(9) Old business:

a. 1998 NPS/SRC Chairs Workshop Report

b. Status of Aniakchak National Preserve hunting guide prospectus.

c. Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve Wildlife Report.

d. Review 1998 NPS/Secretary's response to final subsistence hunting program recommendations.

e. Implementation of approved hunting program recommendations.

f. Status of draft subsistence hunting program recommendations.

(1) 97–1: Establish a one-year residency requirement for the resident zone communities.

(2) 97–2: Establish a special registration permit requirement for nonsubsistence (sport) hunting, trapping, and fishing activities within the Aniakchak National Preserve.

(3) Designate Ivanoff Bay and Perryville as resident zone communities.

(10) New business:

a. Federal Subsistence Program update.

(1) Bristol Bay Regional Council report.

(2) Review Unit 9E proposals/special actions.

(3) Federal Subsistence Fisheries update.

b. ORV C&T Team Progress Report (Coordinator).

c. Draft Aniakchak Subsistence Management Plan.

(11) Public and agency comments.(12) SRC work session (draft

proposals, letters, and

recommendations).

(13) Set time and place of next SRC meeting.

(14) Adjournment.

DATES: The meeting will begin at 8 a.m. on Tuesday, February 9, 1999, and conclude at approximately 7 p.m. The meeting will reconvene at 8 a.m. on Wednesday, February 10, 1998, and adjourn at approximately 1 p.m.

LOCATION: The meeting location is: Community Subsistence Building, Chignik Lake, Alaska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deb Ligget, Acting Superintendent, or Donald Mike, Resource Specialist, Aniakchak National Monument, P.O. Box 7, King Salmon, Alaska 99613. Phone (907) 246–3305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Subsistence Resource Commissions are authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96–487, and operate in accordance with the

provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

Paul R. Anderson,

Acting Regional Director. [FR Doc. 99–2262 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–25 (Review)]

Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 7, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vera Libeau (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202– 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 7, 1999, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both domestic and respondent group interested party responses to its notice of institution (63 FR 52748, Oct. 1, 1998) were inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.1 A record of the Commissioners' votes and statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 26, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–2228 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731–TA–311–317 and 379–380 (Review) (Investigations Nos. 701– TA–269–270 (Review))]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Brazil and France; Brass Sheet and Strip From Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Korea, Sweden, Germany, Japan, and the Netherlands

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and France and the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Korea, Sweden, Germany, Japan, and the Netherlands.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and France and the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Korea, Sweden, Germany, Japan, and the Netherlands would be likely to lead to continuation

¹ Chairman Bragg and Commissioners Crawford and Askey dissenting.

or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission; the deadline for responses is March 23, 1999. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by April 16, 1999.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202-205-3193) or Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On January 8, 1987, the Department of Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of brass sheet and strip from Brazil (52 FR 698). On January 12, 1987, the Department of Commerce issued antidumping duty orders on imports of brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, and Korea (52 FR 1214). On March 6, 1987, the Department of Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of brass sheet and strip from France and antidumping duty orders on imports of brass sheet and strip from France, Germany, Italy, and Sweden (52 FR 6995; Italy amended at 52 FR 11299 (April 8, 1987)). On August 12, 1988, the Department of Commerce issued antidumping duty orders on imports of brass sheet and strip from Japan and the Netherlands (53 FR 30454). The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely

to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The Subject Countries in these reviews are Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, and Sweden.

(3) The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. In its original countervailing duty determinations concerning brass sheet and strip from Brazil and France and antidumping duty determinations concerning brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and Sweden, the Commission defined the Domestic Like Product to include brass material to be rerolled (reroll) and finished brass sheet and strip (finished products). In its original antidumping duty determinations and the remand determinations concerning brass sheet and strip from Japan and the Netherlands, the Commission defined the Domestic Like Product to be all Unified Numbering System C20000 domestically produced brass sheet and strip. One Commissioner defined the Domestic Like Product differently. For purposes of this notice, the Domestic Like Product is all Unified Numbering System C20000 domestically produced brass sheet and strip.

(4) The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original countervailing duty determination concerning brass sheet and strip from Brazil and France and antidumping duty determinations concerning brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and Sweden, the Commission defined the Domestic Industry to include primary mills with casting capabilities and rerollers. In its original antidumping duty determinations and the remand determinations concerning brass sheet and strip from Japan and the Netherlands, the Commission defined the Domestic Industry as producers of the corresponding Domestic Like Product. One Commissioner defined the Domestic Industry differently. For purposes of this notice, the Domestic

Industry is producers of all Unified Numbering System C20000 domestically produced brass sheet and strip.

(5) The Order Dates are the dates that the antidumping and countervailing duty orders under review became effective. In the review concerning the countervailing duty order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, the Order Date is January 8, 1987. In the review concerning the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, and Korea, the Order Date is January 12, 1987. In the review concerning the countervailing duty order on brass sheet and strip from France and the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from France, Germany, Italy and Sweden, the Order Date is March 6, 1987. In the review concerning the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Japan and the Netherlands, the Order Date is August 12, 1988.

(6) An Importer is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the Subject Merchandise into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the reviews and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the Subject Merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and APO service list.-Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI submitted in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the review, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Certification.—Pursuant to section 207.3 of the Commission's rules, any

person submitting information to the Commission in connection with these reviews must certify that the information is accurate and complete to the best of the submitter's knowledge. In making the certification, the submitter will be deemed to consent, unless otherwise specified, for the Commission, its employees, and contract personnel to use the information provided in any other reviews or investigations of the same or comparable products which the Commission conducts under Title VII of the Act, or in internal audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3.

Written submissions.—Pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules, each interested party response to this notice must provide the information specified below. The deadline for filing such responses is March 23, 1999. Pursuant to section 207.62(b) of the Commission's rules, eligible parties (as specified in Commission rule 207.62(b)(1)) may also file comments concerning the adequacy of responses to the notice of institution and whether the Commission should conduct expedited or full reviews. The deadline for filing such comments is April 16, 1999. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of sections 201.8 and 207.3 of the Commission's rules and any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6 and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means. Also, in accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or APO service list as appropriate), and a certificate of service must accompany the document (if you are not a party to the reviews you do not need to serve your response).

Inability to provide requested information.-Pursuant to section 207.61(c) of the Commission's rules, any interested party that cannot furnish the information requested by this notice in the requested form and manner shall notify the Commission at the earliest possible time, provide a full explanation of why it cannot provide the requested information, and indicate alternative forms in which it can provide equivalent information. If an interested party does not provide this notification (or the Commission finds the explanation provided in the notification inadequate) and fails to provide a

complete response to this notice, the Commission may take an adverse inference against the party pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act in making its determinations in the reviews.

Information to be Provided in Response to this Notice of Institution: If you are a domestic producer, union/ worker group, or trade/business association; import/export Subject Merchandise from more than one Subject Country; or produce Subject Merchandise in more than one Subject Country, you may file a single response. If you do so, please ensure that your response to each question includes the information requested for each pertinent Subject Country. As used below, the term "firm" includes any related firms.

(1) The name and address of your firm or entity (including World Wide Web address if available) and name, telephone number, fax number, and Email address of the certifying official.

(2) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product to which your response pertains, a U.S. union or worker group, a U.S. importer of the Subject Merchandise, a foreign producer or exporter of the Subject Merchandise, a U.S. or foreign trade or business association, or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.

(3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in these reviews by providing information requested by the Commission.

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on each Domestic Industry for which you are filing a response in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of each Domestic Like Product for which you are filing a response. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and Sweden that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1986. A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in Japan and the Netherlands that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1987.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of a Domestic Like Product, provide the following information separately on your firm's operations on each product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in thousands of pounds and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/ worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/ which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in thousands of pounds and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Countries.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in thousands of pounds and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for each Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 27, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–2351 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–395]

In the Matter of Certain EPROM, EEPROM, Flash Memory, and Flash Microcontroller Semiconductor Devices, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Reconsider Portions of Final Determination

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reconsider certain portions of its final determination in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Wasleff, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3094.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 18, 1997, based on a complaint filed by Atmel Corporation. 62 FR 13706. The complaint named five respondents: Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., Winbond Electronics Corporation and Winbond Electronics North America Corporation (collectively Winbond), Macronix International Co., Ltd., and Macronix America, Inc. (collectively Macronix). Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. (SST) was permitted to intervene.

In its complaint, Atmel alleged, *inter alia*, that respondents violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and/or selling in the United States after importation certain electronic products and/or components that infringe claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,451,903 (the '903 patent).

On July 2, 1998, the Commission determined that the 903 patent was unenforceable for failure to name an inventor, and hence that there was no violation of section 337 with respect to that patent. On August 11, 1998, Atmel filed a petition to correct the inventorship of the 903 patent with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO). The PTO granted that petition on August 18, 1998, and issued a Certificate of Correction on October 6, 1998. On September 8, 1998, Atmel filed with the Commission a Petition For Relief From Final Determination Finding U.S. Patent No. 4,415,903 Unenforceable. Respondents and the Commission's Office of Unfair import Investigations filed responses to the petition. The Commission granted

Atmel's motion to file a reply brief and respondents' motions to file surreplies.

Ôn August 28, 1998, Atmel filed a notice of appeal of the Commission's final determination in this investigation with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On October 26, 1998, Atmel identified as an appellate issue the Commission's determination that the '903 patent is unenforceable for failure to name an inventor. On November 6, 1998, respondents Sanyo and Winbond filed motions to dismiss the inventorship issue as moot. The Commission took no position on those motions in order not to prejudice its deliberations on Atmel's petition for relief. On December 8, 1998, the Federal Circuit stayed the appeal pending the Commission's disposition of Atmel's petition.

Having examined the petition, the briefs in opposition, the reply brief, and the surreplies, the Commission has determined to reconsider its determination that the '903 patent is unenforceable for failure to name an inventor, and its consequent finding of no violation of section 337 with respect to the '903 patent. On reconsideration, the record will be reopened and the investigation remanded to the presiding administrative law judge, Judge Paul J. Luckern, for the limited purpose of resolving the issues arising from the issuance of the Certificate of Correction to the '903 patent.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.47 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.47). The Commission waived the 14-day limit under rule 210.47 pursuant to rule 210.4(b) (19 CFR 210.4(b)).

Copies of Atmel's petition and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

Issued: January 25, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99–2227 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P