Dated: January 21, 1999.

## Wally Beyer,

Governor, Rural Telephone Bank. [FR Doc. 99–1971 Filed 1–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

# **COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**

# Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the New Hampshire Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the New Hampshire Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn at 1:30 p.m. on February 18, 1999, at the Rivier Collage, Dion Center Board Room, 420 Main Street, Nashua, New Hampshire 03060. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss plans for holding a full-day briefing on the status of civil rights in New Hampshire as part of its project, A Biennial Report on the Status of Civil Rights in New Hampshire. The Committee will also be briefed by invited community advocates on pertinent civil rights issues.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Nury Marquez, 603–627–5127, or Ki-Taek Chun, Director of the Eastern Regional Office, 202–376–7533 (TDD 202–376–8116). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, January 20, 1999. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 99–1854 Filed 1–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **Bureau of Export Administration**

End-User Certificates for High Performance Computers Exports to the Peoples's Republic of China

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and

respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before March 29, 1999. ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Ms. Dawnielle Battle, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Room 6881, Washington, DC, 20230.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Abstract

The Bureau of Export Administration is required to perform post-shipment verifications on high performance computers exported to the PRC under License Exception CTP in addition to those exported under a license. U.S. exporters of high performance computers to PRC will obtain the End-User Certficate in each transaction.

## **II. Method of Collection**

Submitted in written form.

# III. Data

OMB Number: 0694–0112. Form Number: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 300.

Estimated Time Per Response: 15 minutes per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 75 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0 (no capital expenditures).

# **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and

clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 21, 1999.

# Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–1857 Filed 1–26–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 2-99]

Foreign-Trade Zone 202—Los Angeles, California, Expansion of Manufacturing Authority—Subzone 202A, Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company Facility (Pharmaceuticals), Los Angeles, CA

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles, grantee of FTZ 202, requesting authority on behalf of the Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company (3M), to expand the scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures at the 3M facility in Los Angeles, California. It was formally filed on January 11, 1999.

Subzone 202A was approved by the Board in 1997 at the 3M facility located at 19901 Nordhoff Street, Los Angeles, California. Authority was granted for the manufacture of solid-dose pharmaceutical products and controlled-dose inhalers using the following imported materials: aluminum bottles, valve stems for aerosol, valve retaining cups, plastic actuator assemblies for aerosol, orphenadrine citrate, flacanide acetate, methenamine hippurate, pirbuterol acetate, and ethyl oleate (Board Order 916, 62 FR 45394, 8/27/97).

3M is now proposing to expand the scope of authority for manufacturing activity conducted under FTZ procedures at Subzone 202A to include propellant for the controlled dosage pharmaceutical aerosol inhalers used for the treatment of bronchial asthma, bronchospasm, and vascular headaches.

The propellants are trichloro-fluoromethane/CFC-11 (HTSUS 2903.41.0000) and dichlorodifluoromethane/CFC-12 (HTSUS 2903.42.0000), both having a 3.7% duty rate.

Zone procedures would exempt the facility from Customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export activity. On its domestic sales, the company would be able to elect the duty rate that applies to finished products (duty-free) for the foreign components noted above. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures will help improve 3M's international competitiveness.

The production, importation, exportation and sale of these propellants for exempted uses is regulated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (60 FR 24970, 5/10/95). 3M has been granted annual essential use allowances for these propellants by the EPA. Zone procedures would not exempt 3M from any EPA requirements and they would not affect EPA's ability to regulate and monitor importation, exportation and sale of these substances.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is March 29, 1999. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to April 12, 1999.

A copy of the request will be available for public inspection at the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 350 South Figueroa Street, Suite 172, Los Angeles, California 90071

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230

Dated: January 13, 1999.

# Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–1893 Filed 1–26–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [A-357-810]

Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina; Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On September 28, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Argentina (See Notice of Initiation, 63 FR 51893). This review covers the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998. The only companies subject to review in this segment of the proceeding are Siderca S.A.I.C. and its U.S. affiliate, Siderca Corporation (collectively, Siderca). We determine that there were no consumption entries during the period of review (POR) of OCTG from Argentina produced or exported by

We have reviewed petitioner's claim that subject merchandise was entered for consumption into the United States during the POR. We received confirmation from the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) that the merchandise entered for consumption during the POR was not manufactured by Siderca, and therefore not subject to this review. This review has therefore been rescinded as a result of our determination that there were no consumption entries during the POR of OCTG from Argentina produced or exported by Siderca.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (Insert date of publication in the Federal Register.)
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Heather Osborne or John Kugelman,
AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office
8, Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3019 or
(202) 482–0649, respectively, or fax
(202) 482–1388.

# **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made

to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27296, May 19, 1997).

# **Scope of the Review**

Oil country tubular goods are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited-service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this review are currently classified in the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7304.20.20, 7304.20.40, 7304.20.50, 7304.20.60, 7304.20.80, 7304.39.00, 7304.51.50, 7304.20.70, 7304.59.60, 7304.59,80, 7304.90.70, 7305.20.40, 7305.20.60, 7305.20.80, 7305.31.40, 7305.31.60, 7305.39.10, 7305.39.50, 7305.90.10, 7305.90.50, 7306.20.20, 7306.20.30, 7306.20.40, 7306.20.60, 7306.20.80, 7306.30.50, 7306.50.50, 7306.60.70, and 7606.90.10. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

# Background

We received a request on August 31, 1998, for an administrative review of Siderca S.A.I.C., an Argentine producer and exporter of OCTG, and Siderca Corporation, an affiliated U.S. importer and reseller of such merchandise (collectively, Siderca), from the petitioner, North Star Steel Ohio (North Star). The antidumping duty order was published in the Federal Register on August 11, 1995 (60 FR 41055). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In its original submission, dated October 14, 1998, Siderca claimed that "it did not, directly or indirectly, enter for consumption, or sell, export, or ship for entry for consumption in the United States subject merchandise during the period of review." Siderca also claimed that Siderca Corporation did not import for consumption any subject merchandise during the POR.

The petitioner subsequently claimed that publicly available import data from the Department's IM–145 database contradicted Siderca's claims that no subject merchandise was entered for consumption during the POR. The