on all appropriate entries. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct Customs not to assess antidumping duties on the merchandise subject to review. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. If applicable, we will calculate an importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales made during the POR to the total customs value of the sales used to calculate those duties. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the POR. This is equivalent to dividing the total amount of antidumping duties, which are calculated by taking the difference between statutory NV and statutory EP, by the total statutory EP value of the sales compared, and adjusting the result by the average difference between EP and Customs value for all merchandise examined during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of these administrative reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For Husky, no deposit will be required; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers will be the "all others" rate made effective by the final results of the 1993-94 administrative review of these orders (see 1992-93 and 1993-94 Final Results). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act. Dated: December 30, 1998. **Richard W. Moreland**, *Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration*. [FR Doc. 99–242 Filed 1–5–99; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limits for preliminary results of new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 6, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Strollo, Laurel LaCivita or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3782, (202) 482–4236 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

## The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Departments's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351, (April, 1998).

# Background

On March 27, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Company, Ltd. (Ningbo Nanlian) for a new shipper antidumping administrative review of freshwater crawfish tail meat. On May 8, 1998, the Department published its initiation of this new shipper review covering the period of September 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998 (63 FR 25449).

# **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Because of the complexities enumerated in the Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, Extension of Time Limit for the New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China, dated December 21, 1998, it is not practical to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results 35 days to February 15, 1999. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: December 30, 1998.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III. [FR Doc. 99–249 Filed 1–5–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

# [A-570-803]

Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews Pursuant To Remand From the Court of International Trade: Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Correction

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews Pursuant to Remand From the Court of International Trade: Correction.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 6, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Thomson or Jim Terpstra, AD/ CVD Enforcement, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4793/3965, respectively.

**CORRECTION:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) inadvertently referenced an incorrect **Federal Register** notice in the "Amended Final Results" section of the Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews Pursuant To Remand From the Court of International Trade: Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China, 63 FR 55577 (October 16, 1998). The period of review (POR) for these amended final results is February 1, 1996 through January 31, 1997. However, the Department incorrectly referenced the Federal **Register** notice covering the final results of the February 1, 1995 through January 31. 1996 POR in this notice. Specifically, the notice reads, "On March 13, 1997, the Department published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles (HFHTs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (62 FR 11813). These reviews cover five manufacturers/exporters and the period of review (POR) is February 1, 1996, through January 31, 1997." Although the POR stated (1996-1997) was correct, the date of the publication for that determination was incorrect.

Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.224(e), we correct this statement in the abovereferenced notice to read as follows: "On *April 6, 1998,* the Department published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles (HFHTs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (63 FR 16758). These reviews cover five manufacturers/exporters and the period of review (POR) is February 1, 1996, through January 31, 1997."

Dated: December 30, 1998.

#### **Richard W. Moreland,**

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 99–248 Filed 1–5–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

### Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 6, 1998, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States by Corex during the period July 1, 1997, through December 31, 1997. These final results do not differ from the preliminary results.

We find that Corex did not make sales below normal value during the period of review. We will instruct the Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties on certain pasta produced and exported by this company.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 6, 1999.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Constance Handley or John Brinkmann, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0631 or (202) 482– 5288, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1997).

### **Case History**

On March 4, 1998, in response to a request by CO.R.EX. S.r.l, (Corex), the Department initiated a new shipper review.

On October 6, 1998, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See Notice of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 63 FR 53641 (Preliminary Results). From September 28, through October 2, 1998, we verified the information submitted by Corex. On November 3, 1998, we received a case brief from Corex. We did not receive comments from any other interested party.

#### **Scope of Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons or polyethylene or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this review are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Instituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione (IMC), by Bioagricoop Scrl, or by QC&I International Services.

The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under subheading 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the *HTSUS* subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under order is dispositive.

### **Scope Rulings**

On August 25, 1997, the Department issued a scope ruling that multicolored pasta, imported in kitchen display bottles of decorative glass that are sealed with cork or paraffin and bound with raffia, is excluded from the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See Memorandum from Edward Easton to Richard Moreland, dated August 25, 1997. In addition, the Department issued a scope ruling on July 30, 1998, that multipacks consisting of six one-pound packages of pasta that are shrink wrapped into a single package are within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. (See July 30, 1998 letter from Susan H. Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to Barbara P. Sidari, Vice President, Joseph A. Sidari Company, Inc.).

On October 23, 1997, the petitioners<sup>1</sup> filed an application requesting that the Department initiate an anticircumvention investigation against Barilla S.r.L., an Italian producer and exporter of pasta. On October 5, 1998, the Department issued its final determination that, pursuant to section 781(a) of the Act, circumvention of the antidumping duty order is occurring by reason of exports of bulk pasta from Italy produced by Barilla which subsequently are repackaged in the United States into packages of five pounds or less for sale in the United States. (See Anti-circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy: Affirmative Final Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order, 63 FR 54672 (October 13, 1998)).

On October 26, 1998, we self-initiated a scope inquiry to determine whether a package weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances may be within the scope of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Borden Foods Corp., Hershey Pasta and Grocery Group, and Gooch Foods Inc.