provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days prior to the hearing date specified in this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance during the preliminary phase of the investigations need not file an additional notice of appearance during this final phase. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

# Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in the final phase of these investigations available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days prior to the hearing date specified in this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. § 1677(9), who are parties to the investigations. A party granted access to BPI in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

# **Staff Report**

The prehearing staff report in the final phase of these investigations will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 12, 1999, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.22 of the Commission's rules.

## Hearing

The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the final phase of these investigations beginning at 9:30 a.m. on May 25, 1999, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before May 17, 1999. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on May 19, 1999, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.24 of the Commission's rules.

Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

## **Written Submissions**

Each party who is an interested party shall submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.23 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is May 19, 1999. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.25 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is June 2, 1999; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigations may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigations on or before June 2, 1999. On June 17, 1999, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before June 21, 1999, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.30 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

# Authority

These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 11, 1999.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-869 Filed 1-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental

policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. Interstate Pollution Control, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 98C50426, (N.D. Illinois) entered into by the United States and 57 parties, was lodged on January 4, 1999, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The proposed Consent Decree will resolve claims of the United States against Interstate Pollution Control, Inc., Anderson's Garage, Inc. and 55 potentially responsible party generators [Abrasive Machining, Inc.; Acme Grinding Co.; American Shaft Co.; Amerock Corp.; Atwood Industries, Inc.; Barber-Colman Co.; Berol USA; Borg-Warner Automotive. Inc.; Camcar Division of Textron, Inc.; Caterpillar, Inc.; Cherry Valley Tool & Machine, Inc.; Clinton Electronics Corp.; Coltec Industries, Inc.; Commonwealth Edison Co.; Counselor (Brearley) Co.; Dana Corp. (Warner Electric); Eclipse Combustion, Inc.; Elco Textron, Inc.; Frantz Manufacturing Co.; The Gates Corp. d/b/a The Gates Rubber Co.; General Motors Corp.; Goss Graphics Systems, Inc.; Greenlee Textron, Inc.; GTE Communications Systems Corp.; Honeywell, Inc. (Micro Switch Division); IKON Office Solutions, Inc. o/ b/o/ Ipsen Commercial Heat Treating; J.L. Clark, Inc. f/k/a/J.L. Clark Manufacturing Co.; Kelsey Hayes Co.; Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc.; Metal Cutting Tools Corp.; Mid-States Screw Corp.; Mobile Oil Corp.; Modern Metal Products Co.; The National Machinery Co.; Pacific Bearing Corp.; Patten Industries, Inc.; Pierce Chemical Co.; Precision Group, Inc. successor to Illinois Machine Products; The Quaker Oats Co.; Quality Metal Finishing Co.; Quebecor Printing Mt. Morris, Inc.; RB&W Corp.; Readette & Dunn Platters, Inc.; Rockford Blacktop Construction Co.; Rockford Bolt & Steel Co.; Rockford Drop Forge Co.; Rockford Headed Products, Inc.; Saws International, Inc.; Sundstrand Corp.; Thomas Industries, Inc.; Twin Disc, Inc.; The Valspar Corp.; Warner Lambert Co.; White

Consolidated Industries, Inc.; and, Woodward Governor Co.], for recovery of past response costs incurred by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency at the Interstate Pollution Control, Inc., Superfund Site, Rockford, Winnebago County, Illinois, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq. ("CERCLA"). The settlement requires the Settling Defendants to make payment of \$315,000 to the United States following entry of the proposed Consent Decree.

The Consent Decree includes a covenant not to sue by the United States under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for recovery of past response costs at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611, and should refer to United States v. Interstate Pollution Control, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 98C50426, and the Department of Justice Reference No. 90–11–2–1276.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, Western Division, 308 West State Street, Suite 300, Rockford, Illinois 61101; the Region 5 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, telephone no. (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to DJ #90-11-2-1276, and enclose a check in the amount of \$22.25 (25 cents per page for reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

## Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment, and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99–823 Filed 1–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Antitrust Division**

United States v. AT&T Corp. and Tele-Communications, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16 (b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States v. AT&T Corporation and Tele-Communications, Inc.*, Civil No. 1:98CV03170.

On December 30, 1998, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by AT&T Corporation of Tele-Communications, Inc. would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleges that AT&T is the largest provider of mobile wireless telephone services in the United States. and that Tele-Communications, Inc. owns a 23.5 percent equity interest in the mobile wireless telephone business of Sprint Corporation. The Complaint further alleges that if consummated, the acquisition may substantially lessen competition in the provision of mobile wireless telephone services in many geographic areas throughout the United States. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires AT&T Corporation to divest its interest in the mobile wireless telephone business of Sprint Corporation.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Donald J. Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 1401 H St., NW, Suite 8000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514–5621).

Copies of the Complaint, Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection in Room 215 of the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th St., NW, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone (202) 514–2841) and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these

materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

#### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations and Merger Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

# Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

A. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District for the District of Columbia.

B. The parties to this Stipulation consent that a Final Judgment in the form attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice on the defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

C. Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the filing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

D. In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph (B) above, or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

For the Plaintiff:

A. Douglas Melamed,

Acting Assistant Attorney General.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations and Merger Enforcement.

Deborah A. Roy,

Attorney, Telecommunications Task Force. Donald J. Russell,

Chief, Telecommunications Task Force. Peter A. Gray,

Attorney, Telecommunications Task Force.

U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust
Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 8000,
Washington, DC 20530, (202) 514–5636.
Dated: December 30, 1998.