l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to a intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS" "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–345 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5498-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared December 21, 1998 Through December 25, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-DOE-E09802-00 Rating EC2, Commercial Light Water Reactor for the Production of Tritium at one or more Facilities:

Watts Bar 1. Spring City, TN; Sequoyah 1 and 2 Soddy Daisy, TN; Bellefonte Units 1 and 2, Hollywood, AL, Approval of Permits and Licenses, TN and AL.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns about the project; and requested more information about the comparative costs of the Tritium production alternatives, processes, and potential environmental impacts.

ERP No. D-SFW-K65115-CA Rating EO2, Headwaters Forest Acquisition and the Palco Sustained Yield Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan, Implementation, Humboldt, Del Norte and Mendocino Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections and has identified key issues which need to be better addressed to fashion a more environmentally beneficial package. EPA key issues primarily focus on improvements EPA would like to see in the aquatics section of the HCP: cumulative watershed effects analysis process, Mass Wasting Strategy, wider riparian buffer zones consistent with recent State legislation, road stormproofing program and wet weather road use, herbicide/forest chemical use, implementation, compliance, and monitoring.

ERP No. D-USA-K11092-AZ Rating EC2, Yuma Proving Ground Multipurpose Installation, Diversification of Mission and Changes to Land Use, NPDES General Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Yuma and La Pas Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to the lack of detailed analyses pertaining to actions associated with implementing the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-USA-K26001-HI Rating LO, Schofield Barracks Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), Effluent Treatment and Disposal, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of County of Honolulu, Oahu, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objection to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-USN-K11094-00 Rating EC2, Developing Home Port Facilities For Three NIMITZ-Class Aircraft Carriers in Support of the U.S. Pacific Fleet, Construction and Operation, Coronado, CA; Bremerton and Everett, WA, Pearl Harbor, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns at the three alternative sites in California and Washington State that were identified as part of the Proposed Action regarding dredging and dredged material disposal; impacts to marine water quality and aquatic biological resources; air quality; pollution prevention; and cumulative impacts. EPA noted that are dredging and dredged material disposal issues that need to be examined by EPA should the Navy decide to homeport a Nimitz-class carrier at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

ERP No. DS-NOA-K90020-CA Rating EC2, Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery Management Plan Amendment 8, (Formerly Known as Northern Anchovy Fishery Management Plan), Approval and Implementation, WA, CA and OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern with potential impacts to endangered marine mammals and birds, the minimal development of stock recovery plans (rebuilding program, pg B–81), and scarcity of firm data upon which to base management decisions. Additional information and clarification were requested regarding EPA above concerns.

ERP No. DS-UMC-K24018-CA Rating EO2, Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Updated and Additional Information, Implementation, Lower Santa Margarita Basin, Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed project based on potential adverse impacts to waters of the United States, and special aquatic sites. EPA requested additional data and assurances of mitigation, to avoid potential degradation of a riparian habitat mitigation area and a coastal salt marsh, from disposal of sewage effluent.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-DOE-J22005-CO Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Srub Alloy Stored, Golden, CO.

Summary: EPA had no comments on the final document.

ERP No. F-FAA-E51045-FL Miami International Airport Master Plan Update for the Proposed New Runway, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Miami-Dade County, FL. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about a number of noise related issues raised in the DEIS were not adequately addressed in the FEIS. Concern was also expressed that, in spite of significant noise to residents near the airport, adequate mitigation is lacking.

ERP No. F-NOA-L90027-AK Kachemak Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (KBNERR) Management Plan, Operations and Development, Southcentral, AK.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-USN-E11039-FL Cecil Field Naval Air Station Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Jacksonville, Duval and Clay Counties, FI

Summary: EPA had a lack of objection to the proposed project.

Dated: January 5, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–430 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5498-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed December 28, 1998 Through December 31, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 980523, FINAL EIS, AFS, CA, Sequoia National Forest Trail System Plan, Emplementation, Amendment to the Sequoia National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Fresno, Kern and Tulare Counties, CA, Due: February 01, 1999, Contact: Jim Whitfield (209) 784–1500.

EIS No. 980524, DRAFT EIS, AFS, CO, South Fork Salvage Analysis Area, Implementation, Routt Divide Blowdown, Land and Resource Management Plan, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Hahns Peak/Bears Ears Ranger District, Routt County, CO, Due: February 22, 1999, Contact: Andy Cadenhead (970) 870–2220.

EIS No. 980525, FINAL EIS, BLM, WY, Carbon Basin Coal Project Area, Coal Lease Application for Elk Mountain/ Saddleback Hills, Carbon County, WY, Due: February 01, 1999, Contact: Jon Johnson (307) 775–6116. EIS No. 980526, FINAL EIS, FHW, DC, Canal Road Entrance to the Georgetown University Improvements, Reconstruction between Whitehurst Freeway and

Foxhall Road, Washington, DC, Due:

February 01, 1999, Contact: Edward

Amended Notices

Sheldal (202 523-0163.

EIS No. 980487, FINAL EIS, FHW, WI, US 12 Highway Improvement, Sauk City to Middleton, Funding and COE Section 404 Permits Inssuance, Sauk and Dane Counties, WI, Due: February 03, 1999, Contact: Richard Madrzak (608) 829–7510.

Published FR 12-04-98—Review Board extended.

Dated: January 5, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–431 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-34163; FRL-6055-9]

Increasing Transparency For the Tolerance Reassessment Process; Availability of Preliminary Risk Assessments for Five Organophosphates

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of documents that were developed as part of the Environmental Protection Agency's process for making reregistration eligibility decisions for the organophosphate pesticides and for tolerance reassessments consistent with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA). These documents are the preliminary human health and ecological risk assessments and related documents for acephate, disulfoton, methamidophos, oxydemethon methyl, and pirimiphos methyl. This notice also starts a 60-day public comment period for the preliminary risk assessments. Comments are to be limited to issues directly associated with the five organophosphates that have risk assessments placed in the docket and should be limited to issues raised in those documents. By allowing access and opportunity for comment on the

preliminary risk assessments, EPA is seeking to strengthen stakeholder involvement and help ensure our decisions under FQPA are transparent and based on the best available information. The tolerance reassessment process will ensure that the United States continues to have the safest and most abundant food supply. The Agency cautions that these risk assessments are preliminary assessments only and that further refinements of the risk assessments will be appropriate for some, if not all, of these five pesticides. These documents reflect only the work and analysis conducted as of the time they were produced and it is appropriate that, as new information becomes available and/or additional analyses are performed, the conclusions they contain may change.

DATES: Written comments on these assessments must be submitted on or before March 9, 1999.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments in triplicate to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, deliver comments to: Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this notice may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as Confidential Business Information (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket without prior notice.

To request a copy of any of the preliminary risk assessments and related documents listed in this notice, contact or visit the OPP Pesticide Docket at the addresses given in this unit, or call (703) 305–5805. The Docket staff will inform callers as to which of the documents can be sent directly from the docket and which need to be requested from the Freedom of Information Act Office due to their bulk. The public docket is available for public inspection in Rm. 119 at the Virginia address given in this unit from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically to: oppdocket@epa.gov. Follow the instructions under Unit II. of this document. No CBI