307-3230.

EIS No. 990059, Draft EIS, FHW, MO, MO-19 Missouri River Replacement Bridge Project, Construction and Operation, US Coast Guard and COE Section 404 Permits, Gasconade and Montgomery Counties, MO, Due: April 27, 1999, Contact: Don Neumann (573) 636-7104.

EIS No. 990060, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Pinkham, Timber Sales and Associated Activities, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Terry Chute (406) 296-2536.

EIS No. 990061, Draft EIS, COE, AL, Jackson Port Project, Proposal for the Public Port Facilities on the Tombigbee River, City of Jackson, Clark County, AL, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Beverley Stout (334) 694-4637.

EIS No. 990062, Draft EIS, NRC, MD, Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 and 2, License Renewal of Nuclear Plant, Calvert County, MD, Due: May 20, 1999, Contact: Thomas J. Kenyon (301) 415-1120

EIS No. 990063, Final EIS, FHW, WV, OH, Appalachian Corridor D Construction, Ohio River To I-77 'Missing Link", from US 50 in Belpre, OH to the Interchange east of Parkersburg, WV US Coast Guard Bridge, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Wood County, West Virginia and Washington County, Ohio, Due: April 12, 1999, Contact: Daniel A. Leighow (304) 347-5928.

EIS No. 990064, Final EIS, BLM, CA, Telephone Flat Geothermal Power Plant within the Glass Mountain Known Geothermal Resource Area, Construction, Operation and Decommissioning of a 48 megawatt (MW) Geothermal Plant, Modoc National Forest, Siskiyou County, CA, Due: April 5, 1999, Contact: Randall M. Sharp (530) 233-5811.

#### Amended Notices

EIS No. 970181, Draft EIS, TVA, MS, **Exercise of Option Purchase** Agreement with LSP Energy Limited Partnership for Supply of Electric Energy, Construction and Operation, Batesville Generation Facility, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and NPDES Permit, City of Batesville, Coahoma, Panola, Quitman and Yalobusha Counties, MS, Contact: Gregory L. Askew (423) 632–6418.

Published FR 05-14-97, Officially Withdrawn by the Preparing Agency.

Dated: March 2, 1999.

# **B. Katherine Biggs**

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 99-5504 Filed 3-4-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** AGENCY

[FRL-6238-1]

**National Drinking Water Advisory** Council; Shallow Injection Wells (Class V)/Drinking Water Source Protection **Program Integration Working Group, Notice of Open Meeting** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Under section 10(a)(2) of Pub. L. 92–423, "The Federal Advisory Committee Act," notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Shallow Injection Wells (Class V)/Drinking Water Source Protection Program Integration Working Group of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council, established under the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. S300f et seq.), will be held on March 25, 1999 from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm and March 26 from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. The meeting will be held at the Governor's House Hotel, 1615 Rhode Island Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036. The meeting is open to the public, but due to past experience, seating will be limited.

The purpose of this meeting is to continue the discussion on the proposed Class V well regulation (63 FR 40586) and source water assessment and protection as it relates to the Class V proposal. Statements from the public will be taken at the end of the meeting if time allows.

The Designated Federal Officer for this meeting of the Shallow Injection Wells (Class V)/Drinking Water Source **Protection Program Integration Working** Group will be Connie Bosma, Chief of the Regulatory Implementation Branch. For more information, please contact, Amber Moreen, U.S. EPA, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, Mail Code 4606, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460. The telephone number is 202/260-4891 and the e-mail address is moreen.amber@epa.gov.

Dated: March 1, 1999.

## Charlene E. Shaw,

Designated Federal Officer, National Drinking Water Advisory Council.

[FR Doc. 99–5489 Filed 3–4–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-6306-4]

Workshop for Peter Review of the Draft **EPA Risk Characterization Handbook** and Case Studies

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) meeting for peer review of a draft Risk Characterization Handbook and associated case studies. The peer review panel will discuss the Handbook and case studies, and comment on their usefulness as guidance for describing the scientific conclusions reached