Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated November 20, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of January 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

S. Singh Bajwa,

Director, Project Directorate I–1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99–374 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Review of Information Collection: Instructions and Model CFC Application

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for clearance of a revised information collection. The model Combined Federal Campaign application and instructions is used to collect information from charitable organizations applying for eligibility.

We estimate 1400 applications are completed annually. Each form takes approximately 3 hours to complete. The annual estimate burden is 4200 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on 202/606–8358, or E-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov.

Comments on this proposal should be received within 10 calendar days from the date of this publication

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to:

Jennifer M. Hirschmann Office of Extragovernmental Affairs CFC Operations US Office of Personnel Management 1900 ''E'' Street, NW, Room 5450 Washington, DC 20415

And

Joseph Lackey OPM Desk Officer Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs Office of Management and Budget New Executive Office Building, NW Room 10235 Washington, DC 20503

Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

[FR Doc. 99–433 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Extension; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copy Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549

Extension

Form N–14, SEC File No. 270–297, OMB Control No. 3235–0336

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Form N–14—Registration Statement Under the Securities Act of 1933 for Securities Issued in Business Combination Transactions by Investment Companies and Business Development Companies. Form N–14 is used by investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. 80a–1 *et seq.*] (''Investment Company Act'') and business development companies as defined by section 2(a)(48) of the Investment Company Act to register securities under the Securities Act of 1933 [15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*] to be issued in business combination transactions specified in Rule 145(a)(17 CFR 230.145(a)) and exchange offers. The securities are registered under the Securities Act to ensure that investors receive the material information necessary to evaluate securities issued in business combination transactions. The Commission staff reviews registration statements on Form N–14 for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure contained therein. Without Form N–14, the Commission would be unable to verify compliance with securities law requirements. The respondents to the collection of information are investment companies or business development companies issuing securities in business combination transactions. The estimated number of responses is 283 and the collection occurs only when a merger or other business combination is planned. The estimated total annual reporting burden of the collection of information is approximately 620 hours per response for a new registration statement, and approximately 350 hours per response for an amended Form N–14, for a total of 140,090 annual burden hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's mission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Techology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: December 29, 1998.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–415 Filed 1–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC–23632; No. 812–11370]

Navellier Variable Insurance Series Fund, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

December 31, 1998.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'' or ''Commission'').

ACTION: Notice of Application for an order pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (''1940 Act'') granting relief from Sections 9(a), 13(a), 15(a) and 15(b) of the 1940 Act and Rules 6e–2(b)(15) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15) thereunder.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants seek an order to permit shares of any current or future series of the Navellier Variable Insurance Series Fund, Inc.

(''Fund'') and shares of any other investment company that is designed to fund variable insurance products and for which Navellier & Associates, Inc. (''Adviser''), or any of its affiliates, may serve now or in the future, as investment adviser, administrator, manager, principal underwriter or sponsor (the Fund, together with such other investment companies, the ''Insurance Products Funds'') to be sold to and held by: (a) separate accounts funding variable annuity and variable life insurance contracts issued by both affiliated and unaffiliated life insurance companies (''Participating Insurance Companies''); (b) qualified pension and retirement plans outside of the separate account context (''Qualified Plans'' or ''Plans''); and (c) the Adviser or any of its affiliates (representing seed money investments in the Insurance Products Funds).

APPLICANTS: Navellier Variable Insurance Series Fund, Inc. and Navellier & Associates, Inc.

FILING DATE: The application was filed on October 23, 1998.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC order a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on January 25, 1999, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. Applicants, c/o Blazzard, Grodd & Hasenauer, P.C., Post Road East, Westport, Connecticut 06880, Attention: Raymond A. O'Hara, III, Esq.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura A. Novack, Senior Attorney, or Kevin M. Kirchoff, Branch Chief, Office of Insurance Products, Division of Investment management at (202) 942–0670.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549 (202–942–8090).

Applicant's Representations

1. The Fund is a Maryland corporation that is registered under the 1940 Act as an open-end management investment company. The Fund currently consists of one series. The Fund may in the future issue shares of additional series.

2. The Adviser, a Nevada corporation, is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and serves as the investment adviser for the Fund. The Adviser is owned and controlled by its sole shareholder, Louis G. Navellier.

3. Shares of the Fund will be offered to separate accounts of Participating Insurance Companies to serve as investment vehicles for variable annuity and variable life insurance contracts (including single premium, scheduled premium, modified singe premium and flexible premium contracts) (collectively, ''Variable Contracts''). These separate accounts either will be registered as investment companies under the 1940 Act or will be exempt from such registration.

4. The Participating Insurance Companies will establish their own separate accounts and design their own Variable Contracts. Each Participating Insurance Company will have the legal obligation of satisfying all applicable requirements under the federal securities laws. The role of the Insurance Products Funds, so far as the federal securities laws are applicable, will be limited to that of offering their shares to separate accounts of Participating Insurance Companies and to Qualified Plans and fulfilling any conditions set forth in the application. Each Participating Insurance Company will enter into a fund participation agreement with the Insurance Products Fund in which the Participating Insurance Company invests.

5. Applicants state the shares of the Insurance Products Funds also may be offered directly to Qualified Plans outside of the separate account content, including without limitation, those trusts, plans, account or annuities described in Sections 401(a), 403(a), 403(b), 408(a), 408(b), 414(d), 457(b), 408(k) and 501(c)(18) of the Internal Revenue Code 1986, as amended (''Code''), and any other trust, plan, account, contract or annuity that is determined to be within the scope of Treasury Regulation Section 1.817.5(f)(3)(iii). Shares of the Insurance of Products Funds sold to Qualified Plans will be held, where applicable by the trustees of such Plans as required by Section 403(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (''ERISA'').

6. The Plans may choose one or more Insurance Products Funds as the sole investment under the Plan or as one of several investments. Plan participants may or may not be given the right to select among Insurance Products Funds.

Applicants' Legal Analysis

1. Applicants request an order pursuant to Section 6(c) of the 1940 Act providing exemptions from Sections–9(a), 13(a), 15(a) and 15(b) of the 1940 Act and Rules 6e–2(b)(15) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15) thereunder, to the extent necessary to permit shares of the Insurance Products Funds to be offered and sold to, and held by: (a) variable annuity and variable life insurance separate accounts of the same life insurance company or of any affiliated life insurance company (''mixed funding''); (b) separate accounts of unaffiliated life insurance companies (including both variable annuity and variable life separate accounts) (''shared funding''); (c) qualified pension and retirement plans outside the separate account context; and (d) the Adviser or any of its affiliates (representing seed money investments in the Insurance Products Funds).

2. In connection with the funding of scheduled premium variable life insurance contracts issued through a separate account registered under the 1940 Act as a unit investment trust, Rule 6e–2(b)(15) provides partial exemptions from Sections 9(a), 13(a), 15(a) and 15(b) of the 1940 Act to the extent that those sections have been deemed by the Commission to require ''pass-through'' voting with respect to an underlying investment company's shares. These exemptions are available only where all of the assets of the separate account consist of the shares of one or more registered management investment companies which offer there shares *exclusively* to variable life insurance separate accounts of the life insurer or any affiliated life insurance company. Therefore, the relief granted by Rule 6e–2(b)(15) is not available if the scheduled premium variable life insurance separate account owns shares of a management investment company that also offers its shares to a variable annuity separate account of the same insurance company or an affiliated insurance company (mixed funding).

3. The relief granted by Rule 6e–2(b)(15) also is not available if the scheduled premium variable life insurance separate account owns shares of an underlying management investment company that also offers its shares to separate accounts funding

variable contracts of one or more unaffiliated life insurance companies (shared funding). Furthermore, because the relief under Rule 6e–(b)(15) is available only where share of the investment company are offered exclusively to separate accounts, exemptive relief is necessary if the shares of the Insurance Products Funds also are to be sold to Qualified Plans.

4. In connection with the funding of flexible premium variable life insurance contracts issued through a separate account registered under the 1940 Act as a unit investment trust, Rule 6e–3(T)(b)(15) provides partial exemptions form Sections 9(a), 13(a), 15(a) and 15(b) of the 1940 Act, to the extent those sections have been deemed by the Commission to require ''pass-through'' voting with respect to an underlying investment company's shares. These exemptions are available only where all of the assets of the separate account consist of the shares of one or more registered management investment companies which offer their shares *exclusively* to separate accounts of the life insurer, or of any affiliated life insurance company, offering either scheduled premium variable life insurance contracts or flexible premium variable life insurance contracts, or both; or which also offer their shares to variable annuity separate accounts of the life insurer or of an affiliated life insurance company. Therefore, Rule 6e–3(T)(b)(15) permits mixed funding for a flexible premium variable life insurance account under certain circumstances, but does not permit shared funding.

5. In addition, because the relief under Rule 6e–3(T)(b)(15) is available only where shares of the investment company are offered exclusively to separate accounts, additional exemptive relief is necessary if shares of the Insurance Products Funds also are to be sold to Qualified Plans.

6. Applicants state that current tax law permits the Insurance Products Funds to increase their asset base through the sale of shares to Plans. Section 817(h) of the Code imposes certain diversification standards on the underlying assets of Variable Contracts held by the portfolios of the Insurance Products Funds. The Code provides that such contracts shall not be treated as an annuity contract or life insurance contract for any period (and any subsequent period) during which the investments are not adequately diversified in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Treasury Department. On March 2, 1989, the Treasury Department issued regulations (Treas. Reg. Section 1.817–5) which establish diversification requirements for the investment portfolios underlying variable annuity and variable life contract. The regulations provide that in order to meet the diversification requirements, all of the beneficial interests in the investment company must be held by the segregated asset accounts of one or more insurance companies. However, the regulations also contain certain exceptions to this requirement, one of which allow shares in an investment company to be held by the trustee of a ''qualified pension or retirement plan'' as defined by Revenue Ruling 94–62, without adversely affecting the ability of shares in the same investment company also to be held by the separate accounts of insurance companies in connection with their variable annuity and variable life contracts (Treas. Reg. Section 1.817–5(f)(3)(iii)).

7. Applicants state that the promulgation of Rules 6e–2 and 6e–3(T) preceded the issuance of the Treasury regulations, which made it possible for shares of an investment company to be held by a Qualified Plan without adversely affecting the ability of shares in the same investment company also to be held by the separate accounts of insurance companies in connection with their Variable Contracts. Thus, Applicants assert that, given the then current tax law, the sale of shares of the same investment company to separate accounts and Plans would not have been envisioned at the time of the adoption of Rules 6e–2(b) (15) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15).

8. Section 9(a)(3) of the 1940 Act provides that it is unlawful for any company to act as investment adviser to or principal underwriter of any registered open-end investment company if an affiliated person or that company is subject to a disqualification enumerated in Sections 9(a)(1) or (2). Rules 6e–2(b)(15)(i) and (ii), and 6e–3(T)(b)(15)(i) and (ii) provide partial exemptions from Section 9(a) under certain circumstances, subject to the limitations on mixed and shared funding. These exemptions limit the application of eligibility restrictions to affiliated individuals or companies that *directly* participate in the management or administration of the underlying investment company.

9. Applicants state that the relief from Section 9(a) provided by Rules 6e–2(b)(15) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15), in effect, limits the amount of monitoring necessary to ensure compliance with Section 9 to that which is appropriate in light of the policy and purposes of Section 9. Applicants assert that it is not necessary to apply the provisions of Section 9(a) of the 1940 Act to the many individuals who do not directly participate in the administration or management of the Insurance Products Funds, who are employed by the various unaffiliated insurance companies (or affiliated companies of Participating Insurance Companies) that may utilize the Insurance Products Funds as the funding medium for variable annuity and variable life insurance contracts. Applicants state that the Participating Insurance Companies are not expected to play any role in the management or administration of the Insurance Products Funds. Thus, Applicants state that applying the restrictions of Section 9(a) to the thousands of individuals employed by the Participating Insurance Companies would serve no regulatory purpose, would increase monitoring costs incurred by Participating Insurance Companies, and therefore would reduce the net rates of return realized by Variable Contract owners.

10. Applicants submit that the reasons underlying the Commission's grant of relief from Section 9(a) will not be affected in any way by the proposed sale of the Insurance Products Funds to Qualified Plans. Applicants state that the insulation of the Insurance Products Funds from those individuals who are disqualified under the 1940 Act remains in place. Applicants further submit that since Qualified Plans are not investment companies and will not deemed affiliated solely by virtue of their shareholdings, no additional relief is necessary.

11. Rules 6e–2(b)(15)(iii) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15)(iii) provide exemptions from the pass-through voting requirement with respect to several significant matters, assuming the limitations on mixed and shared funding are satisfied.

12. Rules 6e–2(b)(15)(iii)(A) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15)(iii)(A) provide that the insurance company may disregard the voting instructions of its contract owners in connection with the voting of shares of an underlying investment company if such instructions would require such shares to be voted to cause an underlying investment company to make, or refrain from making, certain investments which would result in changes in the subclassification or investment objectives of such company, or to approve or disapprove any contract between an investment company and its investment adviser when an insurance regulatory authority so requires. Rules 6e–2(b)(15)(iii)(B) and 6e–3(T)(b)(15)(iii)(B) provide that the insurance company may disregard contract owners' voting instructions with regard to changes initiated by the contract owners in the investment

company's investment policies, principal underwriter or investment adviser. Under the rules, voting instructions with respect to a change in investment policies may be disregarded only if the insurance company makes a good faith determination that such changes would: (a) violate state law; (b) result in investments that were not consistent with the investment objectives of the separate account; or (c) result in investment that would vary from the general quality and nature of investments and investment techniques used by other separate accounts of the company or of an affiliated life insurance company with similar investment objectives. Voting instructions with respect to a change in an investment adviser may be disregarded only if the insurance company makes a good faith determination that: (a) the adviser's fee would exceed the maximum rate that may be charged against the separate account's assets; (b) the proposed adviser may be expected to employ investment techniques that vary from the general techniques used by the current adviser; or (c) the proposed adviser may be expected to manage the investment company's investments in a manner that would be inconsistent with its investment objectives or in a manner that would result in investments that vary from certain standards.

13. As indicated above, shares of the Insurance Products Funds sold to Qualified Plans will be held, where applicable, by the trustees of such Plans as required by Section 403(a) of ERISA. Section 403(a) also provides that the trustees must have exclusive authority and discretion to manage and control the assets of the Plan with two exceptions: (a) when the Qualified Plan expressly provides that the trustees are subject to the direction of a named fiduciary who is not a trustee, in which case the trustees are subject to proper directions made in accordance with the terms of the Plan and not contrary to ERISA; and (b) when the authority to manage, acquire or dispose of assets of the Qualified Plan is delegated to one or more investment managers pursuant to Section 402(c)(3) of ERISA. Unless one of the two exceptions stated in Section 403(a) applies, the Qualified Plan trustees have exclusive authority and responsibility for voting proxies. Where a named fiduciary appoints an investment manager, the investment manager has the responsibility to vote the shares held unless the right to vote such shares is reserved to the trustees or the named fiduciary. The Qualified Plans may have their trustees or other fiduciaries exercise voting rights attributable to investment securities held by the Qualified Plans in their discretion. Some of the Qualified Plans, however, may provide for the trustee(s), an investment adviser (or advisers) or another named fiduciary to exercise voting rights in accordance with instructions from Plan participants.

14. Where a Qualified Plan does not provide participants with the right to give voting instructions, Applicants do not see any potential for irreconcilable material conflicts of interest between or among Variable Contract holders and Plan participants with respect to voting of the respective Insurance Products Fund's shares. Accordingly, unlike the case with insurance company separate accounts, the issue of the resolution of material irreconcilable conflicts with respect to voting is not present with respect to Qualified Plans since the Plans are not entitled to pass-through voting privileges.

15. Applicants state that even if a Qualified Plan were to hold a controlling interest in an Insurance Products Fund, the Applicants do not believe that such control would disadvantage other investors in such Insurance Products Fund to any greater extent than is the case when any institutional shareholder holds a majority of the voting securities of any open-end management investment company. In this regard, Applicants submit that investment in an Insurance Products Fund by a Qualified Plan will not create any of the voting complications occasioned by mixed funding or shared funding.

16. Where a Plan provides participants with the right to give voting instructions, Applicants state that the purchase of shares by such Qualified Plans does not present any complications not otherwise occasioned by mixed or shared funding.

17. Applicants state that there is no contractual or other relationship between the Participating Insurance Companies, and any Qualified Plans which, for example, would affect the solvency of the life insurers, affect the performance of the life insurer's contractual obligations, or would be expected to increase the risks undertaken by the life insurer. Accordingly, unlike the case with insurance company separate accounts, the issue of resolution of irreconcilable material conflicts with respect to voting is not present with respect to any of the Qualified Plans.

18. Applicants state that no increased conflict of interest would be presented by the granting of the requested relief. Applicants submit that shared funding does not present any issues that do not already exist where a single insurance company is licensed to do business in several states. In this regard, Applicants note that when different Participating Insurance Companies are domiciled in different states, it is possible that the state insurance regulatory body in a state in which one Participating Insurance Company is domiciled could require action that is inconsistent with the requirements of other insurance regulators in one or more other states in which other Participating Insurance Companies are domiciled. Applicants assert, however, that this possibility is not different or greater than exists when a single insurer and its affiliates offer their insurance products in several states, as is currently permitted.

19. Applicants state that affiliation does not reduce the potential, if any exists, for differences in state regulatory requirements. In any event, the conditions set forth below are designed to safeguard against any adverse effects that differences among state regulatory requirements may produce. If a particular state insurance regulator's decision conflicts with the majority of other state regulators, the affected insurer may be required to withdraw its separate account's investment in the relevant Insurance Products Funds.

20. Applicants also assert that affiliation does not eliminate the potential, if any exists, for divergent judgments as to when a Participating Insurance Company could disregard Variable Contract owner voting instructions. The potential for disagreement is limited by the requirements that disregarding voting instructions be reasonable and based on specified good faith determinations. However, if the Participating Insurance Company's decision to disregard Variable Contract owner voting instructions represents a minority position or would preclude a majority vote approving a particular change, such Participating Insurance Company may be required, at the election of the relevant Insurance Products Fund, to withdraw its separate account's investment in that Insurance Products Fund and no charge or penalty will be imposed upon the Variable Contract owners as a result of such withdrawal.

21. Applicants submit that there is no reason why the investment policies of an Insurance Products Fund with mixed funding would or should be materially different from what those policies would or should be if such Insurance Products Fund or series thereof funded only variable annuity or variable life insurance contracts. The Insurance Products Funds will not be managed to

favor or disfavor any particular insurer or type of insurance product. Regardless of the types of Insurance Products Fund shareholders, a Fund's adviser is legally obligated to manage the Fund in accordance with the Fund's investment objectives, policies and restrictions as well as any guidelines established by the Fund's board.

22. Applicants submit that no one investment strategy can be identified as appropriate to a particular insurance product or to a Plan. Each pool of variable annuity and variable life insurance contract owners is composed of individuals of diverse financial status, age, insurance and investment goals. A fund supporting even one type of insurance product must accommodate these diverse factors in order to attract and retain purchasers. Applicants submit that permitting mixed and shared funding will provide economic support for the continuation of the Insurance Products Funds. In addition, mixed and shared funding also will facilitate the establishment of additional series of Insurance Products Funds serving diverse goals.

23. As noted above, Section 817(h) of the Code imposes certain diversification standards on the underlying assets of variable annuity and variable life contracts held in the portfolios of management investment companies. Treasury Regulation Section 1.817–5(f)(3)(iii), which established diversification requirements for such portfolios, specifically permits, among other things, ''qualified pension or retirement plans'' and insurance company separate accounts to share the same underlying investment company. Therefore, Applicants assert that neither the Code, nor the Treasury regulations, nor the revenue rulings thereunder present any inherent conflicts of interest if the Qualified Plans, variable annuity separate accounts and variable life insurance separate accounts all invest in the same management investment company.

24. Applicants do not see any greater potential for irreconcilable material conflicts arising between the interests of Plan participants under the Qualified Plans and owners of the Variable Contracts issued by the separate accounts of Participating Insurance Companies from possible future changes in the federal tax laws than that which already exists between variable annuity contract owners and variable life insurance contract owners. Applicants note that while there are differences in the manner in which distributions are taxed for variable annuity contracts, variable life insurance contracts and Plans, these differences do not raise any conflicts of interest. When distributions are to be made, and a separate account of the Participating Insurance Company or Qualified Plan is unable to net purchase payments to make distributions, the separate account or Qualified Plan will redeem shares of the Insurance Products Funds at their respective net asset values. The Qualified Plan then will make distributions in accordance with the terms of the Plan, and the Participating Insurance Company will make distributions in accordance with the terms of the Variable Contract.

25. Applicants submit that the ability of the Insurance Products Funds to sell their respective shares directly to Qualified Plans does not create a ''senior security,'' as such term is defined under Section 18(g) of the 1940 Act, with respect to any Variable Contract owner as opposed to a participant under a Qualified Plan. ''Senior security'' is defined under the 1940 Act to include ''any stock of a class having priority over any other class as to distribution of assets or payment of dividends.'' As noted above, regardless of the rights and benefits of participants under the Qualified Plans, or Variable Contract owners under their Variable Contracts, the Qualified Plans and the separate accounts of Participating Insurance Companies have rights only with respect to their respective shares of the Insurance Products Funds. They only can redeem such shares at their net asset value. No shareholder of any of the Insurance Products Funds has any preference over any other shareholder with respect to distribution of assets or payment of dividends.

26. Applicants submit that there are no conflicts between the Variable Contract owners and the Plan participants with respect to state insurance commissioners' veto powers over investment objectives. Applicants note that the basic premise of shareholder voting is that not all shareholders may agree with a particular proposal. State insurance commissioners have been given the veto power to prevent, among other things, insurance companies indiscriminately redeeming their separate accounts out of one fund and into another. Time-consuming, complex transactions must be undertaken to accomplish such redemptions and transfers. On the other hand, trustees of (or Plan participants in) Qualified Plans can quickly redeem shares from Insurance Products Funds and reinvest in other funding vehicles without the same regulatory impediments or, as in the case with most Qualified Plans, even hold cash or other liquid assets pending suitable alternative investment. Applicants maintain that even if there should arise issues where the interests of Variable Contract owners and the interests of participants in Qualified Plans conflict, the issues can be almost immediately resolved because the trustees of the Plans can, on their own, redeem shares out of the Insurance Products Funds.

27. Applicants state that various factors have hindered insurance companies from offering variable annuity and variable life insurance contracts. Applicants submit that mixed and shared funding should provide several benefits to Variable Contract owners by eliminating a significant portion of the costs of establishing and administering separate funds. Participating Insurance Companies will benefit not only from the investment and administrative expertise of the Adviser and the sub-advisers, but also from the cost efficiencies and investment flexibility afforded by a larger pool of assets. Mixed and shared funding also would permit a greater amount of assets available for investment by the Insurance Products Funds, thereby promoting economies of scale, by permitting increased safety through greater diversification, and by making the addition of new series more feasible. Applicants assert that therefore, making the Insurance Products Funds available for mixed and shared funding will encourage more insurance companies to offer Variable Contracts, and this should result in increased competition with respect to both Variable Contract design and pricing, which can be expected to result in more product variation and lower charges to investors. Applicants further note that the sale of shares of the Insurance Products Funds to Plans also can be expected to increase the amount of assets available for investment by the Insurance Products Funds and thus promote economies of scale and greater diversification.

28. Applicants assert that there is no significant legal impediment to permitting mixed and shared funding. Separate accounts organized as unit investment trusts historically have been employed to accumulate shares of mutual funds which have not been affiliated with the depositor or sponsor of the separate account. Applicants do not believe that mixed and shared funding, and sales to Qualified Plans, will have any adverse federal income tax consequences.

Applicants' Conditions

Applicants have consented to the following conditions:

1. A majority of each Insurance Products Fund's Board of Trustees or Directors (each, a ''Board'') shall consist of persons who are not ''interested persons'' thereof, as defined by Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder and as modified by any applicable orders of the Commission, except that if this condition is not met by reason of the death, disqualification, or bona fide resignation of any Board member, then the operation of this condition shall be suspended: (a) for a period of 45 days, if the vacancy or vacancies may be filled by the Board; (b) for a period of 60 days, if a vote of shareholders is required to fill the vacancy or vacancies; or (c) for such longer period as the Commission may prescribe by order upon application.

2. Each Insurance Products Fund's Board will monitor the Fund for the existence of any material irreconcilable conflict between and among the interests of the Variable Contract owners of all separate accounts and of Plan participants and Qualified Plans investing in the Insurance Products Funds, and determine what action, if any, should be taken in response to such conflicts. A material irreconcilable conflict may arise for a variety of reasons, including: (a) an action by any state insurance regulatory authority; (b) a change in applicable federal or state insurance, tax, or securities laws or regulations, or a public ruling, private letter ruling, no-action or interpretive letter, or any similar action by insurance, tax, or securities regulatory authorities; (c) an administrative or judicial decision in any relevant proceeding; (d) the manner in which the investments of the funds are being managed; (e) a difference in voting instructions given by variable annuity contract owners, variable life insurance contract owners and trustees of the Plans; (f) a decision by a Participating Insurance Company to disregard the voting instructions of Variable Contract owners; or (g) if applicable, a decision by a Qualified Plan to disregard the voting instructions of Plan participants.

3. The Adviser (or any other investment adviser of an Insurance Products Fund), any Participating Insurance Company and any Qualified Plan that executes a fund participation agreement upon becoming an owner of 10% or more of the assets of an Insurance Products Fund (collectively, ''Participants'') will report any potential or existing conflicts to the Board of any relevant Insurance Products Fund. Participants will be obligated to assist the appropriate Board in carrying out its responsibilities under these conditions by providing the Board with all information reasonably necessary for the Board to consider any issues raised. This responsibility includes, but is not limited to, an obligation by each Participating Insurance Company to inform the Board whenever Variable Contract owner voting instructions are disregarded and, if pass-through voting is applicable, an obligation by each Qualified Plan to inform the Board whenever it has determined to disregard Plan participant voting instructions. The responsibility to report such information and conflicts and to assist the Boards will be contractual obligations of all Participating Insurance Companies and Qualified Plans investing in the Insurance Products Funds under their respective agreements governing participation in the Insurance Products Funds, and such agreements shall provide that these responsibilities will be carried out with a view only to the interests of Variable Contract owners and, if applicable, Plan participants.

4. If a majority of an Insurance Products Fund's Board members, or a majority of the disinterested Board members, determine that a material irreconcilable conflict exists, the relevant Participating Insurance Companies and Qualified Plans, at their expense and to the extent reasonably practicable (as determined by a majority of the disinterested Board members), shall take whatever steps are necessary to remedy or eliminate the material irreconcilable conflict, including: (a) withdrawing the assets allocable to some or all of the separate accounts from the Insurance Products Fund or any of its series and reinvesting such assets in a different investment medium, which may include another series of the Insurance Products Fund or another Insurance Products Fund; (b) in the case of Participating Insurance Companies, submitting the question as to whether segregation should be implemented to a vote of all affected Variable Contact owners and, as appropriate, segregating the assets of any appropriate group (i.e., variable annuity or variable life insurance contract owners of one or more Participating Insurance Companies) that votes in favor of such segregation, or offering to the affected Variable Contract owners the option of making such a change; and (c) establishing a new registered management investment company or managed separate account. If a material irreconcilable conflict arises because of a decision by a Participating Insurance Company to disregard Variable Contract owner voting instructions, and this decision represents a minority position or would preclude a majority vote, the Participating Insurance Company may be required, at the election of the Insurance Products Fund, to withdraw its separate account's investment in such Fund, and no charge or penalty will be imposed as a result of such withdrawal. If a material irreconcilable conflict arises because of a Qualified Plan's decision to disregard Plan participant voting instructions, if applicable, and that decision represents a minority position or would preclude a majority vote, the Qualified Plan may be required, at the election of the Insurance Products Fund, to withdraw its investment in such Fund, and no charge or penalty will be imposed as a result of such withdrawal. The responsibility to take remedial action in the event of a Board determination of a material irreconcilable conflict and to bear the cost of such remedial action shall be a contractual obligation of all Participating Insurance Companies and Qualified Plans under their agreements governing participation in the Insurance Products Funds and these responsibilities shall be carried out with a view only to the interests of the Variable Contract owners and, as applicable, Plan participants.

5. For purposes of Condition 4, a majority of the disinterested members of the applicable Board shall determine whether or not any proposed action adequately remedies any material irreconcilable conflict, but in no event will an Insurance Products Fund or the Adviser (or any other investment adviser of the Insurance Products Funds) be required to establish a new funding medium for any Variable Contract. No Participating Insurance Company shall be required by Condition 4 to establish a new funding medium for any Variable Contract if a majority of Variable Contract owners materially affected by the material irreconcilable conflict vote to decline such offer. No Qualified Plan shall be required by Condition 4 to establish a new funding medium for such Qualified Plan if: (a) a majority of Plan participants materially and adversely affected by the material irreconcilable conflict vote to decline such offer; or (b) pursuant to governing plan documents and applicable law, the Plan makes such decision without Plan participant vote.

6. Participants will be informed promptly in writing of a Board's determination of the existence of an irreconcilable material conflict and its implications.

7. Participating Insurance Companies will provide pass-through voting privileges to all Variable Contract owners so long as the Commission

continues to interpret the 1940 Act as requiring pass-through voting privileges for Variable Contract owners. Accordingly, such Participating Insurance Companies, where applicable, will vote shares of the Insurance Products fund held in their separate accounts in a manner consistent with voting instructions timely received from Variable Contract owners. In addition, each Participating Insurance Company will vote shares of the Insurance Product Fund held in its separate account for which it has not received timely voting instructions from contract owners, as well as shares it owns, in the same proportion as those shares for which it has received voting instructions. Participating Insurance Companies will be responsible for assuring that each of their separate accounts investing in an Insurance Products Fund calculates voting privileges in a manner consistent with all other Participating Insurance Companies. The obligation to vote an Insurance Products Fund's shares and calculate voting privileges in a manner consistent with all other separate accounts investing in the Insurance Products Fund will be a contractual obligation of all Participating Insurance Companies under the agreements governing participation in the Insurance Products Fund. Each Plan will vote as required by applicable law and governing Plan documents.

8. As long as the Commission continues to interpret the 1940 Act as requiring pass-through voting privileges for Variable Contract owners, the Adviser (or any of its affiliates) will vote its shares of any series of any Insurance Products Fund in the same proportion as all Variable Contract owners having voting rights with respect to that series; provided, however, that the Adviser (or any of its affiliates) shall vote its shares in such other manner as may be required by the Commission or its staff.

9. All reports of potential or existing conflicts received by a Board, and all Board action with regard to: (a) Determining the existence of a conflict; (b) notifying Participants of a conflict; and (c) determining whether any proposed action adequately remedies a conflict, will be properly recorded in the minutes of meetings of the appropriate Board or other appropriate records. Such minutes or other records shall be made available to the Commission upon request.

10. Each Insurance Products Fund will notify all participating Insurance Companies that separate account prospectus disclosure regarding potential risks of mixed and shared funding may be appropriate. Each Insurance Products Fund shall disclose in its prospectus that: (a) Its shares may be offered to insurance company separate accounts that fund both variable annuity and variable life insurance contracts, and to Qualified Plans; (b) differences in tax treatment or other considerations may cause the interests of various Variable Contract owners participating in the Insurance Products Fund and the interests of Qualified Plans investing in the Insurance Products Fund to conflict; and (c) the Board will monitor the Insurance Products Fund for any material conflicts and determine what action, if any, should be taken.

11. Each Insurance Products Fund will comply with all provisions of the 1940 Act requiring voting by shareholders (for these purposes, the persons having a voting interest in the shares of the Insurance Products Funds). In particular, each such Insurance Products Fund either will provide for annual shareholder meetings (except insofar as the Commission may interpret Section 16 of the 1940 Act not to require such meetings or comply with Section 16(c) of the 1940 Act (although none of the Insurance Products Funds shall be one of the trusts described in Section 16(c) of the 1940 Act), as well as with Section 16(a) of the 1940 Act and, if and when applicable, Section 16(b) of the 1940 Act. Further, each Insurance Products Fund will act in accordance with the Commission's interpretation of the requirements of Section 16(a) with respect to periodic elections of Board members and with whatever rules the Commission may promulgate with respect thereto.

12. If and to the extent that Rules 6e–2 or 6e–3(T) under the 1940 Act is amended, or Rule 6e–3 under the 1940 Act is adopted, to provide exemptive relief from any provision of the 1940 Act, or the rules promulgated thereunder, with respect to mixed or shared funding, on terms and conditions materially different from any exemptions granted in the order requested in the application, then the Insurance Products Funds and/or the Participants, as appropriate, shall take such steps as may be necessary to comply with Rule 6e–2 or Rule 6e–3(T), as amended, or proposed Rule 6e–3 as adopted, to the extent such rules are applicable.

13. The Participants, at least annually, shall submit to each Board such reports, materials or data as each Board may reasonably request so that such Boards may fully carry out the obligations imposed upon them by the conditions stated in the application. Such reports, materials and data shall be submitted more frequently if deemed appropriate by the Boards. The obligations of the Participants to provide these reports, materials and data upon reasonable request of a Board shall be a contractual obligation of all Participants under the agreements governing their participation in the Insurance Products Funds.

14. If a Qualified Plan or Plan participant shareholder should become an owner of 10% or more of the assets of an Insurance Products Fund, such Plan will execute a participation agreement with such Fund which includes the conditions set forth herein to the extent applicable. A Qualified Plan or Plan participant will execute an application containing an acknowledgement of this condition upon such Plan's initial purchase of the shares of any Insurance Products Fund.

Conclusion

For the reasons summarized above, Applicants believe that the requested exemptions are appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the 1940 Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–352 Filed 1–1–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 23630; 812–11416]

The Sessions Group, et al.; Notice of Application

December 31, 1998.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'').

ACTION: Notice of an application under section 17(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''Act'') for an exemption from section 17(a) of the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit certain series of a registered open-end management investment company to acquire all of the assets and assume identified liabilities of certain series of another registered open-end management investment company. Because of certain affiliations, applicants may not rely on rule 17a–8 under the Act. *Applicants:* The Sessions Group (''Sessions''), Governor Funds (''Governor''), Keystone Financial, Inc. (''Keystone''), Governor Group Advisors, Inc. (''GGA''), and