Stephen's kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys stephensi*) in conjunction with surveys throughout their range in California for the purpose of enhancing their survival. Permit No. TE006112

Applicant: Gretchen Flohr, Fremont, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture) the salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*) in conjunction with surveys and ecological research throughout the species range in California for the purpose of enhancing its survival.

Permit No. TE005878

Permit No. TE006333

Applicant: Santa Clara Valley Water District, San Jose, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by survey) the California clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris obsoletus*) in conjunction with surveys in Santa Clara County, California for the purpose of enhancing its survival.

Applicant: Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture, handle, and release) the shortnose sucker (*Chasmistes brevirostris*) and the Lost River sucker (*Deltistes luxatus*) in conjunction with ecological studies in Klamath Lake, Oregon for the purpose of enhancing their survival. Activities were previously authorized under subpermit MARKDF-7.

**DATES:** Written comments on these permit applications must be received on or before February 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written data or comments should be submitted to the Chief, Division of Recovery, Planning and Permits, Ecological Services, Fish and Wildlife Service, 911 N.E. 11th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232–4181; Fax: (503) 231–6243. Please refer to the respective permit number for each application when submitting comments. All comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the official administrative record and may be made available to the public.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents within 20 days of the date of publication of this notice to the address above; telephone: (503) 231–2063. Please refer to the respective permit number for each application when requesting copies of documents.

Dated: December 30, 1998.

#### Cynthia U. Barry,

Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. 99–368 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Bureau of Land Management [WY-930-1060-04]

#### Notice of Public Hearing

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**Authority:** Public Law 92–195 as amended by Public Law 94–579 and CFR Subpart 4740.1(b).

**SUMMARY:** A public hearing is scheduled at the Bureau of Land Management Office. A formal hearing will be conducted to receive statements from the public concerning the use of helicopters and motor vehicles in wild horse management operations within Wyoming for calendar year 1999.

DATES: February 8, 1999, 3:00 p.m. ADDRESSES: Bureau of Land Management, 280 Hwy 191 North, Rock Springs, Wyoming 82901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Hall, WH&B Program Manager, Rock Springs Field Office, 280 Hwy 191 North, Rock Springs, Wyoming, (307) 352–0208.

The meeting is open to the public and interested persons may make oral statements on the subject. All statements will be recorded.

#### John S. McKee,

Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 99–1 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-U

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Bureau of Land Management [AA210-00-4410-01-2410]

## Public Land and Resources; Planning, Programming, and Budgeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management; Interior.

**ACTION:** Notification of resource management planning schedule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) requires the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to prepare land use plans to provide management direction for the public lands. Since 1984, the BLM has completed 108 Resource Management Plans (RMP); 56 earlier and smaller

Management Framework Plans (MFP) are still in place. These plans are periodically evaluated, and amended or revised to respond to new circumstances or proposals. Some of the MFPs are replaced by new RMPs when the decisions in the MFPs are no longer valid and it is not feasible to update the decisions through the amendment process.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Planning Regulations at 43 CFR 1610.2(b) require the BLM to annually publish a planning schedule identifying plan amendments and new RMPs in progress or planned over the next three years. Six RMPs are scheduled to be completed in fiscal year 1999: Las Vegas, NV [signed in October 1998]; Dixie, UT; Challis, ID; Southeast Oregon; Newcastle, WY; and the Management Plan for the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, UT. The Owyhee, ID is scheduled for fiscal year 2000.

Two plans will start this year and be completed in fiscal year 2001: the Lakeview (OR) RMP will be done jointly with the Forest Service Management Plans for the Fremont and Winema National Forests. The Snake River (WY) RMP is the smallest ever, just 2,000 acres, covering islands and other isolated lands in Teton County. The 8 new RMPs currently in progress or planned will replace 12 existing MFPs. Since no schedule was published last year, we have included fiscal year 1998 actions, including completing the Roswell, Grass Creek and Green River RMPs.

Fifty-four land use plan amendments are in progress or planned for fiscal year 1999, excluding the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project. This Project which is scheduled for completion in fiscal year 2000 will be used to amend an additional 43 RMPs and MFPs. New Mexico BLM will amend all 10 of its RMPs in fiscal year 1999 to incorporate Statewide Standards and Guides. Eastern States will do three Planning Analyses in fiscal year 1999. For the first time, the Planning Schedule includes formal evaluations of RMPs and MFPs; 16 are scheduled for fiscal year 1999.

The public is invited to comment on the planning schedule. Comments will be considered in refining priorities for the completion of new RMPs and land use plan amendments. Public notice and opportunity for participation are encouraged throughout the planning process, as required by 43 CFR 1610.2(f). The planning process begins with the publication of a Notice of Intent to initiate a new RMP or plan

amendment. Public input is particularly helpful during the scoping process and during the review of draft planning documents.

**DATES:** Comments on the schedule will be accepted until February 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Bureau of Land Management, Attention: Ms. Brenda Williams, 1849 C Street NW (LS–1075), Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Williams at (202) 452–7793, or Brenda Williams at (202) 452–5045.

Dated: December 28, 1998.

#### Henri R. Bisson,

Assistant Director, Renewable Resources and Planning.

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
Arizona: Arizona	Arizona Strip	Mojave Desert	Tortoise habitat, graz-		PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD	
Strip. Kingman	RMP. Kingman RMP	Amendment. Hualapai Mountain Land Exchange Amendment.	ing. Changes in land tenure decisions, combined with land exchange proposal.	DRMP/DEIS/ PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD		
	Kingman RMP	Cerbat Mountains Herd Management Area Amendment.	Intermingled private ownership, livestock grazing, wild horse herd area.		ARMP/ROD		
Phoenix	Phoenix RMP	EZ Ranch Land Ex- change Amendment.	Land tenure decision adjustments.	NOI NOA/DR			
	Phoenix RMP	Empire-Cienega Amendment.	Livestock grazing, recreation, ACECs, wildlife habitat, cul- tural, rights-of-way.	NOI DRMP/ DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
	Lower Gila South RMP.	Amendment	ACECs, recreation, land tenure, desert tortoise, wild horse and burro, big horn sheep.		PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
Tucson	Ray Land Ex- change/Plan Amendment.	Ray Land Exchange/ Plan Amendment.	Land tenure decision adjustements, com- bined with land ex- change proposal.		DRMP/DEIS PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
California: CDD	California Desert Conservation Area Plan.	West Mojave Desert Amendment.	T&E	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS/ ROD		
	71100 1 10111	Northern & Eastern Mojave Desert Amendment.	T&E	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS/ ROD		
		Northern & Eastern Colorado Desert Amendment.	T&E	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS/ ROD		
Colorado: Craig	Little Snake RMP.	Evaluation			EVAL		
	White River RMP. Kremmling	Amendment	Travel Mgmt Oil Shale, land ex- change.		ARMP/ROD	ARMP/RO	
Montrose	RMP. Uncompangre	Evaluation			EVAL	EVAL	
	RMP. San Juan/San Miguel RMP.	Evaluation					EVAL
Grand Junction.	Grand Junc- tion RMP.	Ruby Canyon/Black Ridge Amendment. Unaweep Seep Amendment.	Recreation, Travel Mgmt Mineral Withdrawal, ACEC Mgmt		ARMP/ROD		F)/Al
	Glenwood Springs RMP.	Evaluation Oil & Gas Leasing Amendment.	Oil & Gas Leasing, Wildlife, Travel Mgmt.		ARMP/ROD		EVAL
		Evaluation Naval Oil Shale Amendment.	Wildlife, Travel Mgmt			EVAL	ARMP/ROD

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
Canon City.	San Luis RMP	Evaluation		EVAL			
C.i.y.		Cochetopa Hills Transportation Amendment.	Travel Mgmt., Recreation, Service First.		ARMP/ROD		
Eastern		7 tillolidillolli.					
States:	Wisconsin RMP.	Amendment	Disposition of lands		NOI DRMP/EA	PRMP/EA ARMP/DR	
	Michigan RMP	Amendment	Minerals management		NOI	PRMP/EA	
	State of Lou- isiana.	Planning Analysis	Land disposal		DRMP/EA DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR	ARMP/DR	
	State of Ar- kansas.	Planning Analysis	Land disposal and minerals manage-ment.		DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR		
	Trumbull County, Ohio.	Mosquito Creek Lake Planning Analysis.	Oil and gas leasing		DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR		
daho*: Lower Snake	Owyhee RMP	New	Grazing, wildlife		PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD	
River. Challis	Challis RMP	New	Realty, grazing, T&E, wild & scenic rivers.		PRMP/FEIS		
Shoshone	Bennett Hills/ Timmerman	Amendments	Land tenure adjust- ments.		ARMP/ROD NOI DMFP/RMP	DR	
	Hills MFP, Sun Valley MFP, Magic MFP, and Monument RMP.				EA PMFP/RMP EA AMFP/RMP		
	Bennett Hills/ Timmerman Hills MFP, Sun Valley MFP, Magic MFP, and Monument RMP.	Amendments	Grazing, riparian up- land issues, special management des- ignations.			NOI DMFP/RMP EA PMFP/RMP EA AMFP/RMP DR	
Montana*: Butte	Headwaters RMP.	Big Belts Vegetative Treatment/Travel Mgmt. Amendment.	Vegetation, ORV, and wildlife.	NOI	DRMP/DEIS		
					PRMP/FEIS		
	Headwaters RMP.	Clancy/Unionville Veg- etative Treatment/ Travel Mgmt. Amendment.	Vegetation, ORV, and wildlife.	DRMP/DEIS	ARMP/ROD DRMP/DEIS PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
	Headwaters RMP.	Whitetail/Pipestone Vegetative Treat- ment/Travel Mgmt. Amendment.	Vegetation, ORV, and wildlife.	NOI	DRMP/DEIS PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
Lewistow- n, Great Falls, Malta.	Judith-Valley- Phillips RMP.	Disposal JVP/West HiLine Amendment.	Lands	NOI	DRMP/DEIS/ EA/ FONSI/ DR		
Miles City	Billings RMP; Powder River RMP; South Da- kota RMP.	ACEC Amendment	Wildlife, vegetation, cultural, and T&E.	DRMP/DEIS/	EA/ FONSI/ DR		
Lewistow- n, Malta.	Judith-Valley- Phillips RMP.	New Decisions for Oil & Gas Leasing.	Oil & Gas, vegetation and wildlife.	DRMP/Sup- plemental DEIS	PRMP/Sup- plemental FEIS ARMP/ROD		

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
Dillon Nevada*	Dillon MFP	Oil & Gas Amendment	Oil & Gas		NOI		
Elko Winnemu- cca.	Elko RMP Sonoma-Ger- lach MFP. Paradise-	Evaluation	Land tenure	EVAL PMFP/ EA	AMFP/ DR		
	Denio MFP. Sonoma-Ger- lach MFP.	Amendment	Recreation, VRM,	DMFP/	FMFP/		
	Paradise- Denio MFP. Sonoma-Ger- lach MFP. Paradise-	Amendment	OHV, cultural.  Land disposal	DEIS AMFP/ DR	FEIS		
	Denio MFP. Sonoma-Ger- lach MFP.	Evaluation		EVAL			
	Paradise- Denio MFP.	Evaluation			EVAL		
Carson City.	Lahontan RMP Walker RMP	Amendment	Prescribed fire,wildland firemanagement	ARMP/ DR			
	Walker RMP	Amendment	OHV, recreation	NOI	DRMP/ EA PRMP/ EA ARMP/		
	Lahontan RMP	Amendment	Open space,urban interface	NOI	DR DRMP/ EA	PRMP/EA ARMP/DR	
	Lahontan RMP	Amendment	Acquired lands		DRMP/ EA PRMP/ EA ARMP/ DR		
Carson City.	Walker RMP	Amendment	Military withdrawal re- view and termi- nation.		NOI DRMP/ EA	PRMP/ EA ARMP/ DR	
	Lahontan RMP	Evaluation					EVAL
Ely	Caliente MFP	Amendment	Land exchange, con- servation ease- ments.		NOI	DRMP/ DEIS	PRMP/ FEIS
	Caliente MFP	Amendment	Desert Tortoise	DRMP/ DEIS	FRMP/ FEIS		
					ARMP/ ROD		
	Egan RMP Caliente MFP	Evaluation		EVAL		E\/AI	
Las Vegas	Las Vegas RMP.	Evaluation New	Desert Tortoise, land disposal, mineral withdrawals, OHV Use, utility cor- ridors, ACECs.	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD	EVAL	
Battle Moun- tain.	Tonopah RMP	Amendment	ACECs	NOI	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD
an.	Shoshone-Eu- reka RMP.	Amendment	Prescribed and wildland fire management.	NOI	DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR		
	Shoshone-Eu- reka RMP.	Evaluation	agement.	EVAL	ANIVIP/DR		
New Mexico: Taos	Taos RMP	Rio Grande Corridor Amendment.	Recreation	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD		
Albuquer- que.	Rio Puerco RMP.	El Malpais Amend- ment.	NCA Management		DRMP/DEIS		

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
					PRMP/FEIS		
					ARMP/ROD		
Las	Caballo (for-	Amendment	Oil and Gas leasing		NOI	PRMP/FEIS	
Cruces.	merly White Sands) RMP.		and development.		DRMP/DEIS	ARMP/ROD	
Statewide	All 8 RMPs as	Statewide Standards	Vegetation, water		DRMP/DEIS		
	necessary in New Mexico.	for Public Land Health and Guide- lines for Livestock Grazing Manage- ment Amendment.	quality, livestock grazing, fish and wildlife.		PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
Taos	Taos RMP	Evaluation		EVAL			
Farming- ton.	Farmington RMP.	Evaluation		EVAL			
Carlsbad	Carlsbad RMP	Evaluation		EVAL			
Socorro	Socorro RMP	Evaluation			EVAL		
Las Cruces.	Mimbres RMP	Evaluation			EVAL		
Tulsa	homa,	Evaluation			EVAL		
Albuquer- que.	Texas RMP. Rio Puerco RMP.	Evaluation					EVAL
que. Las	Caballo (for-	Evalution					EVAL
Cruces.	merly White Sands) RMP.	Evaluation					LVAL
Oregon*: Vale/	Southeastern	New	Eecosystem mgmt.,	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS		
Burns.	Oregon RMP.	1464	wild and scenic riv- ers, ACEC, NCA, prescribed fire, spe-	DIXIVII /DEIO	ARMP/ROD		
			cial status fish, wild- life and plants.				
Lakeview	Lakeview RMP	New	Ecosystem mgmt., ACEC, migratory big game range, prescribed fire, spe- cial status fish, wild- life and plants.		NOI DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD
Prineville	Brothers/ LaPine RMP.	Criterion/Ten Mile Amendment.	Ecosystem mgmt., ACEC, WSA, pre- scribed fire, special status fire, wildlife and plants, Tribal values, etc	NOI	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD	
	Brothers/ LaPine RMP.	Central Oregon, Urban Interface, Amendment.	Ecosystem mgmt., land tenure and R/ W, ACEC, pre- scribed fire.		DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD	
Salem Eugene Roseburg Medford Coos Bay Lakeview	Salem RMP Eugene RMP Roseburg RMP Medford RMP Coos Bay RMP	Evaluation	Ecosystem mgmt., special status fish, wildlife and plants, harvest levels, loca- tion, etc		EVAL		
	Klamath Falls RMP Upper Klamath Basin/ Wood River Ranch RMP						
Utah*: Dixie	Dixie RMP	New	Wild and scenic riv- ers, desert tortoise, lands, OHV, recre-	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP ROD		
San Juan	San Juan	Amendment	ation.  Mineral leasing, recre-	NOI		DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS
Jan Juan	RMP.	Amendifient	ation, wildlife, sce- nic values.	INOI		DIVINIL/DEIS	ARMP/ROD

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
Book Cliffs	Book Cliffs RMP.	Amendment	Book Cliffs Wildlife Initiative, mineral development, recreation.		DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD
Diamond Moun- tain.	Diamond Mountain RMP.	Amendment	Wildlife, land tenure adjustment.		PRMP/EA	PRMP/DR	
Grand	Grand RMP	Amendment (combined with San Juan RMP).	Wildlife, mineral leas- ing.	NOI		DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD
Kanab/ Escala- nte.	Grand Stair- case Escalante National Monument Manage- ment Plan (will replace several MFPs).	New	Objects of scientific interest, water, valid existing rights.	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
Wyoming*: Kemmerer Pinedale Rock Springs Cody Worland Rawlins Casper	Kemmerer, Pinedale, Green River, Cody, Grass Creek, Washakie, Great Divide and Platte River RMPs.	Amendment to provide management direction for lands returned to BLM from Bureau of Reclamation withdrawals.	Mineral development		NOI PRMP/EA ARMP/DR	Continue amend- ment proc- ess if/as needed	Continue amend- ment proc ess if/as needed
Pinedale	Snake River RMP.	New—Omitted lands never covered by a land use plan.	Land tenure, minerals, recreation, grazing.		NOI	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS, ARMP/ROD
Rock Springs.	Green River RMP.	Amendment for oil and gas and potential mineral location withdrawal decisions.	Mineral development, wildlife, recreation conflicts.	NOI	DRMP/DEIS PRMP/FEIS	ARMP/ROD	
Kemmerer	Kemmerer RMP.	Evaluation					EVAL
Worland	Grass Creek RMP.	New	Special Mgt. Area designations, Vegetation management, access.	ARMP/ROD			
Worland	Washakie RMP.	Potential amendment in conjunction with Red Gulch Dinosaur Track Site Plan.	Mineral development, paleontological, cul- tural values, recre- ation, public edu- cation, scientific re- search conflicts.	NOI	DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR		
Newcastle	Newcastle RMP.	New	Access, land tenure, paleontological val- ues, visual resource management.	DRMP/DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD		
Buffalo	Buffalo RMP	Evaluation			EVAL		
Rawlins	Great Divide RMP.	Shirley Mountain Travel Management Plan Amendment.	ORV designation, wildlife, watershed, recreation.	NOI DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR			
Rawlins	Great Divide RMP.	Amendment for coal planning decisions for Carbon Basin Area.	Coal development, wildlife, water qual- ity/quantity.	NOI DRMP/EA PRMP/EA ARMP/DR			
Rawlins	Great Divide RMP.	Evaluation			EVAL		
Pinedale	Pinedale RMP	Evaluation			EVAL		

State and dis- trict or field of- fice	Plan name and type	New, revision, amend- ment or evaluation	Major issues	FY-98	FY-99	FY-00	FY-01
*Idaho	24 Land Use Plans.	Plan Amendments, associated with, In- terior Columbia, Basin Ecosystem, Management Project.	Ecosystem mgmt., for- est and rangeland health, water qual- ity, fish and wildlife.	DRMP/ DMFP/ DEIS	Supple- mental DRMP/ DEIS	PRMP/FEIS ARMP/ROD	
Montana	1 Land Use Plan.	,					
Nevada	3 Land Use Plans.						
Oregon	13 Land Use Plans.						
Wyoming	2 Land Use Plans.						

TABLE 1.—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

Key to planning schedule abbreviations:

ACEC—Area of Critical Environmental Concern

AMFP—Approved Management Framework Plan

ARMP—Approved Resource Management Plan

DEIS—Draft Environmental Impact Statement

DR—Decision Record

DRMP—Draft Resource Management Plan

EA—Environmental Assessment EIS—Environmental Impact Statement

EVAL—Evaluation FEIS—Final Environmental Impact Statement

FONSI—Findings of No Significant Impact

MFP—Management Framework Plan NCA—National Conservation Area

NOI-Notice of Intent

OHV-Off-Highway Vehicle

ORV—Off-Road Vehicle

PMFP—Proposed Management Framework Plan

PRMP—Proposed Resource Management Plan

RMP—Resource Management Plan

ROD—Record of Decision

R/W-Right-of-Way

T&E—Threatened and Endangered VRM Visual Resource Management WSA—Wilderness Study Area

[FR Doc. 99-426 Filed 1-7-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-84-P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **Bureau of Reclamation**

**Central Valley Project Improvement** Act, Criteria for Evaluating Water **Management Plans** 

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Conservation Plans, dated April 30, 1993. In September 1996, Reclamation revised the document and renamed it the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). These Criteria were developed based on information provided during public scoping and public review sessions held throughout Reclamation's Mid-Pacific (MP) Region. Reclamation uses these Criteria to evaluate the adequacy of all water management plans developed by Central Valley Project contractors. The Criteria were developed and the plans evaluated for the purpose of promoting the most efficient water use reasonably achievable by all MP Region contractors. Reclamation made a commitment (stated within the Criteria) to publish a notice of its draft determination of the adequacy of each contractor's water management plan in the Federal **Register** to allow the public a minimum of 30 days to comment on its preliminary determinations.

DATES: All public comments must be received by February 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Please mail comments to Lucille Billingsley, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento CA 95825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Lucille Billingsley at the address above, or by telephone at (916) 978-5215 (TDD 978 5608).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under provision of section 3405(e) of the

CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), "The Secretary (of the Interior) shall establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall \* develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria will be developed "\* \* \* with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.

The Criteria states that all parties (districts) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 irrigable acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) will prepare water management plans which will be evaluated by Reclamation based on the following required information detailed in the steps listed below to develop, implement, monitor, and update their water management plans. The steps are:

- 1. Describe the district.
- 2. Inventory water resources available to the District.
- 3. Best Management Practices (BMP's) for Agricultural Contractors.
  - 4. BMP's for Urban Contractors.
  - 5. Exemption Process.

Sacramento County Water Agency has developed a water management plan which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of the Criteria.

Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (i.e., draft) determinations is invited at this time. A copy of the plan will be available for review at Reclamation's MP Regional Office