none are being filed with this Competitive Impact Statement.

Dated: March 15, 1999. Respectfully submitted,

Nina B. Hale, Salvatore Massa,

Trial Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Transportation, Energy and Agriculture Section, Suite 500, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530, (202) 307–6351.

[FR Doc. 99–7288 Filed 3–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 98-36]

Francois J. Saculla, M.D., Revocation of Registration

On April 13, 1998, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Francois J. Saculla, M.D. (Respondent) of Racine, Wisconsin notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration BS1404552, and deny any pending applications for renewal of his registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3), for reason that he is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of Wisconsin.

By letter dated May 21, 1998, but not filed with the Office of Administrative Law Judges until July 20, 1998, Respondent requested a hearing, and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. On August 20, 1998, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition alleging that Respondent is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the state in which he is registered with DEA and therefore DEA cannot maintain his registration. Judge Bittner provided Respondent with an opportunity to respond to the Government's motion, but no such response was filed.

On October 14, 1998, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Decision finding that Respondent lacked authorization to handle controlled substances in Wisconsin; granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition; and recommending that Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration be revoked. Neither party filed exceptions to her opinion, and on November 24, 1998, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these

proceedings to the then-Acting Deputy Administrator.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirely, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Decision of the Administrative Law Judge. His adoption is in no manner diminished by any recitation of facts, issues and conclusions herein, or of any failure to mention a matter of fact or law.

The Deputy Administrator finds that in a Final Decision and Order dated November 25, 1994, the State of Wisconsin, Medical Examining Board (Board) limited Respondent's license to practice medicine. The Board Order prohibited Respondent from treating any female patient; ordered that his entire practice be under the direct supervision of another physician; required that Respondent undergo psychological evaluation within 90 days; and advised that any additional limitations recommended by the psychologist would be adopted by the Board. In addition, costs were assessed against Respondent ion the amount of \$22,000. The Order placed no limitations on Respondent's ability to handle controlled substances in Wisconsin. Therefore, Respondent presently possesses a limited license to practice medicine in Wisconsin.

However, in order to practice medicine in Wisconsin an individual must not only be licensed but must also possess a registration. Respondent's Wisconsin registration expired on November 1, 1995. Therefore Respondent is unable to practice medicine in the State of Wisconsin. The Deputy Administrator finds that it is reasonable to infer that if Respondent is unable to practice medicine in Wisconsin, he is also not authorized to handle controlled substances in that state. In his request for a hearing, Respondent did not deny that he was not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in Washington.

The DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Romeo J. Perez, M.D., 62 FR 16,193 (1997); Demetris A. Green, M.D. 61 FR 60,728 (1996); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51,104 (1993).

Here it is clear that Respondent is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in Wisconsin, where he is registered with DEA. Since Respondent lacks this state authority, he is not entitled to a DEA registration in that state.

In light of the above, Judge Bittner properly granted the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition. It is well settled that where there is no material question of fact involved, or when the material facts are agreed upon, there is no need for a plenary, administrative hearing. Congress did not intend for administrative agencies to perform meaningless tasks. Gilbert Ross, M.D., 61 FR 8664 (1996); Philip E. Kirk, M.D., 48 FR 32,887 (1993), aff'd sub nom Kirk v. Mullen, 749 F.2d 297 (6th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Deputy
Administrator of the Drug Enforcement
Administration, pursuant to the
authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823
and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104,
hereby orders that DEA Certificate of
Registration BS1404552, previously
issued to Francois J. Saculla, M.D., be,
and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy
Administrator further orders that any
pending applications for renewal of
such registration, be, and they hereby
are, denied. This order is effective April
26, 1999.

Dated: March 22, 1999.

Donnie R. Marshall,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99-7441 Filed 3-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 23, 1999.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor, Acting Departmental Clearance Officer, Pauline Perrow ((202) 219–5096 ext. 165) or by E-Mail to Perrow-Pauline@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Âgency: Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

Title: Report of Ventilatory Study (CM–907), Roentgenographic Interpretation (CM–933 and CM933b), Medical History and Examination for Coal Mine Workers' Compensation (CM–988) and Report of Arterial Blood Gas Study (CM–1159).

OMB Number: 1215–0090 (Extension). *Frequency:* On-occasion.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions;

Number of Respondents: 37,800. Estimated Time Per Respondent:

CM-907 20 minutes

CM-933 05 minutes

CM-933b 05 minutes

CM-988 30 minutes

CM-1159 15 minutes

Total Burden Hours: 9,338. Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: CM–907, Report of Ventilatory Study, When a miner applies for benefits, the Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation (DCMWC) schedules a series of diagnostic tests, one of which is a ventilatory study. The results of the study can be used to establish total disability, a criterion for entitlement. CM–933 & CM–933b, Roentgenographic Interpretation Form, This is the form used to record the

results of diagnostic x-rays to determine the presence of pneumoconiosis, a criterion for entitlement.

CM-988, Report of Physical Examination, provides information concerning the physical examination required by DOL to establish the presence of pneumoconiosis, total disability, and the causal relationship between the miner's coal mine employment and pneumoconiosis, all of which are criteria for entitlement.

CM-1159, Report of Arterial Blood Gas Study. This form was designed to set forth the results of the arterial blood gas studies as required by the regulations.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

Title: Comparability of Current Work to Coal Mine Employment; (2) Coal Mine Employment Affidavit; (3) Affidavit of Deceased Miner's Condition.

OMB Number: 1215–0056 (Extension). *Frequency:* On-occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals and households.

Number of Respondents: 3,336. Estimated Time Per Respondent:

CM-913—30 minutes CM-918—10 minutes CM-1093—20 minutes

Total Burden Hours: 1,618. Total Annualized Capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual (operating/maintaining): \$1,200.

Description: CM–913, Comparability of Current Work to Coal Mine Employment, This form is used to compare coal mine with non-coal mine work. This equipment information, together with medical information, is used to establish whether the miner is totally disabled due to black lung disease caused by coal mine employment, a criteria for entitlement.

CM-918, Coal Mine Employment Affidavit, used to gather coal mine employment evidence only when primary evidence, such as pay stubs, W-2 forms, employer and union records, and Social Security records are unavailable or incomplete.

CM-1093, Affidavit of Deceased Miners' Condition, an affidavit used to record lay medical evidence. It is used in survivor's claims in which evidence of the miners' medical condition is insufficient.

Pauline Perrow,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 99–7472 Filed 3–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931. as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable of Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedes decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the **Federal Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used