

71619–71620) requesting comments on how best to conduct the study, where public hearings should be held, and who should be consulted during the study process. The present **Federal Register** notice is a follow-up to the December 29, 1998 notice.

#### Request for Public Comment

The PTO is interested in the public's views concerning all aspects of trademark protection for the official insignia of Native American Tribes, including the following.

##### (1) The Definition of "Official Insignia"

For example, how should the PTO define "official insignia" of a federally or state recognized Native American Tribe?

##### (2) Establishing and Maintaining a List of Official Insignia

For example, how might the PTO establish a list of the official insignia of federally and/or state recognized Native American Tribes? How might the PTO maintain such a list?

##### (3) Impact of Changes In Current Law or Policy

For example, how might any change in law or policy with respect to prohibiting the Federal registration of trademarks identical to the official insignia of Native American Tribes, or of prohibiting any new use of the official insignia of Native American Tribes, affect Native American Tribes? How might such changes affect trademark owners? How might such changes affect the Patent and Trademark Office? How would such changes affect any other interested party? What impact might any such changes have on the international legal obligations of the United States?

##### (4) Impact of Prohibition on Federal Registration and New Uses of Official Insignia

For example, how might prohibiting Federal registration of trademarks identical to the official insignia of Native American Tribes affect any/all of the above-mentioned entities? How might prohibiting any new use of the official insignia of Native American Tribes affect any/all of the above-mentioned entities? What effect might such prohibitions have on the international legal obligations of the United States? What defenses, including fair use, might be raised against any claims of infringement?

##### (5) Administrative Feasibility

For example, what might be the administrative feasibility, including the

cost, of changing the current law or policy to prohibit the registration? What might be the administrative feasibility, including the cost, of prohibiting any new uses of the official insignia of State or federally recognized Native American Tribes? What might be the administrative feasibility, including the cost, of otherwise providing additional protection to the official insignia of federally and State recognized Native American Tribes?

##### (6) Timing of Changes in Protection

For example, should changes in the scope of protection for official tribal insignia be offered prospectively? Retrospectively? What might be the impact of such protection (e.g., the cost to business and the public if applied retroactively)?

##### (7) Statutory Changes

What statutory changes might be necessary in order to provide such protection?

##### (8) Other Relevant Factors

What other factors, not mentioned above, might be relevant to this issue?

Persons interested in commenting on the issues outlined above, or any other topics related to the statutory study, should submit their comments in writing to the above address. All comments received in response to this notice will become part of the public record and will be available for inspection and copying at Suite 902Q, Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

**Authority:** Pub. L. No. 105–330.

Dated: March 9, 1999.

**Q. Todd Dickinson,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Acting Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.*

[FR Doc. 99–6347 Filed 3–15–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

#### COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

##### Increase of Guaranteed Access Levels for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the Dominican Republic

March 9, 1999.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs increasing guaranteed access levels.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 18, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Naomi Freeman, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927–5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at <http://www.customs.ustreas.gov>. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482–3715.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

Upon the request of the Government of the Dominican Republic, the U.S. Government has agreed to increase the current Guaranteed Access Levels for textile products in Categories 339/639, 433 and 633.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 63 FR 71096, published on December 23, 1998). Also see 63 FR 63297, published on November 12, 1998.

**D. Michael Hutchinson,**

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

#### Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

March 9, 1999.

Commissioner of Customs,  
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 5, 1998, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in the Dominican Republic and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1999 and extends through December 31, 1999.

Effective on March 18, 1999, you are directed to increase the Guaranteed Access Levels for the categories listed below for the period beginning on January 1, 1999 and extending through December 31, 1999.

Category	Guaranteed access level
339/639 .....	2,150,000 dozen.
433 .....	41,000 dozen.
633 .....	80,000 dozen.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that

these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 99-6356 Filed 3-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

#### AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, March 30, 1999.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, DC, 9th Floor Conference Room.

**STATUS:** Closed.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Rule enforcement review.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 99-6448 Filed 3-12-99; 11:40 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

#### AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, March 30, 1999.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

**STATUS:** Closed.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Enforcement Matters.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 99-6449 Filed 3-12-99; 11:40 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0101]

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Entitled Drug-Free Workplace

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Drug-Free Workplace. A request for public comments was published at 63 FR 71097, December 23, 1998. No comments were received.

**DATES:** Comments may be submitted on or before April 15, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, should be submitted to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0101, Drug-Free Workplace, in all correspondence.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Linfield, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501-1757.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Purpose

The contract clause at FAR 52.223-6 requires (1) contract employees to notify their employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace; and (2) Government contractors, after receiving notice of such conviction, to notify the contracting officer.

The information provided to the Government is used to determine contractor compliance with the statutory requirements to maintain a drug-free workplace.

## B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .17 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 600; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 600; preparation hours per response, .17; and total response burden hours, 102.

**Obtaining Copies of Proposals:** Requester may obtain a copy of the justification from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 208-7312. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0101, Drug-Free Workplace, in all correspondence.

Dated: March 8, 1999.

**Edward C. Loeb,**

*Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-6328 Filed 3-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0091]

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Entitled Anti-Kickback Procedures

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Anti-Kickback Procedures. A request for public comments was published at 63 FR 71097, December 23, 1998. No comments were received.

**DATES:** Comments may be submitted on or before April 15, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of